WP 3.6 - Fully GK simulations for verification and validation with the PIC code ORB5

Alessandro Biancalani,

Max-Planck Institute for Plasma Physics, 85748 Garching, Germany

A. Bottino, N. Carlevaro, M. V. Falessi, T. Hayward-Schneider, P. Lauber, A. Mishchenko, B. Rettino, F. Vannini, et al.



ATEP kick-off-meeting June 9th, 2021



This work has been carried out within the transeevitk of the EUROdusion Constantium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 633063. The views and opinions expressed herein do not mocessarily reflect those of the European Commission.



Motivation: from fluid to kinetic



The need for a kinetic model for EP transport studies

• A kinetic treatment is known to be necessary due to [Chen-16]:

1) the low frequencies (~ ω_{ti}), where resonances with bulk ions substantially modify the MHD predictions

2) wave-particle interaction responsible for the EP drive / transport

- 3) kinetic modific. to wave-wave inter. (especially for $k_\perp
 ho_i \sim 1)$
- Electron Landau damping important in AUG [Novikau-17, Vannini-20] \rightarrow kin. ele. crucial for comparison with experiments
- The frequency of the modes is much lower than the cyclotron frequency → the gyro-motion can be averaged out
- Gyrokinetics: dimension of phase-space reduced, $6D \rightarrow 5D$



[Frieman-82, Littlejohn-83, Hahm-88, Brizard-07]



The gyrokinetic code ORB5

ORB5: global GK particle-in-cell electro-magnetic code [Lanti-19]

• Gyrocenter trajectories:

$$\dot{\mathbf{R}} = \frac{1}{m} \left(p_{\parallel} - \frac{e}{c} J_0 A_{\parallel} \right) \frac{\mathbf{B}^*}{B_{\parallel}^*} + \frac{c}{e B_{\parallel}^*} \mathbf{b} \times \left[\mu \nabla B + e \nabla J_0 \left(\phi - \frac{p_{\parallel}}{mc} A_{\parallel} \right) \right]$$

$$\dot{p}_{\parallel} = -\frac{\mathbf{B}^*}{B_{\parallel}^*} \cdot \left[\mu \nabla B + e \nabla J_0 \left(\phi - \frac{p_{\parallel}}{mc} A_{\parallel} \right) \right]$$

• GK Poisson equation:

$$-\nabla \cdot \frac{n_0 m c^2}{B^2} \nabla_\perp \phi = \Sigma_{\rm sp} \, e \int \mathrm{d} W J_0 f$$

• Ampère equation ($J_0 = 1$ here for simplicity):

$$\Sigma_{\rm sp} \int \mathrm{d}W \Big(\frac{ep_{\parallel}}{mc} f - \frac{e^2}{mc^2} A_{\parallel} f_M \Big) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \nabla_{\perp}^2 A_{\parallel} = 0$$

Pull-back scheme strongly mitigates cancellation problem [Mishchenko-19].

EGAMs in AUG, fully nonlinear



- GK ions, DK ele., and GK EPs, with EPs modelled with bump-on-tail
- Fully NL sims of mode with n = 0
- Despite approximations, relative EGAM frequency chirping analog to experiment
- Redistribution of EP in velocity space studied (see also talk by N. Carlevaro for results of ORB5 in simplified configurations)
- Next step: comparison with reduced models with and w/o turbulence





EPM/TAE in AUG, with wave-EP nonlinearity

- Experimental magnetic equil. (NLED-AUG case)
- GK ions, DK ele., and GK EPs, with EPs modelled with maxwellian and bump-on-tail
- EPM and TAE identified with n = 1 (see also [Vlad-21])
- Nonlinear simulations show pumping of AM by EGAM at low EP concentrations.
- Next step 1: investigation of EP redistribution for sim with n = 1, with and n = 0, 1





BAE and zonal structures, fully nonlinear

- Simplified magnetic equilibrium: circular flux surfaces, $\epsilon = 0.1$
- Fully nonlinear simulation of modes with 0 ≤ n ≤ 9.
- BAE Saturation due to EP redistribution and ZS excitation
- Redistribution of thermal species (especially electrons) also crucial for nonlinear dynamics [Biancalani-20]
- Zonal structures excited by forced-driven excitation [Spong-94, Todo-10, Chen-12, Zhang-13, Qiu-16]



- Zonal electric field excited first by turbulence, then by AMs
- Fully NL electromagnetic simulation: WP-NL + WW-NL (all species follow perturbed orbits)
- Noise initialized at t=0
- Toroidal filter allows $0 \le n \le 40$
- EP switched on at $t = 4.9 \cdot 10^4 \Omega_i^{-1}$



- Krook operator, conserving zonal fields, applied to thermal species: \rightarrow source restoring thermal profiles, no sources for EPs
- Next step: EP redistribution in the presence of turbulence

- Gyrokinetic model needed for comparison of NL dynamics of EP-driven instabilities and experiments, especially at low frequencies
- GK PIC code ORB5 verified and benchmarked for EGAMs and AMs in the absence of turbulence
- ORB5 validation in progress for AUG experimental data
- ORB5 also applied to DIIID [Taimourzadeh-19] and ITER [Hayward-Schneider-21] for AM studies
- Electromagnetic global simulations of Alfvén modes (BAEs) and turbulence also performed in simplified configurations at low beta [Biancalani-21] and higher beta [Mishchenko-21]



Goal: get data from NL ORB5 sims to help writing reduced models

- Simplified configurations (circular equilibria, friendly profiles) help the comparison with analytical theory and reduced models, which should then be validated in more experimental configurations.
- Nonlinear interaction of EPs and EGAMs/AMs can be studied with phase space diagnostics → derive simplified analytical models where only the region near the resonances are considered
- GK sims can investigate the regimes where the effect of turbulence on the EP dynamics is important → combine resonant and non-resonant EP dynamics in reduced models
- Long time behavior: the measurement of phase space zonal structure in ORB5 (in analogy with what done in HMGC and HYMAGIC) can help comparing predictions of reduced models with results GK turbulence simulations