

### SPD.2 (D005): Model development for dust production mechanisms from melting

N. Scapin, M. Crialesi-Esposito, L. Brandt, S. Ratynskaia, L. Vignitchouk



This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 and 2019-2020 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.



Commercial CFD codes are generally not well-suited for simulations of transient PFC surface melting

- Huge disparity between plasma and metal properties
- Navier-Stokes equations are not adapted to describe plasma behaviour near boundaries
- Large range of physical scenarios and associated scales (arcing, disruptions, flow over obstacle, ...) → successful recipes to use a commercial tool in one case can fail in another
- Licensing issues

## Governing equations for interface resolved 3D simulations



• Need to track solid-liquid interface in the metal

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho \boldsymbol{v}) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v}) = -\nabla p + \nabla \cdot \left[ \mu \left( \nabla \boldsymbol{v} + \nabla \boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{T}} \right) \right] + \boldsymbol{F}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho h) + \nabla \cdot (\rho h \boldsymbol{v}) = \nabla \cdot (\lambda \nabla T) + Q$$

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\alpha \boldsymbol{v}) = 0$$

$$F_{\text{solid}}$$

$$\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} = 0$$

<u>Challenge</u>: liquid-plasma flows are characterized of extremely-large difference in the material properties which make the commonly employed "one-fluid formulation approach" not adequate both from a physical and numerical point of view.

~

#### Selected approach: impose a freesurface interface with the plasma



- Impose the "free-surface boundary condition" at the interface:
  - 1. continuity of the velocity normal-to-the-interface
  - 2. zero tangential stress
  - 3. pressure jump
- Impose heat/mass flux at the interface with the ghost-fluid method



$$\begin{split} [\mathbf{v}]_{\Gamma} &= \mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2 = 0\\ 2\mu_l \mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\Gamma} &= 0\\ p|_{\Gamma} &= \sigma \kappa_{\Gamma} + 2\mu_l \ (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{n})|_{\Gamma} \end{split}$$

Scapin, N., Costa, P., & Brandt, L. (2020). A volumeof-fluid method for interface-resolved simulations of phase-changing two-fluid flows. *Journal of Computational Physics* **407** 109251.

N. Scapin et al | PWIE kick-off meeting, PSI and SOL Modelling | 2021-06-28 | Page 4

### Selected approach: hybrid VOF-IBM



- Geometric VoF to track interface and to keep the interface within 2-3 grid cells
- Immersed Boundary method to impose the kinematic boundary conditions at the moving interface



By doing so:

- The two phases are decoupled at a discrete level
- The material properties of the plasma, often difficult to predict, do not affect the fluid dynamics of the liquid metal.

Shahmardi, A., Rosti, M. E., Tammisola, O., & Brandt, L. (2021). A fully Eulerian hybrid immersed boundary-phase field model for contact line dynamics on complex geometries. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 110468.

# Preliminary validation – Test 1: flat stationary surface



• <u>Set-up</u>: flat surface (at  $z/l_z = 0.5$ ) in a 2D square box. The interface is kept fixed and the flow is kept with a prescribed mean velocity  $U_b$ . Wall boundary condition prescribed on the bottom  $(z/l_z = 0)$ , free-slip on the top  $(z/l_z = 1)$ , periodicity in the horizontal direction.



<u>Aim</u>: Assess the ability of the method to maintain the zero tangential boundary condition at the interface.

<u>Outcome</u>: as desired, the zero stress boundary condition is kept in time.

### Preliminary validation – Test 2: moving, curved interface







- <u>Set-up</u>: moving curved surface in a 2D square box. Wall boundary condition prescribed on the top, free-slip on the top, periodicity in the horizontal direction.
- <u>Aim</u>: assess the ability of the method to maintain the zero tangential boundary condition at the interface when this one moves.
- <u>Outcome</u>: as desired, the mean tangential stress at the interface is kept relatively small compared to the wall shear stress at the bottom wall.

N. Scapin et al | PWIE kick-off meeting, PSI and SOL Modelling | 2021-06-28 | Page 7

#### Preliminary validation – Test 3: Pressure driven liquid pool (on-going)





- <u>Set-up</u>: hemisphere of full of liquid. Wall boundary conditions at the top, zero-pressure outflow in the horizontal one, external pressure jump imposed at the interface.
- <u>Aim</u>: assess the ability of the method to correctly impose a zero-stress boundary condition at the interface in a more complex case.
- <u>Outcome</u>: the tangential interface stress remains small (0.1% of the wall stress).

### **Conclusions and outlook**



- The code development phase in well underway
- The imposition of free-surface boundary conditions has been tested in several benchmark cases

#### Next steps

- Implement heat transfer modelling and the associated boundary conditions
- Carry out new tests using more complex and realistic geometries