

TSVV1 "L-/H-transition and pedestal physics" – Update and Future plans

E-TASC Thrust 1 meeting June 21, 2022

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, funded by the European Union via the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No 101052200 - EUROfusion). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Commission. Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.

Acknowledgments / Team members

T. Görler¹ , C. Angioni1 , J.R. Ball2**, A. Bergmann¹ , N. Bonanomi1** , S. Brunner2** ,** *R. Brzozowski1** **, B. Chapman4**, J. Citrin5**, P. Donnel2,3 ,** G. Falchetto³, X. Garbet³, *M. Giacomin*^{2*}, G. Di Giannatale², M. Hamed⁵, F_n , Jenko^{1**}, L. Leppin¹, K. Lim², T. Luda di Cortemiglia¹, B.F. McMillan⁶, **M.J. Pueschel^{5**}, P. Ricci^{2**}, G. Snoep⁵,** *K. Stimmel***^{1*}, R. Varennes³, L. Vermare³ , L. Villard***2*****, A. Volcokas²**

Max Planck Institute for Plasma Physics, Boltzmannstr. 2, 85748 Garching, Germany Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Swiss Plasma Center, CH-1015, Lausanne, CH CEA, IRFM, F-13108 Saint Paul Lez Durance, France Culham Centre for Fusion Energy, Abingdon OX14 3DB, UK DIFFER—Dutch Institute for Fundamental Energy Research, De Zaale 20, 5612 AJ Eindhoven, The Netherlands Centre for Fusion, Space and Astrophysics, Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK

**left project in / end of 2021*

***permanent guests – no official project commitment*

Outlook

- Brief project plan reminder
- Targets 2022 & Selected Scientific highlights
- Summary

Workplan (as originally proposed)

Validated local & global GK simulations of ion-/elect.-scale, & multi-scale turbulent transport in the H-, QH-, I-, and L-mode edge: IPP, SPC, CEA, DIFFER (GENE, ORB5, GYSELA)

Extensions to relevant macroscopic (MHD-like) instabilities (CCFE, IPP) and radial electric field development: also CEA, SPC (ion orbit losses, fluid codes, eventually GK)

Consistent application of new Task 4 edge GK code: various partner 2022+

An interpretative and predictive capability of L-H transitions: from fluid codes (SOLEDGE2X, GBS) to TSVV4 code

Reduced transport models for the pedestal on the basis of GK simulations, involving electron-/ionscale, and MHD-like instabilities: IPP, DIFFER (heuristic models to coupled QuaLiKiz profile predictions)

D1 targets for validated local & global GK sims

- **D1.1: Level of realism by first gyrokinetic validation (delta-f, local) studies discussed, further need for more comprehensive simulations (global, multiscale) and physics scenarios assessed (08/2022)**
- **D1.2: ITB physics studied and key elements that could be transferred to edge transport barriers identified (09/2022)**
- **D1.3: Assessment of the level of realism confirmed by advanced delta-f validation studies (e.g., global, multi-scale) (12/2022)**
- **D1.4: Level of realism found in full-f simulations and coherency/agreement of the comparisons assessed (2024)**
- **D1.5: Extension of previous studies, e.g., by covering new scenarios and/or diagnostics (2025)**

D1 goals for validated local & global GK sims

• **Main goal / idea (in line with E-TASC call):**

Analyse degree to which gyrokinetic codes (GENE, ORB5, GYSELA) can reproduce edge/pedestal turbulence in a number of scenarios (deliverable addresses 1st iteration); requires

- \rightarrow reliable profile information & magnetic equilibria
- \rightarrow at least power balance and as much fluctuation diagnostics as available

• **Side product:**

Gradient-driven simulations usually performed with input variations within uncertainties to optimize match with observables; some parameter scans needed to characterize turbulence types

 \rightarrow provides first insights into parametric dependencies

 \rightarrow simulation data may be used to guide diagnostics development

• **Future extensions once validation achieved:**

 \rightarrow more scenarios

 \rightarrow focus on parametric dependencies

D1: Example – ELMy H-mode pedestal

Gyrokinetic study of an AUG H-mode ELM-cycle here: post-ELM [L. Leppin et al., priv. comm. (2022)]

