

# **Role of Er on plasma turbulence (OP1 summary presentation)**

Compiled by T. Estrada

Contributors: D. Carralero, C. Killer, A. Krämer-Flecken,

R. Lunsford, E. Maragkoudakis, N. Pablant, E. Sánchez,

A. von Stechow, J.L. Velasco, T. Windisch



**Ciemo** 

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Medioambientales y Tecnológicas





This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 and 2019-2020 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.





In stellarators the radial electric field profile is (mostly) determined by Neoclassical transport:

- Plasma kinetic profiles set the  $E_r$  profile, and they all impact the turbulence:
	- $\checkmark$  Profile shaping can reduce turbulence (adressed by A. von Stechow and D. Carralero)
	- $\checkmark$  E<sub>r</sub> directly impacts turbulence in stellarators (not so in tokamaks)
	- $\checkmark$  Strong E<sub>r</sub> shear can stretch and break turbulent structures, reducing turbulence transport
- Turbulence itself can modify the average plasma flow by sheared zonal flow (ZF) generation, which reacts back on the turbulence and suppresses its own driver

To disentangle the impact of  $E_r$  and kinetic profiles on turbulence is not an easy task, neither  $\overline{a}$ *in* experiments nor in realistic simulations



### **Status of understanding after OP1**

- **E<sub>r</sub> profile validation activities**: cross-diagnostic & NC simulation comparison
- **Er -shear at the edge/SOL boundary**:

impact on edge turbulence *(impact on SOL transport*  $\rightarrow$  adressed by C. Killer)

• **E<sub>r</sub>** impact on core turbulence:

post-pellet enhanced confinement phase & impurity injection experiments

- **E<sub>r</sub>** at the magnetic islands: impact on turbulence (next presentation)
- Gyrokinetic simulations: linear & non-linear results

# **Open questions and outlook towards OP2**

- Non-linear global simulations
- New measurement capabilities for OP2



by the validation group: Windisch, Alonso, Buller, Carralero, Pablant, Smith

#### W7-X 20180920.009 | UTC: 08:03:19 | T0: 1537430599381513641 A1 B1 B5 C1 D1 D5 E1 E5  $P_{ECRH}$ P [MW]  $P_{FCRH}$ setp.  $P_{NB}$  $P_{rad}$ sniffer  $\int$ ndl $\left[ m^{-2} \right]$  $n_{\rm e}$  [10  $^{19}$  ]  $n_{e(TS \text{ vol2 v8})}$  [m<sup>-3</sup>]  $gas(H2)$ n setpoint  $T_{e (TS vol2-V8)}$  $T_{\text{eff}}$  [keV]<br>  $\rightarrow$  $T_{e(ECE13)}$  $T_{e (ECE24)}$ to (XICS v06)  $0.0$  $1.0$  $2.0$  $3.0$  $4.0$  $5.0$ 6.0  $7.0$ 8.0  $9.0$  $t-t_1$  [s]

### low density 4  $10^{19}$  m<sup>-3</sup> medium density 6  $10^{19}$  m<sup>-3</sup> high density 8  $10^{19}$  m<sup>-3</sup>







by the validation group: Windisch, Alonso, Buller, Carralero, Pablant, Smith

180920009 t=<2.5-5>s LFS profile 25 20 15 10 ErNC [kV/m] Doppler (X)  $-10$ **XICS XRS**  $-15$ **DKES**  $-20$  $0.0$  $0.2$  $0.6$  $0.8$  $1.0$  $0.4$  $r/a$ 

#### low density 4  $10^{19}$  m<sup>-3</sup> medium density 6  $10^{19}$  m<sup>-3</sup> high density 8  $10^{19}$  m<sup>-3</sup>





DKES with momentum correction (mono-energetic collision operator) vs. SFINCS (full collision operator)







#### **Er profile validation: measurement vs. NC simulation (I)** Ciemat

**Comparison** of experimental profiles (XICS and DR) and neoclassical predictions obtained using the code SFINCS R. Lunsford et al., PoP to be submitted



