



Tackling turbulence from pedestal top to foot with global and local GENE simulations

Leonhard Leppin¹, Tobias Görler¹, Marco Cavedon¹, Mike Dunne¹, Elisabeth Wolfrum¹, Frank Jenko¹, ASDEX Upgrade Team²

¹Max Planck Institute for Plasma Physics, Garching b. München, Germany ²See author list of U. Stroth et al. 2022 Nucl. Fusion **62** 042006

EUROfusion

0

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, funded by the European Union via the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No 101052200 — EUROfusion), Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Commission. Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.

Intro



Relates to Key delivarable 1: Gyrokinetic turbulence characterization in H-mode pedestal



Highlight: Heat flux structure of a pedestal – in radius and scale





Scenario: ELMy H-mode pedestal from AUG



- Asdex Upgrade #31529 [1]
- NBI + ECRH heating, $P_{tot} \sim 8.7 MW$
- On-axis B-field -2.5 T, plasma current 1MA
- ELM- synchronized profiles (6ms after ELM, almost pre-ELM)
- pressure-constrained magnetic equilibrium

[1] Cavedon et al, PPCF, 2017



Scenario: ELMy H-mode pedestal from AUG



- Asdex Upgrade #31529 [1]
- NBI + ECRH heating, $P_{tot} \sim 8.7 MW$
- On-axis B-field -2.5 T, plasma current 1MA
- ELM- synchronized profiles (6ms after ELM, almost pre-ELM)
- pressure-constrained magnetic equilibrium

Nonlinear, ion scale simulations



Instabilities via linéar, local sim. ETG heat flux via nonlinear, local sim.

[1] Cavedon et al, PPCF, 2017

















Identification based on:

- Scale / wavenumber range
- Frequency (drift direction)
- Sensitivity to gradients (T_i, T_e, n)
- Sensitivity to plasma β and coll.
- Diffusivity ratios ("Fingerprints" [3])
- Parallel mode structure
- Velocity space structure
- Cross-phases

[3] Kotschenreuther et al, Nucl. Fus., 2019





• Ion scales:

Top: TEM/MTM \rightarrow Center: ITG/TEM Growth rate gap at ρ_{tor} = 0.94 (blue)

- Electron scales: ETG with additional intermediate k_y ETG instabilities towards pedestal center
- Overall growth rates increase towards pedestal center/ foot

Close to linear KBM threshold



The pedestal is close to a linear KBM threshold. (In agreement with [4]) Distance decreases towards pedestal foot.



[4] Hatch et al, Nucl. Fus., 2015





Nonlinear simulation domains in k_y:

Ion scales: ٠

Top: TEM/MTM → Center: ITG/TEM Growth rate gap at ρ_{tor} = 0.94 (blue)

- **Electron scales:** ETG • with additional intermediate k_v ETG instabilities towards pedestal center
- Overall growth rates increase ٠ towards pedestal center/ foot

Connecting linear instabilities and nonlinear modes: Frequencies



 \rightarrow Linear frequencies remain present at pedestal top and center

ASDEX

Upgrade

Connecting linear instabilities and nonlinear modes: Cross phases



Cross phases Electrons (nonlin x=089; lin x=0.88,kxcenter=max) $\phi \times T_{\perp}$ φxn $\phi \times T_{||}$ 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.2 1.2 -1.2 -1.0 -1.0 1.0 \$ 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.6 0.6 -0.6 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.2 0.2 0.2 -1-1 -10 phase angle $[\pi]$ phase angle $[\pi]$ phase angle $[\pi]$

Cross phases Electrons (nonlin x=097; lin x=0.97,kxcenter=max)



→ Cross phases support that some linear mode characteristics survive in particular at pedestal top



Global, ion scale: Turbulent heat fluxes



- Simulation is stable and quasi-stationary state is reached
- ExB shear reduces heat fluxes by ~3





- Turb. ion heat flux vanishes in center
- Ion-scale electron heat flux vanishes as well





- Turb. ion heat flux vanishes in center
- Ion-scale electron heat flux vanishes as well





- Turb. ion heat flux vanishes in center
 - Ion-scale electron heat flux vanishes as well
 - Turbulent heat flux levels are comparable to experimental results [2], but electron heat flux in center missing?

[2] Viezzer et al, PPCF, 2020





- Turb. ion heat flux vanishes in center
- Ion-scale electron heat flux vanishes as well
- Turbulent heat flux levels are comparable to experimental results [2], but electron heat flux in center missing?
- ETG takes over electron heat transport in steep gradient region from TEM at pedestal top

[2] Viezzer et al, PPCF, 2020

Two subjective observations from

1) RMPs for ELM suppression (Resonant magnetic perturbations for suppression of edge localized modes)

- → Magnetic non- axisymmetries possibly not only relevant for stellarators but also ITER operation
- 2) Discussion point by Jon Hillesheim (JET):
 Consider multi-ion species effects on your work
 (eventually we operate D-T plasmas;
 "there is nothing more powerful in science than a testable hypothesis")









E-mail: leonhard.leppin@ipp.mpg.de

0

0 0 0 0

0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

EURO*fusion*

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, funded by the European Union via the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No 10105200 — EUROfusion). Views and ophions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Commission. Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.

0 0 0 0 0 **0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0**

ASDEX Upgrade

Other profiles







Heat flux profile without ExB shear





Heat flux spectra





- Status of implementation
 - General background distribution functions available in GENE due to Alessandro di Siena

Status of macroscopic (MHD-like) instabilites from GENE side

 Specific implementation of shifted Maxwellian in progress / done by Petch Jitsuk (PhD @ Wisonsin Madison)









Recent GENE upgrade

 In standard GENE: Collect all temporal derivatives on one side of equation and introduce modified distribution function g:

$$\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t} - \frac{q}{mc} \frac{\partial \bar{A}_{1||}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial v_{||}} = \dots \qquad \& \qquad g_1 \coloneqq f_1 - \frac{q}{mc} \bar{A}_{1||} \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial v_{||}} \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial t} = \dots$$

- Problem: global, nonlinear, electromagnetic simulations with experimental plasma β values tend to be unstable.
- Solution: Keep unmodified distribution f and use Ampere's law $\nabla_{\perp}^2 A_{\parallel} = -\frac{4\pi}{c}j$ to derive field equation for $E_{\parallel}^{\text{ind}} = -\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial A_{\parallel}}{\partial t}$ [5] which can be solved numerically.

$$\left(\nabla_{\perp}^{2} + \frac{4\pi}{c^{2}}\sum_{b}\frac{q_{b}^{2}}{m_{b}}\int d^{3}v\mathcal{G}^{\dagger}v_{\parallel}\frac{\partial F_{b}}{\partial v_{\parallel}}\mathcal{G}\right)E_{\parallel}^{\mathrm{ind}} = \frac{4\pi}{c^{2}}\sum_{b}q_{b}\int d^{3}v\mathcal{G}^{\dagger}\{v_{\parallel}R_{b}\}$$

 Implementation: Fully integrated into GENE master branch and compatible with block-structured velocity space grids

[5] Crandall, PhD Thesis, 2019