- **Linearly, MTM at lowest ky, TEM, weak ETG at high** ky at pedestal top; TEM/ETG hybrids at pedestal foot; closer to KBM threshold (but not exceeded)
- **Nonlinearly, global ion-scale & local electron-scale** sims offer qualitative explanation for exp. findings of diverse pedestal physics
	- strong ion-scale TEM transport at pedestal top, stabilized in steep gradient region (profile effect), electron-scale (ETG) transport at pedestal foot

Total heat flux in MW s4

 Q_{tot} ion scale **ETG** nominal $ETG + 30%$

Tot. heating level

14

12

D1: Examples of launched/planned studies

- **AUG H-mode pedestal ELM cycle [M Cavedon et al., PPCF'17, Viezzer et al., IAEA'18]**
- **AUG L-mode edge validation:**
	- **new outer-core validation performed in H-D isotope scan [P. Molina, T. Görler et al., EU-US TTF'21, PoP to be subm. (2022)], first simulations at** ρ_{tor} \sim **0.92**
	- **outer-core validation AUG turbulence reference discharge [K. Höfler, APS'21; C. Lechte, IRW'22,] → extensions to edge requires new exp. contact person**
- **JET Hybrid pedestal study launched (up to first EM global GK sims)**
- **AUG L-, H-mode & QCE discharge pedestal microinstability study comparing w/ and w/o gas puffing**
- **ITB scenario: first step, idealized setup – low magnetic shear studies**
- Linear scans for JET parameters for QuaLiKiz comparison $(\rightarrow$ D5), Micro-tearing mode **studies (→ D5)**
- **TCV-inspired positive/negative triangularity plasma comparison with ORB5**
- **Further AUG edge/pedestal studies by N. Bonanomi (permanent guest)**
- T. Görler et al. (TSVV1) | E-TASC Thrust 1 Meeting | June 21, 2022 | Page 8 ● **JET high/low power pedestal characterization by B. Chapman (permanent guest)**

D2: MHD extensions & Er development

- **D2.1/2.4: Simulation & analysis of the radial electric field development due to ion orbit losses in a fluid turbulence code with comparisons to SOLPS & assessments of ion orbit loss model (12/2021), interfacing to GK code (12/2022)**
- **D2.2: GK simulations with MHD-terms added in at least one gyrokinetic code (2022); based on the outcome, coupling between MHD-dynamics and driftmode physics and further refinements explored (2025)**
- **D2.3: Development of full-f HAGIS code (12/2022) & subsequent neoclassic bootstrap current studies in support of GK simulations (2024)**
- **D2.5: Report from global fluid & GK (full-f) simulations on the relative impact of separate ingredients playing a role in the electric field formation (ripple, turbulence, neutrals, limiter, ion orbit losses …) (12/2022)**
- **D2.6: EM fluctuations and neutrals in GBS (12/2022) and large parameter scan (injected power, shaping, etc) conducted on Er development (2025)**

D2 goals for validated local & global GK sims

• **Main goals / ideas here:**

Ion orbit losses possibly important feature for E_r well \rightarrow improve coverage of this effect (still hardly considered in turbulence codes)

Improve fluid codes (GBS) to cover and study (SOLEDGE3X) more physics

Prepare HAGIS for full-f neoclassic bootstrap current calculations (first steps performed)

Extend GK codes, e.g., to better include kink physics (on-going)

Ultimately, study radial electric field development with fluid and GK codes \rightarrow insights into parametric dependencies (may involve less physics than D1)

D2.1/2.4: Ion-orbit loss model

- **Steady-state ion-orbit loss & SOLPS coupling**
	- \bullet E_r affected by ion-orbit losses
	- Poloidal asymmetries are less strongly forced
- **Stand-alone orbit loss model with emphasis on clear documentation**
	- largely implemented in GRILLIX (fluid code) with A. Stegmeir; first sims performed
	- shall be ready for TSVV1 (and other) codes hereafter
- **Model accuracy greatly improved** velocity-space coordinates corresponding **lo** to losses evolve over a loss trajectory