**Comparison** of experimental profiles (DR) and neoclassical predictions obtained using the codes DKES and KNOSOS (J.L. Velasco) for the four most frequent magnetic configurations D. Carralero et al., NF **60**, 106019 (2020) 



E /IVrl 000/m)

ρ

ρ

# **E<sub>r</sub>** profile validation: measurement vs. NC simulation (II)



Comparison of experimental (DR) and neoclassical predictions obtained using the codes DKES and KNOSOS (J.L. Velasco) for the four experimental programs T. Estrada et al., NF **61** (2021) *accepted* 

Considering the diagnostic specific limitations, in general, a reasonable agreement is found when the experimental **E<sub>r</sub>** profiles are compared with the neoclassical predictions



### **Status of understanding after OP1**

- **E<sub>r</sub> profile validation activities**: cross-diagnostic & NC simulation comparison
- **Er -shear at the edge/SOL boundary**:

impact on edge turbulence *(impact on SOL transport*  $\rightarrow$  adressed by C. Killer)

• **E**<sub>r</sub> impact on core turbulence:

post-pellet enhanced confinement phase & impurity injection experiments

**• Gyrokinetic simulations**: linear & non-linear results

**Open questions and outlook towards OP2** 

- **Non-linear global simulations**
- **New measurement capabilities for OP2**

#### **E<sub>r</sub>-shear at the edge/SOL boundary: impact on edge turbulence (PCR)** Ciemat

**Impact of the edge E<sub>r</sub>-shear on the fluctuations:** 

A. Krämer-Flecken et al., Plasma Sci. Technol. **22**, 064004 (2020)

identified as a clear decrease in the broad band turbulence when passing the shear layer

the spectrum has a strong broad band component in the plasma edge (contributes with  $\approx$  75 % to the spectra) but not in the shear layer (it reduces to  $\approx$  20 %)



9 

# **NBI** induced E<sub>r</sub>-shear reduction at the edge/SOL boundary



#180821026 ndl  $E_r$  profile in edge/SOL boundary  $n_e$  [10<sup>19</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>] ECRH Wdia 10 NRI strongly modified during NBI (e.g. fast ions): useful scenario for investigation of E<sub>r</sub>-shear impact on edge turbulence T. Windisch $\overline{2}$ time [s]  $\Omega$  $T_e$  [keV] w/NBI  $-20$  $0.1\,$  $+$   $KH_2$ <sup>40</sup><br> $+$  20  $\frac{-30}{-40}$   $\frac{10}{50}$  $\overline{4}$ 3 **SEC**  $z$  [m]  $\overline{c}$ w/o NBI  $-20$  $\mathbf 1$  $F$  [KHz]<br> $F$ <sub>20</sub>  $\Omega$  $-30$   $\underline{00}$ <br>-40  $\underline{00}$  $T_i$  [keV]  $-0.1 -$ 2.00 1.75 1.50  $LCFS<sub>VMEC</sub>$ 1.25 LCFS<sub>VMEC</sub> 40 5 1.00  $E_r$  [kV/m]<br> $\circ$  $\underline{\mathbf{g}}_{30}$ 0.75 0.50 scat  $\mathbf{L}^{\circ}$ 20 0.25  $\bullet$  w/ NBI  $0.6$  $0.8$  $1.0$  1.2 · w/o NBI  $0.0$  $0.2$  $0.4$  $r/a$  $-10$ 10  $6.24$ 6.18  $6.20$  $6.22$  $6.24$  $6.26$ 6.18 6.20 6.22 6.26 10  $R[m]$ 

 $R[m]$ 

#### **E<sub>r</sub>-shear at the edge/SOL boundary: impact on edge turbulence (DR)** Ciemat





**Impact of the edge E<sub>r</sub>-shear on the fluctuations:** identified as a local minimum in the fluctuation profile nearby the LCFS

**higher fluctuation level in the high mirror configuration** as compared to the standard and high iota configurations

 $\rightarrow$  Impact on edge turbulent transport and plasma performance?





