

#### Detachment studies in JET unseeded H, D, T and DT L-mode plasmas (H16-09, M18-27, M21-15)

TSVV-5 Code Camp Nov 24, 2022

Mathias Groth, Mathias Brix, Andy Meigs, Rennan Morales, Vladimir Solokha, Spyros Aleiferis, Beth Thomas, Bartosz Lomanowski

Full author list and affiliation at the end of the presentation





This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, funded by the European Union via the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No 101052200 — EUROfusion). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Commission. Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.

# Characterisation of the SOL in H, D and T L-mode for detachment physics and edge code validation



- Single  $I_P$  /  $B_T$  pair: 2.5 MA / 2.5 T
- V5/C configuration, optimized for diagnostics and edge modelling
- 1MW NBI, cryo at sc-He and LN<sub>2</sub>
- H<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> through divertor G/TIMs: low-recycling, ionising (> 30 eV)
  → high-recycling (≈ 2-4 eV) → recombining, detached (< 2 eV) → density limit
- Used <n<sub>e</sub>><sub>edge</sub> (<n<sub>e</sub>><sub>LAD4</sub>) as proxy for upstream SOL plasma profiles
- Measure target plasma conds. and fluxes + atomic and molecular emission → particle influxes ⇒
  primary focus on better diagnosed outer divertor

# **PSI 2022: within measurement uncertainties**, same det. onset density on both HFS (vert.) and LFS (horiz.)



 Invariant detachment onset density for hydrogen (pump), deuterium (pumped and unpumped), tritium (unpumped) and 40%-60% DT (unpumped)





Mathias Groth | Update on JET L-mode analyses - TSVV-5 code camp | Leuven, Belgium | Nov 24, 2022 | Page 3



### Transitioned from using $\langle n_e \rangle_{LAD4}$ to upstream profiles from HRTS, KY6 Li beam and KG10 reflectometer



- Utilize EFTP: typical inward shift of separatrix by 2 cm compared to EFIT
  ⇒ more consistent with expected values for T<sub>e,sep,omp</sub> and n<sub>e,sep,omp</sub> (see TFM Dec 13, 2012)
- Map profiles to outer midplane and R-R<sub>sep,omp</sub> ⇒ EDGE2D-EIRENE input!



Mathias Groted Usported Usported Usport L-mode analyses - TSVV-5 code camp | Leuven, Belgium | Nov 24, 2022 | Page 5

# Examine the upstream $T_e$ and $n_e$ profiles for low-recycling, high-recycling and partially detached conds.



- Ion current to outer plate similar for H, D and T in attached plasmas
- ⇒ HRTS, KY6 and KG10 upstream SOL profiles are identical within uncertainties of measurements and magnetic equilibrium reconstruction (see backup slides)
- ⇒ T cases had consistent 10% higher core density
- 50% higher ion current in H than T plasmas in partially detached conds. Coincides with broader upstream profiles for T versus H and D



### In partially detached conds., peak j<sub>sat</sub> for H is 3x higher than for D and T, indicative of lower degree of detachment in H

- 30% higher  $< n_e >_{LAD4}$  required for same degree of detachment in H



JET

Mathias Groth | Update on JET L-mode analyses - TSVV-5 code camp | Leuven, Belgium | Nov 24, 2022 | Page 7





JET

Mathias Groth | Update on JET L-mode analyses - TSVV-5 code camp | Leuveri, Deigiui i juov 24, 2022 | Page 8

### EDGE2D-EIRENE predicts higher divertor densities in T than



in H and D in high-recycling and partially detached conds.



- Generic density scan with identical transport coeffs., models
- Strong reduction in ion current to outer plate not yet reproduced ⇒ likely to require changes to transport coefficients
- T<sub>e</sub> least affected by isotope species





Mathias Groth | Update on JET L-mode analyses - TSVV-5 code camp | Leuven, Belgium | Nov 24, 2022 | Page 9

#### In matched cases for high-recycling conds., EDGE2D-EIRENE generally underpredicts $j_{sat,OT}$ by a factor of 2.5



IRTS - Te - 91288-56.6s - 81472-56.8s - 100170-55.8s - EDGE2D-EIRENE



 $T_{e,sep,omp} = 48 / 64 / 67 eV determining the higher T_{e,OT} for T$ 

 Further adjustment of P<sub>core-bd</sub> and transport coefficients



KG10 - ne - 91288-56.6s - 81472-56.8s - 100170-55.8s - EDGE2D-EIRENE KY4D - OT - jsat - 91288-57s - 81472-57.5s - 100170-55.5s - EDGE2D-EIRENE



### First comparison of Ly<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>, Ly<sub> $\beta$ </sub>, D<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> and D<sub> $\beta$ </sub> indicated Ly-a opacity already for high-recycling conditions





rotn j'Update on JET L-mode analyses - TSVV-5 code camp | Leuven, Belgium | Nov 24, 2022 | Page 11 نا

# Detached tritium divertor plasmas are up to 2x denser than hydrogen plasmas



- Analyses focused on L-mode plasmas, outer midplane and outer target plasma conds. ⇒ open questions on total radiation and line emisison
- In attached (low and high-recycling) plasmas, identical conditions (within measurement uncertainties) were obtained in H, D and T L-mode plasmas
- In partially detached conditions, broadening / shoulder formation more pronounced in T L-mode plasmas ⇒ less upstream density is needed in T to reach DL
- In attached L-mode plasmas,  $j_{sat}$  and  $T_e$  profiles are similar  $\Rightarrow$   $n_e$  is higher in T
  - Uncertainties in probe measurements, i.e., individual probes in one pulse and across campaigns are of the order 40%, masking out the isotope effect
- Partially detached H plasmas are more weakly detached than D and T plasmas ⇒ more upstream density needed in H to reach DL
  - Tritium divertor plasmas are up to 2x denser than H plasmas (at the peak of the divertor density at the OSP), **likely due to shorter ionization mfp for T**
- For generic n<sub>e,sep,omp</sub> scan, EDGE2D-EIRENE predicts higher divertor densities for tritium, case-specific comparison work-in-progress, but previously observed inconsistencies in high-recycling conditions continue/d to prevail