D2.2: GK/MHD comparisons & extensions

- **GENE joined GK-MHD (ORB5/MISHKA) comparison**
- **One important ingredient to improve agreement a low-n: kink physics**
	- 2D parallel current density implemented in ORB5 during TSVV pilot phase; further test/refinements on-going
	- Implementation of a shifted Maxwellian for kink physics work-inprogress in GENE (together with US co-workers)
- **Theory background and discussion of MHD&GK relations and To Do's:** [B. McMillan, to be submitted to JPP'22](https://users.euro-fusion.org/repository/pinboard/EFDA-JET/journal/97744_drift_eq_2_jpp.pdf)

D2: ETASC TSVV- PILOT Physics of the L-H transition & pedestal

TSVV1 pilot: TOKAM3X power scan in circular limited COMPASSlike param. Falchetto et al EPS 2021

TSVV1 2021-2025

SOLEDGE3X 3D turbulence simulations in more realistic edge plasma conditions

quasi-stationary state few ms.

- **neoclassical viscosity**
- recycling **neutrals**
- realistic **divertor geometry** (WEST/TCV)

favorable (towards X-point) vs unfavorable magnetic drift direction (WEST LSN vs USN)

realistic collisionality

D2: Turbulent suppression near separatrix at high power

Well developed turbulence at low power

Avalanches cross the separatrix

• Reduction of fluctuation level around the separatrix at higher power "gap" propagates from separatrix inward Associated with higher shear Stationary zonal flows observed in the low turbulence region

Similar to [Giacomin, J. Plasma Phys., 2020]

- 1ms after turbulence reduction, no clear steepening in pressure profile local steepening near separatrix
- **•** E_r well recovered though **very high value**

missing a term to control plasma rotation? Ion viscosity effects

[Sigmar & Helander, Zholobenko et al., PPCF 2021]

> **Courtesy H . Bufferand PET 2021**

D2- Ripple effects in flux-driven global gyrokinetic GYSELA ITG-ae simulations

- **Capacity of ripples(*) to overcome turbulence as main flow drive revealed** [R. Varennes et al., [PRL accepted \(2022\)](https://users.euro-fusion.org/repository/pinboard/EFDA-JET/journal/94520_r.varennes_-_article_prl.pdf)]:
	- ─ **reduced model** for ripple amplitude threshold for magnetic braking to overcome turbulence developed & validated
	- ─ **toroidal velocity impact** of ripples by changing turbulent Reynolds stress through residual stress (Er shear follows Reynolds stress variations)
	- neoclassical theory hence overestimates equilibrium toroidal velocity at realistic ripple amplitudes

(*) for simplicity at mid-radius, but exp. relevant in edge / pedestal regime

D4: interpretative & predictive capability of L-H transitions: from fluid codes to TSVV4 code

- **D4.1: Quantification of ITB momentum drive from rational vs. irrational surfaces and comparisons to plasma edge (04/2022)**
- **Report on the SOLEDGE3X study of the effect of the direction of the magnetic drift and the level of realism of the edge conditions, with respect to experimental measurements (2023) → preparations, see D2**
- **Predictive capabilities of the edge turbulence regime transitions based on a large scan of GBS simulations, and validation with experimental results (2025) → preparations, see D2**
- **Assessment of comparison of fluid results with TSVV4 code (2025)**

Example: Edge plasma turbulence phase space in global flux-driven EM 3D 2-fluid GBS sims