### **Status of understanding after OP1**

- **E<sub>r</sub> profile validation activities**: cross-diagnostic & NC simulation comparison
- **Er -shear at the edge/SOL boundary**:

impact on edge turbulence *(impact on SOL transport*  $\rightarrow$  adressed by C. Killer)

# • **E<sub>r</sub>** impact on core turbulence:

post-pellet enhanced confinement phase & impurity injection experiments

**• Gyrokinetic simulations**: linear & non-linear results

**Open questions and outlook towards OP2** 

- **Non-linear global simulations**
- **New measurement capabilities for OP2**

#### **E**<sub>r</sub> impact on core turbulence: post-pellet enhanced confinement phase Ciemat

The density fluctuation level decreases during the post-pellet enhanced confinement phase (DR & PCI), in the range  $\rho \approx 0.6$ -0.8, more pronounced in the high iota configuration than in the standard

A pronounced E<sub>r</sub>-well is measured in the same radial range





PCI measurements: A. von Stechow et al., PRL *submitted* DR measurements: T. Estrada et al., NF 61 (2021) *accepted* 

#### **E<sub>r</sub>** impact on core turbulence: Boron powder injection experiments Ciemat





Powder injection modifies kinetic profiles (higher n & T gradients)

DR measurements (T. Estrada): an increase in  $E_r$  and  $E_r$ -shear and a decrease in density fluctuations is observed between pre-injection (red) and post-injection (blue) phase, in  $\rho \approx 0.5 - 0.8$ 

R. Lunsford, et al. PoP *to be submitted* 





DR database provides general relationships between profiles, fluctuations and  $E_r$  (D. Carralero):

- in most scenarios **core fluctuations follow**  $\eta$ :  $\tilde{n}_e$  increases as  $\eta$  increases and saturates for  $\eta > 4$
- A relation between the core fluctuations and the  $E_r$ -well depth is also observed





### **Status of understanding after OP1**

- **E<sub>r</sub> profile validation activities**: cross-diagnostic & NC simulation comparison
- **Er -shear at the edge/SOL boundary**:

impact on edge turbulence *(impact on SOL transport*  $\rightarrow$  adressed by C. Killer)

• **E<sub>r</sub>** impact on core turbulence:

post-pellet enhanced confinement phase & impurity injection experiments

**• Gyrokinetic simulations**: linear & non-linear results

**Open questions and outlook towards OP2** 

- **Non-linear global simulations**
- New measurement capabilities for OP2

5.0  $10^4$ 

1.0 10<sup>5</sup>

 $1.5 10^5$ 

linear grwoth rate (s<sup>-1</sup>)

inear grwoth rate (s<sup>-1</sup>)

 $2.0 10<sup>5</sup>$ 

 $2.5 10<sup>5</sup>$ 

 $3.0 10<sup>5</sup>$ 

# **EUTERPE global linear simulations (I)**



c)

180918041 EJM 180911026 FTM

ρ

Four experimental programs: post-pellet & gas fuelled / EJM & FTM, with  $n_a \approx 9 \times 10^{19}$  m<sup>-2</sup> and PECH ~ 5.5 - 6.0 MW

- adiabatic electrons
- radial domain  $0.65 0.75$

180814027 gas puff

-20% -5%



180808010 gas puff

Linear growth rates,  $\gamma$ , for the four experimental programs: in EJM (left, red) and in FTM (right, black), without and with  $E_r$  (open and solid symbols). In blue and green,  $\gamma$  obtained swapping the magnetic configurations E. Sánchez 

Stabilizing effect of  $E_r$  (and/or  $E_r$ 

180918041 pellets

#### T. Estrada et al., NF 61 (2021) *accepted*

180911026 pellets

- modest in the gas fuelled plasmas, but significant in the post-pellet plasmas
- larger in FTM (higher magnetic shear) than in EJM for the same profiles

 $5.0 10<sup>4</sup>$ 





# Dominant role of  $E_r$  or  $E_r$ -shear?

Different  $E_r$  profiles are considered:  $E_r$ =0; no-shearing; constant  $E_r$  and experimental  $E_r$  to disentangle the effect of  $E_r$  and  $E_r$ -shear on the stabilization of ITG driven modes



### E. Sánchez

Linear growth rates,  $\gamma$ , for #180918041, post-pellet phase in EJM configuration with different  $E_r$  profiles radial domain  $0.65 - 0.75$ 