### Acknowledgements



S. Aleiferis<sup>1</sup>, P. Beaumont<sup>3</sup>, J. Bernado<sup>3</sup>, P. Boerner<sup>2</sup>, D. Borodin<sup>2</sup>, S. Brezinsek<sup>2</sup>, M. Brix<sup>3</sup>, I.S. Carvalho<sup>4</sup>, P. Carvalho<sup>4</sup>, I. Coffey<sup>3</sup>, N. Conway<sup>3</sup>, G. Corrigan<sup>3</sup>, E. de la Luna<sup>5</sup>, R. Futtersack<sup>3</sup>, C. Giroud<sup>3</sup>, M. Groth<sup>6</sup>, D. Harting<sup>2,3</sup>, J. Hillisheim<sup>3</sup>, L. Horvath<sup>3</sup>, N. Horsten<sup>1,7</sup>, A. Huber<sup>2</sup>, I. Jepu<sup>8</sup>, J. Karhunen<sup>2</sup>, K. Kirov<sup>3</sup>, B. Lomanowski<sup>1,9</sup>, P. Lomas<sup>3</sup>, K.D. Lawson<sup>3</sup>, C. Lowry<sup>3</sup>, C.F. Maggi<sup>3</sup>, M. Maslov<sup>3</sup>, A.G. Meigs<sup>3</sup>, S. Menmuir<sup>3</sup>, R.B. Morales<sup>3</sup>, E. Pawelec<sup>10</sup>, T. Pereira<sup>4</sup>, D. Reiter<sup>4,11</sup>, C. Perez van Thun<sup>12</sup>, S. Scully<sup>3</sup>, A. Shaw<sup>3</sup>, G. Sergienko<sup>2</sup>, S. Silburn<sup>3</sup>, V. Solokha<sup>6</sup>, Z. Stancar<sup>3</sup>, B. Thomas<sup>3</sup>, N. Vianello<sup>13</sup>, B. Viola<sup>14</sup>, S. Wiesen<sup>2</sup>, M. Wischmeier<sup>15</sup>

P. Belo<sup>3,4</sup>, M.N.A. Beurskens<sup>3</sup>, M. Clever<sup>2</sup>, J.W. Coenen<sup>2</sup>, S. Devaux<sup>15</sup>, T. Eich<sup>15</sup>, S. Marsen<sup>16</sup>, P. Drewelow<sup>16</sup>, J. Flanagan<sup>3</sup>, C. Guillemaut<sup>17</sup>, S. Jachmich<sup>18</sup>, M. Lehnen<sup>2</sup>, B. Sieglin<sup>15</sup>, C. Silva<sup>4</sup>, A. Sirinelli<sup>3</sup>, M.F. Stamp<sup>3</sup>, G.J. van Rooij<sup>19</sup>, and JET-EFDA and JET Contributors<sup>\*</sup>

EFDA-JET, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, OX14 3DB, UK EUROfusion Consortium, JET, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, OX14 3DB, UK

\* See Appendices of F. Romanelli, Proc. 24<sup>th</sup> IAEA-FEC, San Diego, USA, F. Romanelli, Proc. 25<sup>th</sup> IAEA-FEC, St. Petersburg, Russia, X. Litaudon, Proc. 25<sup>th</sup> IAEA-FEC, Kyoto, Japan, E. Joffrin et al., Proc. of the 27<sup>th</sup> IAEA-FEC 2018, Gandhinagar, India, and J. Mailloux, Proc. 28<sup>th</sup> IAEA-FEC, Nice, France



### Affiliations



<sup>1</sup>NCSR Demokritos Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics, Athens, Greece <sup>2</sup>Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH. Institute for Energy and Climate Research Plasma Physics. Jülich, Germany <sup>3</sup>Culham Centre for Fusion Energy, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, UK <sup>4</sup>Institute of Plasmas and Nuclear Fusion, Lisbon, Portugal <sup>5</sup>Laboratorio Nacional de Fusión, CIEMAT, Madrid, Spain <sup>6</sup>Aalto University, Espoo, Finland <sup>7</sup>KU Leuven, Belgium <sup>8</sup>National Institute for Laser Plasma and Radiation Physics, Magurele, Romania <sup>9</sup>Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA <sup>10</sup>University of Opole, Opole, Poland <sup>11</sup>Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany <sup>12</sup>IPPLM Institute of Plasma Physics and Laser Microfusion, Warsaw, Poland <sup>13</sup>Consorzio RFX, CNR, ENEA, INFN, Università di Padova, Padova, Italy <sup>14</sup>ENEA, Frascati, Italy <sup>15</sup>Max-Planck-Institute for Plasma Physics, Garching, Germany <sup>16</sup>Max-Planck-Institute for Plasma Physics, Greifswald, Germany <sup>17</sup>IRFM, CEA Cadarache, St. Paul-lez-Durance, France <sup>18</sup>Ecole Royale Militaire, Brussels, Belgium <sup>19</sup>DIFFER, Nieuwegein, The Netherlands

