



RABBIT: A high-fidelity code to simulate the NBI fast-ion distribution in real-time

<u>M. Weiland</u>¹, R. Bilato¹, R. Dux¹, A. Lebschy¹, F. Felici², R. Fischer¹, O. Kudlacek¹, P. Schneider¹, B. Sieglin¹, G. Tardini¹, M. A. van Zeeland³, the ASDEX Upgrade and MST1 teams

> ¹Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Garching, Germany ²École polytechnique fédérale de Lausanne, Switzerland ³General Atomics, San Diego, California, United States of America

RABBIT: Real-time model for the NBI fast-ion distribution

Motivation:

- Fast ion distribution function is required for instance:
 - Heating profiles for transport calculations
 - pressure and current-drive for equilibrium reconstructions
- Sophisticated simulation codes exist (e.g. TRANSP/NUBEAM based on Monte Carlo), but long computation time (~ 30 s per time-step)
- → Too slow for real-time applications (e.g. discharge control systems, real-time transport solvers like RAPTOR)
- → Develop fast model Rapid Analytical Based Beam Injection Tool – RABBIT [M. Weiland, NF 2018] (~20 ms per time-step)





Kinetic equation

(=0



Kinetic equation for distribution function f(x, v, t)

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f + \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} f = \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \Gamma_c(f) + \text{Source}$$
Orbit effects
$$a = \frac{q}{m} \left(E + \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \times B \right)$$
Time dependence
(=0 for steady state
solution)
Collisions
(e.g. slowing down,
pitch angle scattering)

Kinetic equation - outline

(=0



Kinetic equation for distribution function f(x, v, t)

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f + \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} f = \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \Gamma_c(f) + \text{Source}$$
3. Orbit effects
$$a = \frac{q}{m} \left(E + \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \times B \right)$$
4. Time dependence
(=0 for steady state
solution)
2. collisions
(e.g. slowing down,
pitch angle scattering)

Beam deposition (birth profile)





- Injection of fast neutrals into the plasma \rightarrow Ionization \rightarrow newly "born" fast ion
- Fast-ion birth rate = (beam attenuation rate)
- Calculation of beam attenuation: BESFM Code by A. Lebschy, R. Dux, IPP
- We use the simplest geometry: NBI as thin line
- Good approximation for attenuation for birth profile, we need to take into account the beam width:



Assume a Gaussian broadening with standard deviation σ(I), I = coordinate along beam, σ(I) defined by NBI parameters (e.g. divergence)

Markus Weiland

Analytic model for the poloidal beam width





- We assume Gaussian spreading along orange line (standard deviation σ)
- Assume circular concentric flux surfaces
- Transformation between flux coordinate ρ and geometric radius r based on ratio at B: r(ρ) = ρ * (r_b / ρ_b)
- → Crossings with p-cells can be calculated analytically
- \rightarrow Contribution into i-th cell ρ_i :

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\operatorname{erf}\frac{h_{2u}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma} - \operatorname{erf}\frac{h_{1u}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\operatorname{erf}\frac{h_{2l}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma} - \operatorname{erf}\frac{h_{1l}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}\right)$$

 Correction for plasma elongation: Scale beam width σ according to elongation b/a at B.

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{(a\cos\theta)^2 + (b\sin\theta)^2}{(a\cos\beta)^2 + (b\sin\beta)^2}}$$

Beam deposition (birth profile) with beam-width correction





• Taking into account a Gaussian broadening of the beam leads to good agreement with TRANSP/NUBEAM

ASDEX Upgrade

Kinetic equation - outline



Kinetic equation for distribution function f(x, v, t)