# **GENE** local full flux-surface non-linear simulations



Ambipolar E<sub>r</sub> produces a displacement of the fluctuations on the magnetic surface towards regions with lower curvature less favorable for turbulence generation  $\rightarrow$  the nonlinear ion heat transport is reduced



Ciemat



P. Xanthopoulos et al., PRL **125**, 075001 (2020)

Simulations at  $\rho = 0.63$  with  $a/Ln = 0$  & adiabatic  $e$ lectrons  $\rightarrow$  ITG turbulence

ion heat flux: an order of magnitude reduction with  $E_r$ It is not strong enough to compensate for the increase in  $\nabla T$  (with  $\nabla n = 0$ )

**Flux-tube simulations with kinetic electrons:** strong a/Ln reduces ITG in favor of iTEM How  $E_r$  impacts the TEM / iTEM dominated turbulence?

# **GENE-3D global non-linear simulations (without E<sub>r</sub>)**



**GENE-3D:** non-linear global simulations with adiabatic electrons (without E<sub>r</sub>)

Ciemat

- Discrepancies between expectations based on **linear** simulations and the heat fluxes obtained in **non-linear** simulations have been found in W7-X configurations
- **Radially local full flux-surface** simulations overestimates (by a factor of two) the heat fluxes as compared to global simulations at the same position  $\rightarrow$  global simulations are needed for quantitative studies

A. Bañón-Navarro et al. PPCF **62**, 105005 (2020)

# **Outlook towards OP2**

**Non-linear global simulations** with kinetic electrons and **E**, profiles...

impact of E<sub>r</sub> and E<sub>r</sub>-shear on zonal flow response & non-linear saturation of turbulence work is currently in progress using **GENE-3D** and **EUTERPE...** status?

#### **New measurement capabilities expected for OP2: PCI & Probes** Ciemat



#### **Phase Contrast Imaging** A. von Stechow, S. Hansen, J.P. Bähner

#### **Modelling**:

A synthetic diagnostic that predicts the PCI signal based on outputs form GENE3D and EUTERPE and additional E<sub>r</sub> profiles

#### **Measurement:**

Radial resolution:

- originally planned for OP1 but didn't work due to technical reasons
- plans to implement it for OP2:

at least should be able to get an inboard/outboard selection but in the best case have a radial resolution on the outboard side of 0.2 r/a

Using 2 detectors:

allows to compare line-integrated fluctuations to those at a specific location (either fixed or scanned) allows to see the more-or-less local phase velocities and thereby  $E_r$  changes

#### **Probes** C. Killer

a new probe head with:

a better poloidal resolution of probe pin

a radial pin array

better insight into the complicated propagation of turbulent structures in the island divertor SOL

#### **New measurement capabilities expected for OP2: DR & probes** Ciemat



#### **Doppler Reflectometry** T. Windisch, D. Carralero, T. Estrada



upgrade the antenna systems incorporating steerable mirrors:

- probing different perpendicular wavenumbers of the turbulence to measure fluctuation wavenumber spectra
- investigate poloidal asymmetries of plasma flows and turbulence on flux surface





beam steering 



#### **New measurement capabilities expected for OP2: DR & probes** Ciemat



#### **Doppler Reflectometry** T. Windisch, D. Carralero, T. Estrada, ...

#### **V-band & W-band DR systems at AEA21:**

upgrade the antenna systems incorporating steerable mirrors:

- probing different perpendicular wavenumbers of the turbulence to measure fluctuation wavenumber spectra
- investigate poloidal asymmetries of plasma flows and turbulence on flux surface

#### **V-band DR system at AEK51:**

poor performance during OP1.2 mainly due to a misalignment in the in-vessel optics upgrade the antenna system incorporating a steerable mirror:

- probing different perpendicular wavenumbers of the turbulence to measure fluctuation wavenumber spectra
- investigate poloidal/toroidal asymmetries of plasma flows and turbulence
- long distance correlation as a proxy for ZFs

#### **New E-band DR system at AEA21:**

• radial correlation measurements (eddy tilting) & E- shear







AEK51 

 $0 = 0.85$