

## **Thrust 1: Pedestal & SOL Turbulence**

N. Vianello and M. Wischmeier On behalf of the Thrust # 1 Members



This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 and 2019-2020 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

## **Thrust 1 - TSVVs Overview**





- There are natural synergies since same codes exploited in different TSVVs as well common physical problems:
  - Neutrals
  - Gyro-Kinetic, kinetic, gyrofluid and fluid limits and validity
  - Boundary conditions

• ..

## **TSVV1- Objectives and deliverables**



### • The long-term mission (objectives)

- Capability to carry out self-consistent, robust & validated GK simulations of L-H transitions & to accurately predict pedestal profiles; extension to QH-/I-modes.
- Validated & fast reduced transport models for multi-channel core-edge predictive modelling.
- Applications of GK simulations & reduced models to small/no ELM regimes, studying their transferability to ITER and DEMO.

## The quests (deliverables)

- Validated local & global (EM collisional) GK simulations of ion-/electron- & multi-scale turbulent transport in the H-, QH-, I-, and L-mode edge.
- Extension of these simulations to self-consistently include relevant macroscopic (MHD-like) instabilities & development of a radial electric field.
- Consistent application of a TSVV4 code bridging core, pedestal & SOL regions including neutral physics to the L-H transition problem.
- An interpretative & predictive capability of L-H transitions accurately capturing the observed edge plasma dynamics in various machines.
- Reduced transport models for the pedestal on the basis of GK simulations, involving electron-/ion- scale, & macroscopic (MHD-like) instabilities.

N. Vianello | TSVV & ACH KoM | 23 April 2021 | Page 1

## TSVV3 – objectives and deliverables



- Long term goal:
  - Provide EUROfusion partners with a set of reference fluid tools to model the boundary plasma of magnetic fusion devices, ultimately allowing applications to reactor relevant devices such as ITER and DEMO
  - Key features:
    - Improve predictive capabilities and/or numerical cost w/r existing tools
    - Hierarchy of approaches from gradient-diffusion to gyro-fluid turbulence direct numerical simulations
- 2-step strategy:
  - 2021-2023: use existing fluid turbulence codes to evaluate models and numerical approaches to integrate edge physics (PWI, neutrals, impurities...) in fluid turbulence codes and extend the validity of plasma models to reactor relevant conditions
  - 2024-2025: progressively integrate and optimize most promising/mature approaches in a reduced set of tools co-developed/maintained by partners and apply to experiments
- Key deliverables by end of 2023:
  - A gyro-fluid model valid across the range of reactor relevant collisionalities including boundary conditions, multi-species and neutrals physics
  - A reduced turbulence model capturing main features of turbulence transport at significantly reduced numerical cost, implemented in existing mean-field codes
  - A 3D mean-field edge plasma code based on a finite-element HDG approach (equilibrium independent grid)
  - Fluid edge turbulence codes integrating edge physics capabilities currently only found in mean-field codes such as SOLPS-ITER (e.g., self-consistent neutrals recycling, impurities, realistic wall geometry...) and optimized to run effectively on HPCs
  - Codes made available to the community (documentation, public repositories, training sessions...) including tools to facilitate validation against experiments (IMAS, synthetic diagnostics)

# Setup of TSVV Task 4



#### Key deliverables:

- Develop highly scalable gyrokinetic / fully kinetic codes for the plasma edge. Provide first versions to TSVV T1 asap.
- Develop new methods for dealing with open field lines. Find improved boundary conditions via FK studies, potentially coupling of GK/FK approaches
- 3) Explore limitations of gyrokinetics: Compare GK/FK, e.g. for strong gradients. Extensions to GK needed?
- 4) Code coupling methods for handling interaction with neutrals and impurities Methods for coupling GK and fully kinetic approaches, plus GK and fluid/gyrofluid approaches

#### Our setup:

- 1) Advance three codes in parallel: GENE-X (IPP), PICLS (SPC), GyselaX (CEA)
- Combined numerical and analytical efforts: BIT1, VOICE simulations, semi-analytical model (grazing incidence)
- Hybrid/fully kinetic codes ssV and GEMPIC/AMReX; Moment-based edge GK model
- 4) Inclusion of neutrals planned for all main codes, gradually moving from simple source terms to more realistic models. Treat impurities either in-model, or by coupling to external simplified models. Coupling kinetic/fluid offered by moment-approach.