- **Four turbulence regimes identified** scanning resistivity v_0 , heat source Sp and plasma β with upgraded GBS code w/o Boussinesq approx.
- [M. Giacomin, P. Ricci, [PoP 29, 062303 \(2022\)](https://users.euro-fusion.org/repository/pinboard/EFDA-JET/journal/95898_%5Bgiacomin%5Delectromagnetic_phase_space_of_turbulent_transport.pdf)]: **(i) intermediate** ν**0, Sp, β:** resistive ballooning modes (RBM) (~ standard tokamak L-mode)
	- **(ii) low** ν**0, large Sp, intermediate β:** reduced transport, mainly drift-wave (DW) instability (~ high density H-mode)
	- **(iii) high** ν**0:** extremely large turbulent transport regime, RBM (~ L-mode density limit crossing)
	- **(iv) large β regime** (~ crossing of the β limit): ideal ballooning instability, large scale modes leading to a total loss of plasma and heat
- DW-to-RBM transition ~H-mode density limit
- Boussinesq approx. strong effect at low v_0

→ ample motivation to compare scalings with exp. and gyrokinetic results

D5: Goals for red. transport model development for the pedestal on the basis of GK simulations

- **D5.1: Refined heuristic transport model ready for interfacing (12/2021) and updated versions based on TSVV1 findings (2023, 2025)**
- **D5.2: Core-edge coupled flux-driven integrated modelling (QuaLiKiz-based) for L-H transition studies implemented with available reduced physics models (2022+updates)**
- **D5.3: Reduced models for selected edge/pedestal modes (e.g., micro-tearing modes) developed (2022) and validated (2023)**

D5.1: Heuristic pedestal transport model

Ptop, EXP)/Ptop, EXP

- Optimized to 50 AUG H-modes
- **Now tested on C-Mod & JET-ILW** ELMy H-mode phases **→** *major radius R relevance*

[T. Luda et al 2020 NF] [T. Luda et al 2021 NF (to be submitted)]

- **Model improvement: Rescale pedestal top condition** with major radius R → nice agreement with 60 new **JET discharges**
- **Refined model available within IMEP, IMAS interface established, tests imminent**

D5.2/5.3: QuaLiKiz-based modelling / reduced MTM model

- **Core-edge coupled flux-driven integrated modelling (QuaLiKiz-based) for L-H transition studies implemented with available reduced physics models:**
	- high-dimensional micro-instability scan with GENE for QuaLiKiz tuning completed + possible deficiencies of reduced model identified
- **Reduced models for selected edge/pedestal modes**
	- Micro-tearing Modes: Further assessment of Rechester-Rosenbluth-based model $D_M = \tilde{b}_r^2 L_{\parallel} v_{th_e} = \pi q R \tilde{b}_r^2 v_{th_e}$ with $\tilde{b}_r = \left\langle \left(\frac{\tilde{B}_r}{B_{eq}}\right)^2 \right\rangle$

• ES potential & zonal flows identified as important NL effect explaining the remaining deviations of model and fully GK sims [\[M. Hamed, pinboard entry \(06/2022\)\]](https://users.euro-fusion.org/repository/pinboard/EFDA-JET/journal/98462_hamed_22.pdf.pdf)

Summary

- **Most 2022 milestones and deliverables progressing well (ion orbit losses affected by loss of key personnel)**
- **Interesting findings (pedestal transport structure, possible ripple impact, (fluid) turbulence characterization, reduced models)**
- **Regular monthly meetings + progress workshop planned for Sep. 26-27(-28), 2022**

Appendix Further activities

Publication list as of 03/2022

Evolving list at https://wiki.euro-fusion.org/wiki/TSVV-01#Publications_.2F_Conference_presentations

D1: Example – EDA H-mode with Ar-seeding

- **Gyrokinetic study of EDA H-mode with Ar-seeding on ASDEX Upgrade** [K. Stimmel et al., JPP accepted (2022)]
	- Pedestal top instabilities: MTM but also hybrid modes (drift direction changes) found at ion-scales; ETG at electron scales
	- Nonlinearly, ETGs negligible, ion-scale turbulence in the right ballpark with global simulations (EM still needs to be performed)

