



WP 2.3: Extension to 3d geometry

Axel Könies, Christoph Slaby, Alessandro Zocco

Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Wendelsteinstr. 1, D- 17491 Greifswald

June 9, 2021

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement number 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.



Why do we need a simplified and fast description of wave particle interaction in 3D?

- predictions of unstable modes in W7-X
- predict/find favorable operation regimes with respect to fast particles in W7-X (e.g. relevant for configuration changes of NBI)
- provide guidance and background physics for expensive fully gyro-kinetic global simulations
- affordably predict fast particle transport due to wave particle interaction



- In analogy to the local version two-dimensional gyro-kinetic code LIGKA, a three-dimensional extension will be developed aiming at solving the related eigenvalue problem. The development will rely on realistic stellarator equilibria calculated with VMEC.
- The kinetic part will make use of knowledge from the drift kinetic code CAS3D-K. Due to the many more additional couplings, considerable numerical problems are expected, therefore the passing particle contribution will be focus of the development. The model will be benchmarked against the analytical model of Kolesnichenko et al. and its validity can be tested by comparison with the EUTERPE and the STAE-K code.

Contents:

- Isolesnichenko model (LGRO)
- Perturbative global MHD (CAS3D-K)
- reduced MHD + passing species + FLR + $E_{||}$ (STAE-K)
- challenges in 3D

valid in the limit of very localized modes and for an isotropic distribution of the hot particles (Kolesnichenko et al. PoP 2001) hot particle growth rate:

$$\gamma = \frac{3\pi\beta_{\alpha}}{64k^2r^2} \sum_{\nu,\mu,j} \left| \epsilon^{(\mu\nu)} \right|^2 \frac{w \int_w^{w/\sqrt{\epsilon_{eff}}} duu(u^2 + w^2)^2 (\omega\partial/\partial u^2 + \omega_d) f_0}{\int_0^\infty duu^4 f_0}$$

with

$$w = \left| v_{A*} \left(1 + 2j \frac{\iota_* - \nu N}{\mu_0 \iota_* - \nu_0 N} \right) \right| / v_0 \qquad u = v / v_0$$
$$\iota_* = (2n + \nu N) / (2m + \mu_0) \qquad k = [(m + p)\iota - n + s] R_0^{-1}$$

Wendelstein

7-X



proportionality to equilibrium quantities

$$\frac{\gamma}{\omega_0} \propto A^2 \sum_{\mu\nu} |\epsilon^{\kappa}_{\mu\nu}|^2 \approx A^2 \sum_{\mu\nu} |\epsilon^B_{\mu\nu}|^2$$

- coupling is approximately given by the structure of B
 ⇒ investigate spectrum of B
- \bullet note, that for a TAE in a large aspect ratio tokamak: $\frac{\gamma}{\omega_0}$ is independent of the equilibrium
- the resonance condition $\omega k_{||}v_{th} = 0$ determines

$$v_{m'n'}^{\text{res}} = v_A \left| 1 \pm \frac{m'\iota^* + n'N_p}{m\iota^* + n} \right|^{-1}$$

i.e. well known resonances at $v_0 = v_A$ and $v_0 = v_A/3$ for a Tokamak

TAE mode frequencies and growth/ damping rates from a local computation

 $T_i = 3.8 keV: T_i = 7.6 keV$



Wendelstein

7-X



there is an energy integral considering kinetic effects

$$\delta W_{\rm kin} = \omega^2 \frac{1}{2} \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \left| \xi_\perp \right|^2 \rho_M = \delta W_{\rm mag} + \sum_{s=\rm i,e,fast} \delta W_s(\omega)$$

(Kruskal/Oberman 1958 ... Antonsen/Lee 1984)

$$\delta W_{\text{mag}} = \frac{1}{2} \int \! d^3 \mathbf{x} \left\{ \left| B_{\perp}^{(1)} \right|^2 + \left| B_{\parallel}^{(1)} \right|^2 + \mathbf{j}_{\parallel} \cdot \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp} \times \mathbf{B}_{\perp}^{(1)} \right) - \frac{B_{\parallel}^{(1)}}{B} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp}^* \cdot \nabla p + \left(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp}^* \right) \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp} \cdot \nabla p \right) \right\}$$

the non-adiabatic contributions from the hot and thermal component replace the MHD fluid compression term the contributions from the thermal plasma ($\delta W_{\rm i,e}$) and the fast particles $\delta W_{\rm fast}$) depend on the perturbed particle Lagrangian $L^{(1)}$

(A. Könies, PoP 2000)



particle- wave- energy- exchange by resonant interaction

$$\delta W_{s} = \frac{\pi}{M_{s}^{2}} \left\{ \sum_{\sigma} \right\} \int ds \int d\varphi \int d\mu \, d\epsilon \left(-\int \frac{d\vartheta}{|v_{||}|} \sqrt{g}B \right) \sum_{\substack{n,m \ n',m'}} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{N_{p}}(n'-n)\varphi} \times \\ \times \left(\frac{\partial F_{s}}{\partial \epsilon} \right)_{\mu} \frac{\omega - 2\pi (\frac{n}{N_{p}}J - mI)\omega^{*}}{m \left\langle \omega_{d}^{\vartheta} \right\rangle + \frac{n}{N_{p}} \left\langle \omega_{d}^{\varphi} \right\rangle + \left\{ \frac{\sigma(p+nq)}{p} \right\} \omega_{\left\{ \frac{t}{b} \right\}} - \omega} L_{m'n'}^{(1)*} \mathcal{M}_{pn}^{m'n'*} L_{mn}^{(1)} \mathcal{M}_{pn}^{mn}$$

definition of $\mathcal{M}_{pn}^{m'n'}$: for passing particles:

perturbed particle Lagrangian:

