

Turbulence in CIEMAT's new quasi-isodynamic configuration J. M. García-Regaña, I. Calvo, E. Sánchez, J. L. Velasco Laboratorio Nacional de Fusión (CIEMAT)

Thrust 4: Stellarators Meeting on optimization of turbulent transport October 7th, 2022



This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 and 2019-2020 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.





[Sánchez, ISHW (2022) and paper in preparation]





[Sánchez, ISHW (2022) and paper in preparation]

... and reduced turbulent transport (this talk)



4-field-period configuration remarkably close to exact quasi-isodynamicity (i.e. to omnigeneous B with poloidally closed B contours).





- 4-field-period configuration remarkably close to exact quasi-isodynamicity (i.e. to omnigeneous B with poloidally closed B contours).
- □ Low effective ripple, ε_{eff} (s=0.25)<0.5 %.





- 4-field-period configuration remarkably close to exact quasi-isodynamicity (i.e. to omnigeneous B with poloidally closed B contours).
- Low effective ripple, $\varepsilon_{eff}(s=0.25)<0.5$ %.
- Low shear iota profile between approx.
 0.85 on axis and approx. 0.95 at the edge.





- 4-field-period configuration remarkably close to exact quasi-isodynamicity (i.e. to omnigeneous B with poloidally closed B contours).
- Low effective ripple, $\varepsilon_{eff}(s=0.25)<0.5$ %.
- Low shear iota profile between approx.
 0.85 on axis and approx. 0.95 at the edge.
- □ Ideal and ballooning MHD stable up to $\beta \sim 5\%$.





- 4-field-period configuration remarkably close to exact quasi-isodynamicity (i.e. to omnigeneous B with poloidally closed B contours).
- Low effective ripple, $\varepsilon_{eff}(s=0.25)<0.5$ %.
- Low shear iota profile between approx.
 0.85 on axis and approx. 0.95 at the edge.
- □ Ideal and ballooning MHD stable up to $\beta \sim 5\%$.
- Small bootstrap current.





- 4-field-period configuration remarkably close to exact quasi-isodynamicity (i.e. to omnigeneous B with poloidally closed B contours).
- □ Low effective ripple, ε_{eff} (s=0.25)<0.5 %.
- Low shear iota profile between approx.
 0.85 on axis and approx. 0.95 at the edge.
- □ Ideal and ballooning MHD stable up to $\beta \sim 5\%$.
- Small bootstrap current.
- Good fast-ion confinement at low β and excellent fast-ion confinement at high β .



Optimization of fast ion confinement has yielded a QI configuration where*:

$$\left|\frac{\overline{\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \nabla s}}{\overline{\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \nabla \alpha}}\right| = \left|\frac{\partial_{\alpha} J}{\partial_s J}\right| \ll 1 \quad J(s, \alpha, E, \mu) = 2 \int_{l_{b_1}}^{l_{b_2}} |v_{\parallel}| \mathrm{d}l$$



*Notation: overbar denotes orbit average, \mathbf{v}_M is the magnetic drift, J is the second adiabatic invariant and $\alpha = \theta - \iota \zeta$ labels magnetic field lines, r label flux surfaces. J. M. García-Regaña | Turbulence in CIEMAT's new QI configuration | Thrust 4 | 07/10/2022 | Page 10

Optimization of fast ion confinement has yielded a QI configuration where*:

$$\frac{\overline{\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \nabla s}}{\overline{\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \nabla \alpha}} \bigg| = \bigg| \frac{\partial_{\alpha} J}{\partial_s J} \bigg| \ll 1 \quad J(s, \alpha, E, \mu) = 2 \int_{l_{b_1}}^{l_{b_2}} |v_{\parallel}| \mathrm{d}s$$

In addition, optimization **at finite** β has naturally led to:

 $\partial_s J < 0.$

CIEMAT-QI at β =1.5%



*Notation: overbar denotes orbit average, \mathbf{v}_M is the magnetic drift, J is the second adiabatic invariant and $\alpha = \theta - \iota \zeta$ labels magnetic field lines, r label flux surfaces. J. M. García-Regaña | Turbulence in CIEMAT's new QI configuration | Thrust 4 | 07/10/2022 | Page 11



Optimization of fast ion confinement has yielded a **QI configuration** where*:

$$\frac{\overline{\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \nabla s}}{\overline{\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \nabla \alpha}} \bigg| = \bigg| \frac{\partial_{\alpha} J}{\partial_s J} \bigg| \ll 1 \quad J(s, \alpha, E, \mu) = 2 \int_{l_{b_1}}^{l_{b_2}} |v_{\parallel}| \mathrm{d}s$$

In addition, optimization at finite β has naturally led to:

 $\partial_{\bullet} J < 0.$

Combined, these two characteristics define the so-called "maximum-J" property, to which CIEMAT-QI configuration is remarkably close at β values as low as β =1.5%.