## The WP Tokamak Exploitation

Main objective of WPTE is to provide the **physics basis** for ITER and DEMO operational scenarios in a **unique integrated approach** profiting of machines of different capabilities, sizes and parameters



N. Vianello | TSVV & ACH KoM | 23 April 2021 | Page 3

## **The WPTE Research Topics**



RT1EJ/BLIBL scenarios towards low collisinality and detachmentO. Sauter,T. PuetterichL. PironRT2NV/BLH-mode entry and pedestal dependence with impurities and isotopesM. Dunne,L. FrassinettiImpuritiesRT3EJ/BLRF-assisted breakdown and current ramp-up optimizationD. Ricci,T WautersImpuritiesImpuritiesRT4EJ/MWDisruption avoidance and control for ITER and DEMOF. Felici,M. MaraschekO. KudlacekRT5EJ/AHRun-away electron generation and mitigationU. Sheikh,C. Reux,O. Ficker	
RT2NV/BLH-mode entry and pedestal dependence with impurities and isotopesM. Dunne,L. FrassinettiRT3EJ/BLRF-assisted breakdown and current ramp-up optimizationD. Ricci,T WautersRT4EJ/MWDisruption avoidance and control for ITER and DEMOF. Felici,M. MaraschekO. KudlacekRT5EJ/AHRun-away electron generation and mitigationU. Sheikh,C. Reux,O. Ficker	
RT3EJ/BLRF-assisted breakdown and current ramp-up optimizationD. Ricci,T WautersRT4EJ/MWDisruption avoidance and control for ITER and DEMOF. Felici,M. MaraschekO. KudlacekRT5EJ/AHRun-away electron generation and mitigationU. Sheikh,C. Reux,O. Ficker	
RT4EJ/MWDisruption avoidance and control for ITER and DEMOF. Felici,M. MaraschekO. KudlacekRT5EJ/AHRun-away electron generation and mitigationU. Sheikh,C. Reux,O. Ficker	
RT5 EJ/AH Run-away electron generation and mitigation U. Sheikh, C. Reux, O. Ficker	i
RT6     NV/AH     ELM mitigation and suppression in ITER/DEMO relevant condition     W. Suttrop     D. Ryan	
RT7 NV/BL Negative triangularity scenarios as an alternative for DEMO T. Bolzonella	
RT8 NV/BL QH-mode and I-mode assessment in view of DEMO E. Viezzer A.Merle	
RT9   MW/BL   Extension of EDA and QCE performance towards DEMO   M. Faitsch   L. Gil	
RT10 ET/AH Fast-ion physics with dominant ICRF heating Y. Kazakov R.Bilato	
RT11   NV/BL   Impact of MHD activity on fast ion losses and transport   M. Vallar   M. Garcia-Munoz	
RT12 EJ/NV Development of the steady state scenario S. Coda, C. Piron	
RT13 MW/AH X-point radiation and control M. Bernert, S. Wiesen	
RT14 MW/ET Physics of plasma detachment / impurity mix/ heat load patterns O. Février, S. Henderson A. Jarvinen	
RT15   NV/ET   Extrapolation of SOL transport to ITER and DEMO   D. Brida,   G. Harrer	
RT16 ET/AH PFC damage evolution under tokamak conditions Y. Corre, K. Krieger	
RT17 ET/AH Material migration and fuel retention mechanisms in tokamaks T. Loarer, J. Likonen	
RT18 MW/EJ Alternative divertor configurations A. Thornton C. Theiler	

N. Vianello | TSVV & ACH KoM | 23 April 2021 | Page 4

# The validation process: where interactions occur



Theory, Simulation, Validation and Verification

From RTs: open physical problems, unresolved experimental observations, detailed experimental dataset

From TSVVs: Needs for validation. Ad-Hoc experimental proposals for validation

- Foster integration with experimental activities and open issues
- Envisage validation step-ladder exercise
- Reduced model and usability after validation/verification

## **Thrust 1: Discussion outcome**



- Step-ladder approach to validation procedure. Use the unique capability of WPTE in exploring wide operational space
- Fostering the interaction between WP and TSVVs in order to identify the needs (physical parameters, observables ...) for validation and eventually theory oriented experimental proposal
- Build "Thrust wide" timeline to underline the interactions between the TSVVs and possible availability for the EUROfusion community (i.e. DEMO team)
- Discussion about ACH needs and priority to provide a possible unified needs (where synergies can be found)