 $L^{(1)} = -(Mv_{\parallel}^2 - \mu B)\xi_{\perp} \cdot \kappa + \mu B\nabla \cdot \xi_{\perp}$

$$\mathcal{M}_{pn}^{m'n'} = \left\langle e^{i[2\pi(m'+n'q)\vartheta'' - (p+nq)\omega_t t'']} \right\rangle_{\vartheta''}$$

for reflected particles:

$$\mathcal{M}_{pn}^{m'n'} = \left\langle e^{2\pi i (m'+n'q)\vartheta''} \left[\cos^2(\frac{\pi}{2}p) \cos(p\omega_b t'') - i \sin^2(\frac{\pi}{2}p) \sin(p\omega_b t'') \right] \right\rangle_{\vartheta''}$$

(...) denotes the transit or bounce average (A. Könies et al. Varenna Fusion Theory Conf. 2008)

field line orbits W7-AS







if bounce expansion is kept all averages as numerical functions including full geometry even including reflected particles

field line orbits of reflected particles:



3. non-perturbative kinetic MHD - STAE-K



(Shooting code for Toroidicity-induced Alfvén Eigenmodes with Kinetic extensions) The equation that has to be solved is given as

$$\begin{split} 0 &= -\left(\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla\right)\left\{\frac{1}{B^2}\nabla\cdot\left[B^2\nabla_{\perp}\left(\frac{1}{B^2}\left(\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla\right)\phi^{(1)}\right)\right]\right\}\\ &-\left[\mathbf{B}\times\nabla\left(\frac{1}{B^2}\left(\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla\right)\phi^{(1)}\right)\right]\cdot\nabla\left[\frac{R^2}{I}\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{1}{R^2}\nabla\psi\right)\right]\\ &-\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{\omega^2}{v_{\rm A}^2}\nabla_{\perp}\phi^{(1)}\right)\underbrace{+\frac{4\pi\mathrm{i}\omega}{c^2}\sum_{s}e_s\int\mathrm{d}^3v\;\nabla\cdot\left(f_s^{(1)}\mathbf{v}_{\rm toroidal}\right)}. \end{split}$$

kinetic extension

H. L. Berk, J. W. Van Dam, Z. Guo and D. M. Lindberg, Phys. Fluids B, Vol. 4, No. 7, July 1992, page 1806

- calculations can be performed in tokamak- or stellarator geometry
- physical shortcommings:
 - no FOW- and FLR-effects
 - only passing particles
 - at this point: isotropic Maxwellian equilibrium distribution function (It is planned to also include a more r ealistic slowing-down distribution function in the future.)
- advantages:
 - $\bullet\,$ calculations are very fast \longrightarrow especially suited for parameter scans in the EPM regime
 - easy availability of additional information such as kinetic continuum
 - stability diagrams
- C. Slaby et al. Phys. Plasmas, 23: 092501 (2016)



- singular eigenfunctions lead to numerical difficulties
- ⇒ sinking of the mode frequency below the continuum boundary must be prevented if higher fast-particle temperatures and densities (EPM regime) are to be reached
- \implies add

$$\nabla \cdot \left[\nabla_{\perp} \left\{ g_{Km} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot \left(\rho \nabla_{\perp} \phi^{(1)} \right) \right\} \right] \cong g_{Km} \nabla_{\perp}^{4} \phi^{(1)}$$

with

$$g_{Km} = k_{\parallel,m} \left[\frac{3}{8} \rho_{\rm i}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{\rm s}^2 \frac{1 + {\rm i} \hat{\nu} Z\left(x\right)}{1 + x Z\left(x\right)} \right]$$

 $\rho_{\rm i} \dots {\rm ion ~gyroradius}, \quad \rho_{\rm s} \dots {\rm sound ~gyroradius}, \quad x = \frac{\omega + {\rm i}\nu}{k_{\parallel} v_{\rm th,e}}, \quad \hat{\nu} = \frac{\nu}{k_{\parallel} v_{\rm th,e}}$

G. Y. Fu et al., Physics of Plasmas, Vol. 12, 082505, 2005

to the equation \longrightarrow gives fourth-order system

$$0 = \underline{M}_4 \boldsymbol{\phi}^{(iv)} + \underline{M}_3 \boldsymbol{\phi}^{\prime\prime\prime} + \underline{M}_2 \boldsymbol{\phi}^{\prime\prime} + \underline{M}_1 \boldsymbol{\phi}^{\prime} + \underline{M}_0 \boldsymbol{\phi}$$

- ullet continuum of matrix \underline{M}_2 resolved, now that of \underline{M}_4 matters instead
- singularities in the eigenfunctions resolved

Results including the fourth-order damping term





Stability diagrams



ITPA-benchmark

W7-X scenario





including also radiative damping:







 $\Delta n \neq 0$ couplings need to be considered for quantitative agreement of continuum (even for branches relevant to TAE and GAE) otherwise frequency deviation can be considerable (5...10kHz)

 \Rightarrow look for smallest possible set of harmonics







complicated structure of magnetic field complicated particle orbits no inherent symmetry

 \Rightarrow expand using quasi-symmetry or J = const properties?

 \Rightarrow use bounce/transit harmonic from CAS3D-K



mode coupling and complicated orbits pose much more complicated system of equations $% \label{eq:constraint}$

```
\Rightarrow adopt LIGKA scheme ?
```

 \Rightarrow again try shooting method if possible (STAE-K)?





positive perspective: local and global results did agree quite well due to small