Analytic solution of the Fokker-Planck equation



$$\frac{1}{\tau_{s}v^{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial v}[(v^{3}+v_{c}^{3})f] + \frac{\beta}{\tau_{s}}\frac{v_{c}^{3}}{v^{3}}\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}(1-\xi^{2})\frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} + \frac{1}{\tau_{s}v^{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\left[\left(\frac{T_{e}}{m_{fi}}v^{2} + \frac{T_{i}}{m_{fi}}\frac{v_{c}^{3}}{v}\right)\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}\right] = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} - \frac{S}{2\pi v^{2}}\delta(v-v_{0})K(\xi)$$
source term
source term
source term
$$\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}+v_{c}^{2}\rangle}{v^{3}+v_{c}^{3}} + \frac{1}{v^{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\left[\left(\frac{T_{e}}{v^{2}}+\frac{T_{i}}{w_{fi}}\frac{v_{c}^{3}}{v^{2}}\right)\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}\right] = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} - \frac{S}{2\pi v^{2}}\delta(v-v_{0})K(\xi)$$
source term
$$\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}+v_{c}^{2}\rangle}{v^{2}+v_{c}^{3}} + \frac{1}{v^{2}}\frac{\partial}{v^{2}}\left[\left(\frac{T_{e}}{v^{2}}+\frac{T_{i}}{w_{c}^{2}}\frac{v_{c}^{3}}{v_{c}^{3}}\right)\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}\right] = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} - \frac{S}{2\pi v^{2}}\delta(v-v_{0})K(\xi)$$
source term
$$\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}+v_{c}^{2}\rangle}{v^{2}+v_{c}^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}}{v^{3}}\right] + \frac{1}{v^{2}}\frac{\partial}{v^{2}}\left[\left(\frac{T_{e}}{v^{2}}+\frac{T_{i}}{w_{c}^{2}}\frac{v_{c}^{3}}{v_{c}^{3}}\right)\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}\right] = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} - \frac{S}{2\pi v^{2}}\delta(v-v_{0})K(\xi)$$
source term
$$\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}+v_{c}^{2}\rangle}{v^{2}+v_{c}^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}}{v^{3}}\right] + \frac{1}{v^{2}}\frac{\partial}{v^{2}}\left[\left(\frac{T_{e}}{v^{2}}+\frac{T_{i}}{w_{c}^{3}}\frac{\partial}{v^{2}}\right)\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}\right] = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} - \frac{S}{2\pi v^{2}}\delta(v-v_{0})K(\xi)$$
source term
$$\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}+v_{c}^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}}{v^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}}{v^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}}{v^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}}{v^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}}{v^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}+v_{c}^{2}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}}{v^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}}{v^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}}{v^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}+v_{c}^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}}{v^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}}{v^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}+v_{c}^{2}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}}{v^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}+v_{c}^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}}{v^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}+v_{c}^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}}{v^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}+v_{c}^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}}{v^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}+v_{c}^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}+v_{c}^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}+v_{c}^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}}{v^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}+v_{c}^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}+v_{c}^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}+v_{c}^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}+v_{c}^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}+v_{c}^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}+v_{c}^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}+v_{c}^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}+v_{c}^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}^{3}}\frac{\langle v_{c}$$

- Uniform plasma solution, i.e. each radial cell is independent of each other, no particle trapping etc.
- A correction for speed diffusion is applied above injection energy.

Adding the effect of speed diffusion (above injection energy)



$$\frac{1}{\tau_{\rm s}v^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial v}[(v^3+v_{\rm c}^3)f] + \frac{\beta}{\tau_{\rm s}}\frac{v_{\rm c}^3}{v^3}\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}(1-\xi^2)\frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} + \frac{1}{\tau_{\rm s}v^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\left[\left(\frac{T_{\rm e}}{m_{\rm fi}}v^2 + \frac{T_{\rm i}}{m_{\rm fi}}\frac{v_{\rm c}^3}{v}\right)\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}\right] = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} - \frac{S}{2\pi v^2}\delta(v-v_0)K(\xi)$$
slowing down
pitch angle scattering
speed diffusion
Source term
S: deposition, v0: injection velocity (mono-energetic)
K(\xi): broad pitch distribution