– Quasi-linearity & frequency spectra assessed as well

Heat Transport [MW]				ρ_i -scale							ρ_e -scale neo. Q_{sum}	
			e.s. channel			e.m. channel						
radial position ρ_i mod.		$v_{\rm prof}$ ion		e^-	Αr				ion e^- Ar ρ_e sum		sim.	exp.
$\rho_{\rm tor}=0.60$		v_{tor}	2.11	0.82	$\overline{}$	-0.03 0.02 -			$0.06*$	0.48	3.46 ± 0.14	3.36
	$\omega_{Ti}-15\%$	$v_{\rm tor}$	0.83	0.34	$\hspace{0.1mm}$	-0.01 0.00 $-$					1.70 ± 0.00	
$\rho_{\rm tor}=0.70$		$v_{\rm tor}$	4.32	$1.96 -$		-0.05 0.03 $-$			$0.14*$	0.56	6.96 ± 0.01	-3.44
	$\omega_{Ti} - 15\%$	$v_{\rm tor}$	$2.38\,$	1.14	$\hspace{0.1mm}$	-0.02 0.02 $-$					4.22 ± 0.14	
	$+$ Ar prof. 2 v_{tor} 3.19			1.43 0.01 -0.04 0.02 0.00							5.31 ± 0.14	
$\rho_{\rm tor}=0.90$		$v_{\rm tor}$	12.68 23.36 -			-0.14 1.40 $-$			0.03	0.60	37.93 ± 1.29	
		$v_{\rm psu}$	5.48 9.34		$\hspace{0.1mm}$ $\hspace{0.1mm}$	$0.20 \quad 0.92 -$					16.57 ± 0.76 2.75	
	$\beta_e=0$		$v_{\rm psu}$ 2.38	$4.53 -$							6.91 ± 0.14	
$\rho_{\rm tor}=\overline{0.95}$		v_{tor}	1.88	3.15		$0.00\,$	$0.15 -$		0.00	0.88	6.06 ± 0.18	2.22
$\rho_{\rm tor}=0.84$ - 0.96 $\beta_e \sim 0$		$v_{\rm tor}$	1.38	2.40							3.77	
$\rho_{\rm tor} = 0.84 - 0.96 \ \beta_e \sim 0$		$v_{\rm psu}$ 0.04		0.08							0.12	

Hybrid electrons and collisions needed to qualitatively **EPFL** reproduce the improvement of confinement in $δ<0$ (TCV case)

PLASMA Next steps : include ECRH [Donnel et al., PPCF 2021] source to have flux driven simulations \rightarrow study the impact of boundary conditions on confinement

SWISS

D2: Improved HAGIS code for NC predictions

- **Pedestal: steep density & temperature profiles, strong Er higher collisionality**
- **LH-TSVV pilot project: need for more accurate model for bootstrap current calculations shown**
- **Goal here:**
	- **Improve HAGIS collision model (mostly done in 2021) and run HAGIS as full-f code** (new initialisation procedure, procedure to stabilise the density and temperature profiles w/o turbulent transport in the simulation)
- *First step on-going/partially achieved:* δ *f code with improved collisions and profile stabilisation*

D2: GBS extensions for global fluid sims

EPFL

Investigation of turbulent transport in the plasma boundary and formation of a transport barrier by using GBS simulations

M. Giacomin

Simulations that avoid the Boussinesq approximation and include electromagnetic effects are currently running

Swiss Plasma Center

D2: Full-f GK radial electric field studies

- \blacksquare Fyolution with the safety factor (through the plasma current)
- **Experimental** measurements on WEST plasmas
- **Gyrokinetic simulations** in progress …

alternatives and the

D2: Full-f GK radial electric field studies II

Impact of ripple on turbulence

Neoclassical predictions on toroidal velocity :

$$
\frac{\partial V_T}{\partial t} = -\nu_{\varphi} \left(V_T - k_T \frac{\nabla T}{e B_P} \right)
$$

Neoclassical Thermal drive friction

Gyrokinetic code GYSELA compared successfully with neoclassical predictions

alternatives and the

D2: Full-f GK radial electric field studies III

Gyrokinetic simulations : ripple activated on top of a turbulent statistical equilibrium

Gaussian ripple perturbation (maximum at mid-radius) to avoid mix-up with boundary conditions

alternatives and the