



# Global fluid simulations of plasma turbulence in diverted stellarators

A. J. Coelho, J. Loizu, P. Ricci

École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Swiss Plasma Center (SPC)

antonio.coelho@epfl.ch

TSVV-3 meeting, 26 January 2022

**Swiss** Plasma Center

# **Introduction**

- Recent **W7-X experiments** showed significant differences with respect to tokamaks:
	- Filaments bound to their flux surface [Killer, 2021]
	- Fluctuations normally distributed (local origin)
- Stellarator turbulence simulations still in its infancy:
	- Gyrokinetic  $\delta f$  codes (GENE-3D, Stella, XGC-S,  $\dots$ ) study the core
	- Fluid code BOUT++ simulated edge filaments in a rotating ellipse [Shanahan, 2019]

 $\blacksquare$ 

## GBS solves the drift-reduced Braginskii equations

■ Set of equations for n, T<sub>e</sub>, T<sub>i</sub>, V<sub>||e</sub>, V<sub>||i</sub>, ω, φ

- Electrostatic simulations
- Boussinesq approximation
- No neutrals

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

# GBS solves the drift-reduced Braginskii equations

Geometrical operators:

$$
[\mathbf{\Phi}, \mathbf{u}] = \mathbf{b} \cdot [\nabla \mathbf{\phi} \times \nabla \mathbf{u}] \qquad \nabla_{\parallel} \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \qquad \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{\mathbf{B}}{2} \left[ \nabla \times \frac{\mathbf{b}}{\mathbf{B}} \right] \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \qquad \nabla_{\perp}^2 u = \nabla \cdot [(\mathbf{b} \times \nabla u) \times \mathbf{b}]
$$

**Expansion parameters:** 
$$
\delta = \frac{B_p}{B}
$$
 ;  $\sigma = \frac{l_{\perp}}{l_{\parallel}}$  ;  $\Delta = \frac{B_{max} - B_{min}}{B}$ 



 $\blacksquare$ 

## GBS solves the drift-reduced Braginskii equations

Geometrical operators:

 $\Phi$ , u] = **b** · [ $\nabla \Phi \times \nabla u$ ]  $\qquad \nabla_{\parallel} u = b \cdot \nabla u$   $C(u) = \frac{B}{2}$ 2  $\nabla \times \frac{\mathbf{b}}{\mathbf{b}}$  $\frac{\mathbf{b}}{\mathbf{B}}\cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \qquad \nabla^2_{\perp} u = \nabla \cdot [(\boldsymbol{b} \times \nabla \boldsymbol{u}) \times \boldsymbol{b}]$ 

**Expansion parameters:** 
$$
\delta = \frac{B_p}{B}
$$
 ;  $\sigma = \frac{l_{\perp}}{l_{\parallel}}$  ;  $\Delta = \frac{B_{max} - B_{min}}{B}$ 

$$
[\Phi, u] = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial Z} \frac{\partial u}{\partial R} - \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial R} \frac{\partial u}{\partial Z}
$$

$$
\nabla_{\perp}^{2} u = \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial R^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial Z^{2}}
$$

#### Stellarator with an island divertor

$$
\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = 0 \to \mathbf{B} = \nabla V
$$

$$
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \to \nabla^2 V = 0
$$

 Dommaschk potentials [Dommaschk, CPC 1986] are a solution of Laplace's equation in a torus:

$$
V(R, \phi, Z) = \phi + \sum_{m,l} V_{m,l}(R, \phi, Z)
$$



#### We simulate a 5 -field period stellarator…



#### We simulate a 5-field period stellarator… with a 5/9 chain of islands



All rotational transform from rotation of the ellipses

## **GBS domain boundary intersects divertor islands**





## **GBS domain boundary intersects divertor islands**



# Source for density and temperature localized around a magnetic surface

Simulation doesn't strongly depend on the sources' profile



 $\blacksquare$ 

#### Steady-state of simulation dominated by coherent mode



#### Steady-state of simulation dominated by coherent mode



- An m=4 mode dominates the global dynamics
- $\blacksquare$  Mode rotates with  $\sim$  ion diamagnetic frequency
- No broad-band turbulence
- **-** Radial turbulent transport due to  $<\tilde{\Gamma}_{\rm{ExB}}>_{t} = <\tilde{n}\tilde{V}_{\rm{ExB}}>_{t}$  balances source



#### Mode is field -aligned





## Equilibrium profiles





#### Effectiveness of the island divertor

On the **TOP** of the simulation box, pressure is maximum where field lines strike:



 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

#### Asymmetry of ExB -flux between HFS/LFS



#### Asymmetry of ExB-flux between HFS/LFS



## Understand the mode with non-local linear theory

**- Linearize GBS equations by assuming quantities vary as:** 





## Linear theory predicts the observed mode



# Is the linear mode able to transport the same  $\Gamma_{\rm EXB}$ ?

$$
\Gamma^c = \frac{k_y}{2B} |\widetilde{n\phi}| \sin(\delta_{\Phi - n})
$$

$$
\int_{\partial\Omega} \Gamma^c dS = \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{S}_n \rho_* dV
$$

$$
\left| \left| \tilde{n}\tilde{\phi} \right| \sim \frac{2B}{k_y} \frac{\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{S}_n \rho_* dV}{\int_{\partial \Omega} dS} \frac{1}{\sin(\delta_{\Phi - n})} \right|
$$

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

#### Linear mode is able to transport the same  $\Gamma_{\rm EXB}$



 $\blacksquare$ 

## Nature of the linear mode: balloning



## **Conclusions**

- First global fluid simulations of a **stellarator** have been performed with **GBS code**
- Unlike tokamak experiments/simulations, **no broad-band turbulence nor blobs** were observed. Instead, a low **poloidal mode (m=4) dominates transport**
- Linear theory points to **ballooning mode**
- Is this coherent mode a property of the configuration used?

#### Technical difficulties

- Number of grid points in toroidal direction (Nphi=200) -> dt  $\sim$  3e-6
- **Broad parameter space in the magnetic field configuration**
- Difficult to predict what happens in the stochastic region. Regions of very small connection length. Density may decrease quickly
- Boundary conditions. Difficult to run with magnetic BC on density and vorticity. Instead:

$$
\partial_s n = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega = 0
$$