- Speed diffusion creates high energy tail above the injection energy
- assuming $v \approx v_0$:

$$f(v) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{S\tau_{\rm s}}{v_0^3 + v_{\rm c}^3} \exp \frac{-(v^2 - v_0^2)}{v_{\rm eff}^2}$$
$$v_{\rm eff}^2 = \frac{2}{m_{\rm fi}} \frac{T_{\rm e}v_0^3 + T_{\rm i}v_{\rm c}^3}{v_0^3 + v_{\rm c}^3} \equiv \frac{2T_{\rm eff}}{m_{\rm fi}}$$

 Only few particles, but high energies → relevant for e.g. fast-ion pressure and neutron rates



The full distribution function in the plasma center

- For full distribution function, add up all 3 energy components of NBI
- Comparison to TRANSP shows good agreement!



ASDEX Upgrade

Density and heating profiles

0

0.0

0.2



$$f(v,\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{S \cdot \tau_{\mathsf{S}}}{v^3 + v_{\mathsf{C}}^3} \cdot \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(l + \frac{1}{2} \right) u^{l(l+1)} P_l(\xi) K_l \cdot H(v_0 - v)$$

- In the end, we are interested in integrals of f, e.g.: Heating power (to electrons and ions), fast-ion pressure and current drive
- These integrals can also be solved analytically. Due to orthogonality of the Legendre polynomials, only first few moments are necessary (I=0, 1)

• E.g. fast-ion density:
$$n_{fi} = \iint 2\pi v^2 \cdot f(v,\xi) \, dv \, d\xi = \frac{S\tau_S}{3} \ln\left(\frac{v_0^3 + v_C^3}{v_C^3}\right)$$

8.10⁵ RABBIT w/o orbits 6·10⁵ NUBEAM $[W/m^3]$ heating to 4.10⁵ ions 2·10⁵ 0.2 0.0 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 ho_{tor} 2.5·10⁵ heating to 2.0·10⁵ electrons $[W/m^3]$ 1.5·10⁵ 1.0·10⁵ 5.0·10⁴ 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 ρ_{tor}

• Profile shapes do not (yet) agree well, due to missing orbit-effects

 ho_{tor}

0.4

0.6

0.8

1.0

Kinetic equation - outline



Kinetic equation for distribution function f(x, v, t)

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f + \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} f = \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \Gamma_c(f) + \text{Source}$$
3. Orbit effects
$$\mathbf{a} = \frac{q}{m} \left(\mathbf{E} + \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \times \mathbf{B} \right)$$
me dependence

4. Time dependence(=0 for steady state solution)

2. collisions(e.g. slowing down, pitch angle scattering)

• In MC codes (e.g. NUBEAM)

- MC representation of source
- Calculate orbits for each MC marker
- Apply collision operator during orbit steps
- For real-time: Only ad-hoc treatment of orbit effects possible

1. Source = NBI deposition

Kinetic equation - outline



Kinetic equation for distribution function f(x, v, t)

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f + \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} f = \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \Gamma_c(f) + \langle \text{Source} \rangle \text{orbit}$$
3. Orbit effects
$$a = \frac{q}{m} \left(E + \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \times B \right)$$
1. Source = NBI deposition

4. Time dependence(=0 for steady state solution)

2. collisions(e.g. slowing down, pitch angle scattering)

• In MC codes (e.g. NUBEAM)

- MC representation of source
- Calculate orbits for each MC marker
- Apply collision operator during orbit steps
- For real-time: Only ad-hoc treatment of orbit effects possible

deposition

How to include the effect of first fast-ion orbit

ASDEX Upgrade

- Orbit effects lead to a broadened deposition (towards the plasma center) and to changes of the pitch-distribution in the velocity space
- They can be taken into account, by averaging the deposition over the first orbit:
 - assume that slowing-down process starts on random position of first orbit
 - ➔ neglect orbit effects during slowing down





Monte-Carlo orbit-average is too slow for real-time applications

- Monte-Carlo orbit-average:
 - Take MC representation of birth distribution
 - Calculate orbit for each MC marker (e.g. ~5000)
 - \rightarrow too slow for real-time purposes (takes ~1s)
- Possible solutions:
 - Either: Use approximation formulas for the orbits
 - Or: Reduce number of orbits (strongly)



