



Global fluid simulations of plasma turbulence in diverted stellarators

<u>A. J. Coelho</u>, J. Loizu, P. Ricci

École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL),

Swiss Plasma Center (SPC)

antonio.coelho@epfl.ch

TSVV-3 meeting, 26 January 2022

Swiss Plasma Center

Introduction

- Recent W7-X experiments showed significant differences with respect to tokamaks:
 - Filaments bound to their flux surface [Killer, 2021]
 - Fluctuations normally distributed (local origin)
- Stellarator turbulence simulations still in its infancy:
 - Gyrokinetic δf codes (GENE-3D, Stella, XGC-S, ...) study the core
 - Fluid code BOUT++ simulated edge filaments in a rotating ellipse [Shanahan, 2019]

GBS solves the drift-reduced Braginskii equations

- Set of equations for n, $T_e, T_i, V_{\parallel e}, V_{\parallel i}, \omega, \varphi$

- Electrostatic simulations
- Boussinesq approximation
- No neutrals

GBS solves the drift-reduced Braginskii equations

Geometrical operators:

$$[\mathbf{\phi}, \mathbf{u}] = \mathbf{b} \cdot [\nabla \mathbf{\phi} \times \nabla \mathbf{u}] \qquad \nabla_{\parallel} \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \qquad C(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{B}{2} \left[\nabla \times \frac{\mathbf{b}}{B} \right] \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \qquad \nabla_{\perp}^2 u = \nabla \cdot \left[(\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \mathbf{u}) \times \mathbf{b} \right]$$

Expansion parameters:
$$\delta = \frac{B_p}{B}$$
 ; $\sigma = \frac{l_{\perp}}{l_{\parallel}}$; $\Delta = \frac{B_{max} - B_{min}}{B}$



GBS solves the drift-reduced Braginskii equations

Geometrical operators:

$$[\phi, \mathbf{u}] = \mathbf{b} \cdot [\nabla \phi \times \nabla \mathbf{u}] \qquad \nabla_{\parallel} \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \qquad C(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{B}{2} \left[\nabla \times \frac{\mathbf{b}}{B} \right] \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \qquad \nabla_{\perp}^2 u = \nabla \cdot \left[(\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \mathbf{u}) \times \mathbf{b} \right]$$

Expansion parameters:
$$\delta = \frac{B_p}{B}$$
 ; $\sigma = \frac{l_{\perp}}{l_{\parallel}}$; $\Delta = \frac{B_{max} - B_{min}}{B}$

$$[\phi, \mathbf{u}] = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial Z} \frac{\partial u}{\partial R} - \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial R} \frac{\partial u}{\partial Z}$$
$$\nabla_{\perp}^{2} u = \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial R^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial Z^{2}}$$

Stellarator with an island divertor

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = 0 \to \mathbf{B} = \nabla V$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \to \nabla^2 V = 0$$

 Dommaschk potentials [Dommaschk, CPC 1986] are a solution of Laplace's equation in a torus:

$$V(R,\phi,Z) = \phi + \sum_{m,l} V_{m,l}(R,\phi,Z)$$



We simulate a 5-field period stellarator...

7



We simulate a 5-field period stellarator... with a 5/9 chain of islands



• All rotational transform from rotation of the ellipses

GBS domain boundary intersects divertor islands





GBS domain boundary intersects divertor islands



10

Source for density and temperature localized around a magnetic surface

Simulation doesn't strongly depend on the sources' profile



11

Steady-state of simulation dominated by coherent mode



Steady-state of simulation dominated by coherent mode



- An m=4 mode dominates the global dynamics
- Mode rotates with ~ ion diamagnetic frequency
- No broad-band turbulence
- Radial turbulent transport due to $\langle \tilde{\Gamma}_{ExB} \rangle_t = \langle \tilde{n}\tilde{V}_{ExB} \rangle_t$ balances source



Mode is field-aligned





Equilibrium profiles





Effectiveness of the island divertor

• On the **TOP** of the simulation box, pressure is maximum where field lines strike:



Asymmetry of ExB-flux between HFS/LFS



Asymmetry of ExB-flux between HFS/LFS



Understand the mode with non-local linear theory

• Linearize GBS equations by assuming quantities vary as:





Linear theory predicts the observed mode



20

Is the linear mode able to transport the same Γ_{ExB} ?

$$\Gamma^c = \frac{k_y}{2B} |\tilde{n}\tilde{\phi}|\sin(\delta_{\Phi-n})$$

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \Gamma^c dS = \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{S}_n \rho_* dV$$

$$\left| \widetilde{n}\widetilde{\phi} \right| \sim \frac{2B}{k_y} \frac{\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{S}_n \rho_* dV}{\int_{\partial \Omega} dS} \frac{1}{\sin(\delta_{\Phi-n})} \right|$$

Linear mode is able to transport the same Γ_{ExB}



Nature of the linear mode: balloning



 $\frac{\text{No drift-waves drive}}{(\nabla_{\parallel} p_e = 0 \text{ in } V_{\parallel e} \text{ eq.})}$

No ballooning drive (curvature(p)=0 in vorticity eq.)

Conclusions

- First global fluid simulations of a stellarator have been performed with GBS code
- Unlike tokamak experiments/simulations, no broad-band turbulence nor blobs were observed. Instead, a low poloidal mode (m=4) dominates transport
- Linear theory points to **ballooning mode**
- Is this coherent mode a property of the configuration used?

Technical difficulties

- Number of grid points in toroidal direction (Nphi=200) -> dt ~ 3e-6
- Broad parameter space in the magnetic field configuration
- Difficult to predict what happens in the stochastic region. Regions of very small connection length. Density may decrease quickly
- Boundary conditions. Difficult to run with magnetic BC on density and vorticity. Instead:

$$\partial_s n = 0$$
 and $\omega = 0$