*Notation: overbar denotes orbit average, \mathbf{v}_M is the magnetic drift, J is the second adiabatic invariant and $\alpha = \theta - \iota \zeta$ labels magnetic field lines, r label flux surfaces. J. M. García-Regaña | Turbulence in CIEMAT's new QI configuration | Thrust 4 | 07/10/2022 | Page 12







Optimization of fast ion confinement has yielded a QI configuration where*:

$$\frac{\overline{\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \nabla s}}{\overline{\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \nabla \alpha}} \bigg| = \bigg| \frac{\partial_{\alpha} J}{\partial_s J} \bigg| \ll 1 \quad J(s, \alpha, E, \mu) = 2 \int_{l_{b_1}}^{l_{b_2}} |v_{\parallel}| \mathrm{d}l$$

- In addition, optimization **at finite** β has naturally led to:
 - $\partial_s J < 0.$
- Combined, these two characteristics define the so-called "maximum-J" property, to which CIEMAT-QI configuration is remarkably close at β values as low as $\beta = 1.5\%$!!! \Rightarrow good TEM stability [Helander (2013) PoP].

*Notation: overbar denotes orbit average, \mathbf{v}_M is the magnetic drift, J is the second adiabatic invariant and $\alpha = \theta - \iota \zeta$ labels magnetic field lines, r label flux surfaces. J. M. García-Regaña | Turbulence in CIEMAT's new QI configuration | Thrust 4 | 07/10/2022 | Page 13

Linear stella simulations with kinetic electrons at s= 0.49







Nonlinear stella simulations with kinetic electrons at *s* = 0.49





At low a/L_n , lower ion heat flux (Q_i) of CIEMAT-QI configuration \Rightarrow more accesible peaked T_i profiles and weak dependence on auxiliary particle sources to reduce turbulence via a/L_n increase.

Nonlinear stella simulations with kinetic electrons at *s* = 0.49





□ At low a/L_n , lower ion heat flux (Q_i) of CIEMAT-QI configuration \Rightarrow more accesible peaked T_i profiles and weak dependence on auxiliary particle sources to reduce turbulence via a/L_n increase.

At moderate to large a/L_n, CIEMAT-QI configuration and W7-X have comparable (low) Q_i values.

Nonlinear stella simulations with kinetic electrons at *s* = 0.49





■ At low a/L_n , lower ion heat flux (Q_i) of CIEMAT-QI configuration \Rightarrow more accesible peaked T_i profiles and weak dependence on auxiliary particle sources to reduce turbulence via a/L_n increase.

- At moderate to large a/L_n, CIEMAT-QI configuration and W7-X have comparable (low) Q_i values.
- At very large *a/L_n*, CIEMAT-QI configuration:
 - resists against n´-driven TEM turbulence with much lower Q_i...

Nonlinear stella simulations with kinetic electrons at *s* = 0.49





■ At low a/L_n , lower ion heat flux (Q_i) of CIEMAT-QI configuration \Rightarrow more accesible peaked T_i profiles and weak dependence on auxiliary particle sources to reduce turbulence via a/L_n increase.

- At moderate to large a/L_n, CIEMAT-QI configuration and W7-X have comparable (low) Q_i values.
- At very large *a/L_n*, CIEMAT-QI configuration:
 - resists against n'-driven TEM turbulence with much lower Q_i...
 - □ ... and lower Q_e as well, which favours peaked T_e profiles.

Nonlinear **stella** simulations with **kinetic electrons** at *s* = 0.49





■ At low a/L_n , lower ion heat flux (Q_i) of CIEMAT-QI configuration \Rightarrow more accesible peaked T_i profiles and weak dependence on auxiliary particle sources to reduce turbulence via a/L_n increase.

- At moderate to large a/L_n, CIEMAT-QI configuration and W7-X have comparable (low) Q_i values.
- At very large *a/L_n*, CIEMAT-QI configuration:
 - resists against n´-driven TEM turbulence with much lower Q_i...
 - □ ... and lower Q_e as well, which favours peaked T_e profiles.
 - Accessing strong a/L_n should be more feasible than in W7-X, as particle flux barely increases with a/L_n.

Nonlinear stella simulations with kinetic electrons at *s* = 0.49





- □ We have obtained a **new configuration at CIEMAT**, **remarkably close to exact quasiisodynamicity at low** β **values**, with **low** ε_{eff} , good ideal and ballooning MHD stability up to $\beta \sim 5\%$ and small bootstrap current.
- It features **good fast-ion confinement** at **low** β and **excellent fast-ion confinement** at **high** β .
- With regard to turbulent transport, the new configuration:
 - has low heat flux levels, weakly dependent on a/L_n.
 - Turbulent heat and particle fluxes resist to turbulence driven by large a/L_n.
 - Expected outcome: better control of density and temperature profiles and more efficient use of particle and heating sources.