ASDEX Upgrade Orbit average in RABBIT



- Calculate orbit only every n-th grid point (4th order Runge-Kutta guiding center integrator) Right: All calculated orbits for full energy component
- Here: 19 orbits x 3 energy components
 → possible within ~10 ms.
- In between: Shift neighboring profiles and interpolate linearly





Markus Weiland

Orbit-average: compatible with beam-width model





- Up to now, we have calculated the orbit-average along the beam (at b).
- For the beam-width correction, we need to extrapolate from the ρ-cell containing b (ρ_{ref}) along the orange line to other radial cells

• E.g. from
$$\rho_{ref}$$
 to $\star(\rho_i)$:

$$S_i(\rho) = S_b(\rho - (\rho_i - \rho_{ref}))$$

(similar to the interpolation method)



<mark>ρref</mark> ρi

Results of "RABBIT orbit average" in good agreement with MC orbit average

- Test accuracy of the RABBIT rt orbit average: Compare it to Monte-Carlo orbit average (including fully realistic NBI geometry)
- Very good agreement is found, despite orders of magnitude difference in calculation time (~5000 orbits vs. ~60 orbits)





Comparison to NUBEAM





- Orbit-average leads to good agreement in profile shape
- Orbit-average has also an impact on volume-integrated heating distribution to electrons/ions and improves agreement



Kinetic equation - outline



Kinetic equation for distribution function f(x, v, t)



Time dependence



- Up to now: steady-state solution of Fokker-Planck equation fss
- For time-dependent simulation: Discrete time steps Δt, assume inputs are constant during each time-step.
- Model NBI with a δ -function-like pulse at the beginning of each time step
- Calulate how far the fast-ion pulse slowes down during time-step:









Time dependence via train of fast-ion pulses





• Final state of "step 1" is starting point of "step 2"

 If beam is still turned on in "step 2", add a new pulse at nominal injection energy

 continue ...
 (add new rows each time-step, sum over rows)

Markus Weiland

Time dependence







- •A row is terminated once its fastion pulse has slowed down to zero.
- → If plasma parameters are constant, we eventually reach the steady state solution ✓

Time step 1

Time dependence





- Changes of plasma parameters are treated consistently
- E.g. different f_{SS} in 2a and 2b, because the fast-ions in 2a have had a different "past history" they had different plasma parameters in "step 1"





Time-dependent solution, background plasma changes over time Time-dependent solution, constant background plasma

→ still some discontinuities between individual pulses: due to (weak) v-dependence of FP-coefficents Steady-state solution, wellbehaved gradients

Technically this is done by setting the RABBIT time-step to a value larger than the slowing down time.

Comparison of time evolution with NUBEAM

- Analyze discharge where different NBI sources (Q#) are interchanged
- Good agreement of temporal evolution







Summary & Conclusion



• For f, Rabbit solves $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = -\nabla_{\vec{v}} \cdot \vec{\Gamma}_{c}(f) + \langle \sigma \rangle (\rho, v, \xi)$, where $\langle \sigma \rangle$ is birth profile averaged over first orbit

- f can be interpreted as flux-surface averaged fast ion (NBI) distribution function, f(rho_tor, v, xi)
- For pitch xi, Legendre decomposition is used, such that the actual output is:
 f I (rho tor, v) with f(rho tor, v, xi) = Sum I (f I (rho tor, v) P I(xi))
- Potential issues: (?)
- Numerical problems with Legendre Polynomials:
 - When NBI birth distribution is narrow in pitch xi, the Legendre series shows oscillations (that get worse with higher L's).
 - Also: negative values of f



• No unique map to $P_{\varphi} = mR \frac{B_{tor}}{B} v_{\parallel} + q \Psi$ and mu ($\frac{m}{q} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \frac{mv_{\perp}^2}{B} \equiv \frac{m}{q} \cdot \mu$)

