Status of AE-kink simulations using XTOR

Hinrich Lütjens

CNRS-Centre de Physique Théorique, Ecole Polytechnique, IP-Paris

<u>Outline</u>

- Fast presentation of XTOR-K
- ITPA TA simulation
- Mishchenko internal kink simulation
- Nonlinear hybrid internal kink with 2 MeV alphas

XTOR-K fluid equations

 $\partial_t \left(\sum_{s=i,k} m_s n_s \mathbf{u}_s\right) = \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} - \sum_{s=i,e} \nabla p_s - \sum_{s=i,e} \nabla .\mathbf{\Pi}_{\mathbf{s}} - \sum_k \nabla .\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$ $\partial_t \mathbf{B} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}$ $\mathbf{E} = -\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{en_e} \mathbf{\hat{b}}(\mathbf{\hat{b}} \cdot \nabla p_e)) + \frac{\mathbf{J}_{\parallel}}{\sigma_{\parallel}} + \frac{\mathbf{J}_{\perp}}{\sigma_{\perp}}$ $\partial_t n_i = -\nabla (n_i (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}_i^*) - D_\perp \nabla n_i + D_\perp \nabla n_{i,0})$ $\frac{DS_s}{Dt} + \frac{\nabla \cdot \mathbf{Q}_s}{p_s} = 0$ $S_s = \ln \frac{T_s^{1/(\Gamma-1)}}{n_s} = \frac{1}{\Gamma-1} \ln \frac{p_s}{n_s^{\Gamma}}, \text{ and } \mathbf{Q}_s = \frac{5}{2} \frac{p_s}{q_s} \frac{\mathbf{B}}{B^2} \times \nabla T_s$

$$D/Dt = \partial_t + \mathbf{u}_s \cdot \nabla$$
$$\mathbf{u}_s = \mathbf{v}_{s,\parallel} + \mathbf{v}_{\perp,s}^{(1)} + \mathbf{v}_{\perp,s}^{(2)}$$
$$\mathbf{v}_{s,\parallel} = v_{s,\parallel} \hat{\mathbf{b}} = (\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \mathbf{u}_s) \hat{\mathbf{b}}$$
$$\mathbf{v}_{\perp,s}^{(1)} = \frac{\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}}{B^2} + \frac{\mathbf{B} \times \nabla p_s}{n_s q_s B^2}$$

$$n_e = Z_i n_i + \sum_k Z_k n_k$$

KINETIC-MHD HYBRID CODE XTOR-K



Hybrid scheme



Gains:

- MHD advance: pre-conditioned Newton Krylov (iterative). Inherited from XTOR-2F (JCP-2010). Physical pre-conditioner
- Kinetic ion advance: Boris Buneman PIC
- Unconditionnaly stable for fluid time steps of interest

Last year:

- Pre-conditioning with a parallel SPIKE-LU solver
- Merge into the hybrid kinetic/fluid environment of the code.
- Newton Krylov using petsc DD features: ready for other families of pre-conditioners (mathematical)
- Factor 2 due to particle sorting (reduces strongly cash missings for moment depositions)
- Factor 2.5 due to the new solver

 \rightarrow Overall factor of 5 in cpu time and much better global parallelization properties

TAE simulations : Mishchenko TAE test case

- Flat bulk density (ni=ne=2^E19m-3) and temperature ion and electron profiles (Ti=Te=1 KeV). Hydrogen ions
- Flat fast ion temperature (Tf=400keV)
- Fast ion density: (nk=0.75^e17m-3 at q=1.75). Deuterium ions. Exp(tanh) shape
- CHEASE with fixed q profile
- B0=1T, R₀=10m,A=10



TAE simulations : Mishchenko TAE test case

- Flat bulk density (ni=ne=2^E19m-3) and temperature ion and electron profiles (Ti=Te=1 KeV). Hydrogen ions
- Flat fast ion temperature (Tf=400keV)
- Fast ion density: (nk=0.75^e17m-3 at q=1.75). Deuterium ions. Exp(tanh) shape
- CHEASE with fixed q profile
- B0=1T, R₀=10m,A=10









<u>n=6 evolution:</u>

Gamma = $33.3 \ 10^3 \ rad/s$ Omega = $0.296 \ 10^6 \ rad/s$

With $tau_a = 6.86 \ 10^{-8} \ s$

To be compared with (Mishchenko 2009):

Gamma = 20 10³ rad/s Omega = 0.43 10⁶ rad/s





<u>n=6 evolution:</u>

Gamma = $33.3 \ 10^3 \ rad/s$ Omega = $0.296 \ 10^6 \ rad/s$

With $tau_a = 6.86 \ 10^{-8} \ s$

To be compared with (Mishchenko 2009):

Gamma = 20 10³ rad/s Omega = 0.43 10⁶ rad/s

Loss of 25% of the kinetic lons:

-> Would be good to compare with a vanishing K-density at plasma edge

Kink simulations (1) : Mishchenko kink test case

- Flat ion and electron temperature profiles (Ti=Te=2.957 KeV). Hydrogen ions
- bulk density and Exp(tanh) shape: ni (r=0.5)=ne(r=0.5)=8.74^E18m-3
- CHEASE with fixed q profile
- B0=3T, R₀=10m, A=10; beta_pol=3.92









Internal kink evolution:

Gamma = $3.92 \ 10^6 \ rad/s$

With $tau_a = 1.35 \ 10^{-7} \ s$

Electron pressure phi=0,pi/2,pi,3pi/2



Internal kinKink simulations (2) : Hybrid simulation with 2Mev Fusion alphas



Internal kinKink simulations (2) : Hybrid simulation with 2Mev Fusion alphas



V_r(phi=0)

Ni0=ne0=2 10^19 m-3 Ti0=Te0=30KeV Nf0=4.10^17 m-3 Beta_pol=0.78; r(q=1)=0.45 S=3.e6 Chi//=1., Chi_perp=1.e-6

Fluid and kinetic ion pressures



Comparison with 2-fluid sawtooth simulations:

- 3 regimes:
- Saturated helical m=n=1 equilibrium
- Oscillating kinks
- Sawtooth



In the hybrid siumulation, S=3.e6 Fluid alpha = 0.

-> We are in the saturated kink regime

Cyclic regimes found as a function of $\alpha = (\omega_{ci}r_a)^{-1}$ and $S = 1/\eta$.

Published in: Federico D. Halpern; Hinrich Lütjens; Jean-François Luciani; *Physics of Plasmas* **18**, 102501 (2011) DOI: 10.1063/1.3646305 Copyright © 2011 American Institute of Physics

Open work

- Benchmarks with vanishing kinetic ion density profiles for a more detailed comparison
- Moving towards sawtoothing regime. Needs complete fluid model and fluid/kinetic connection
- Update of NBI beam injectors (Started with pdoc F. Orain)
- Upgate GC particle pusher
- Finish version with equilibrium separatrix and vacuum

TAE simulations : Mishchenko TAE test case

- Flat bulk density (ni=ne=2^E19m-3) and temperature ion and electron profiles (Ti=Te=1 KeV). Deuterium ions
- Flat fast ion temperature (Tf=400keV)
- Fast ion density: (nk=0.75^e17m-3 at q=1.75). Deuterium ions







R

3500

1e-3

4000

- 3.2

- 2.4

- 1.6

- 0.8

- 0.0

-0.8

- -1.6

- -2.4

-3.2