

DTU



nMIHESEL, closure and 3D future

Overview

- Multi-temperature
 - Derivation
 - Implementation
- Simplified polarization
 - Motivation and implementation
- 3D
 - Considerations

Raghunathan closure

- Zhdanov 21-moment closure derived for like-temperature ions
- Raghunathan et al. extends to arbitrary temperature.
- We use the coulomb potential with Debye cut-off
 - This coincides with Zhdanov's closure
- Corrections to collisional terms:
 - Resistivity (R^{10})
 - Heat flux (R^{11})
 - Viscosity (R^{20})

Collisional moments equations

In general:

$$\mathbf{R}^{mn} = \sum_l \mathbf{R}^{mnl} = \sum_l (1 - \delta_{m0} \delta_{l0}) \left(\mathbf{A}_{\alpha\beta}^{mnl} b_{\alpha}^{ml} + \mathbf{B}_{\alpha\beta}^{mnl} b_{\beta}^{ml} \right) + \delta_{m0} \delta_{l0} \mathbf{C}_{\alpha\beta}^{mnl} \quad (1)$$

Here we are interested in:

- $b_{\alpha}^{10} = m_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}$,
- $b_{\alpha}^{11} = \frac{h_{\alpha}}{n_{\alpha}}$,
- $b_{\alpha}^{20} = \frac{\pi_{\alpha}}{n_{\alpha}}$,

Generally interested in density, vorticity and pressure equations.

In the following we use: $\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,0} = \mathbf{u}_{ExB} + \mathbf{u}_{b,\alpha}$

Resistivity

The resistivity is given by:

$$\mathbf{R}^{10} = \sum_l \mathbf{R}^{10l} \quad (2)$$

For $l = 0$ we get with coefficients from Raghunathan et al.:

$$\mathbf{R}^{100} = -m_\alpha n_\alpha v_{\alpha\beta} (\mathbf{u}_\alpha - \mathbf{u}_\beta) \approx -m_\alpha n_\alpha v_{\alpha\beta} (\mathbf{u}_{0,\alpha} - \mathbf{u}_{0,\beta}) = -m_\alpha n_\alpha v_{\alpha\beta} (\mathbf{u}_{d,\alpha} - \mathbf{u}_{d,\beta}) \quad (3)$$

\mathbf{u}_{ExB} cancels. Identical to Zhdanov.

For $l = 1$:

$$\mathbf{R}^{101} \approx \frac{3}{5} \frac{m_\alpha^3 n_\alpha}{(\eta_{\alpha\beta} + 1) T_\alpha (n_{\alpha\beta} + \theta_{\alpha\beta}) \mu_{\alpha\beta}} v_{\alpha\beta} \left(\theta_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\mathbf{h}_{\alpha,0}}{m_\alpha n_\alpha} - \frac{\mathbf{h}_{\beta,0}}{m_\beta n_\beta} \right) \quad (4)$$

Heat flux inserted from upcoming results

Heat flux

- Don't want to solve heat flux equation.
- Linearize following same assumptions as Zhdanov:

$$\frac{5}{2} \frac{p_\alpha}{m_\alpha} \nabla T_\alpha - \mathbf{h}_\alpha \times \boldsymbol{\omega}_\alpha = \mathbf{R}^{11} = \sum_l \mathbf{R}^{11l} \quad (5)$$

- Expand similar to drift expansion:

$$\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}_0 + \varepsilon \mathbf{h}_1 + \varepsilon^2 \mathbf{h}_2 \quad (6)$$

- This yields,
 - $\mathbf{h}_{0,\perp,\alpha} = \frac{5}{2} \frac{p_\alpha}{q_\alpha B} \mathbf{b} \times \nabla T_\alpha$ which is identical to previous results
 - $\mathbf{h}_{1,\perp,\alpha} = -\frac{1}{\omega_\alpha} \mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{R}_\alpha^{11}$

Heat flux continued

- First part is $l = 0$. I.e. heat flux related to relative drifts $b_\alpha = m_\alpha \mathbf{u}_\alpha$:

$$\mathbf{h}_{1,0,\perp} = -\frac{1}{\omega_\alpha} \mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{R}^{110} \quad (7)$$

$$= \frac{3}{2} \frac{\rho_\alpha \mu_{\alpha\beta} v_{\alpha\beta}}{q_\alpha^2 B^2} \left(\frac{\nabla \rho_\alpha}{n_\alpha} - \frac{q_\alpha}{q_\beta} \frac{\nabla \rho_\beta}{n_\beta} \right) \quad (8)$$

- Likewise the $l = 1$ part related is related to heat flux. We evaluate at h_0 :

$$\mathbf{h}_{1,1,\perp} = -\frac{\mu_{\alpha\beta} \rho_\alpha v_{\alpha\beta}}{m_\alpha^2 \omega_\alpha^2} \left[\frac{\left(\eta_{\alpha\beta}^2 (52 - 6\theta_{\alpha\beta}) + \eta_{\alpha\beta} \theta_{\alpha\beta} (9\theta_{\alpha\beta} + 20) + 30\eta_{\alpha\beta}^3 + 13\theta_{\alpha\beta}^2 \right)}{4(\eta_{\alpha\beta} + \theta_{\alpha\beta})^2} \nabla T_\alpha - \frac{9}{4} \eta_{\alpha\beta} \frac{(\eta_{\alpha\beta} (5\theta_{\alpha\beta} - 2) + 3\theta_{\alpha\beta})}{(\eta_{\alpha\beta} + \theta_{\alpha\beta})^2} \frac{q_\alpha}{q_\beta} \nabla T_\beta \right] \quad (9)$$

Viscosity

- Similar procedure to heat flux.
- Solve linearised equation:

$$-\omega_\alpha \{ \pi_{\alpha lr} \mathbf{e}_{slm} k_m \} + p_\alpha W_{rs} = \mathbf{R}_{rs}^{20} \quad (10)$$

- Although Viscosity tensor derivation is tedious!
- Focus on coefficients:

Viscosity cont.

- First set of coefficients is:

$$\eta_{\alpha}^{(4)} = 4\eta_{\alpha}^{(3)} = \frac{\rho_{\alpha}}{\omega_{\alpha}} \quad (11)$$

- Next set of coefficient are found by substitution:

$$\eta_{\alpha}^{(2)} = 4\eta_{\alpha}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\omega_{\alpha}} \left(A_{\alpha\beta}^{200} \frac{\eta_{\alpha}^{(4)}}{n_{\alpha}} + B_{\alpha\beta}^{200} \frac{\eta_{\beta}^{(4)}}{n_{\beta}} \right) \quad (12)$$

$$= -\frac{2\rho_{\alpha}v_{\alpha\beta}\mu_{\alpha\beta}}{5m_{\alpha}\omega_{\alpha}^2} \left(\frac{2\eta_{\alpha\beta}(\theta_{\alpha\beta} + 3) + 5\eta_{\alpha\beta}^2 + 3\theta_{\alpha\beta}}{(\eta_{\alpha\beta} + \theta_{\alpha\beta})} \right) \quad (13)$$

$$- \frac{\eta_{\alpha\beta}(3\theta_{\alpha\beta} - 1) + 2\theta_{\alpha\beta} \frac{q_{\alpha}}{q_{\beta}} \frac{T_{\beta}}{T_{\alpha}}}{(\eta_{\alpha\beta} + \theta_{\alpha\beta})} \quad (14)$$

Closure wrap up!

- New expressions for resistive, heat flux and viscosity.
- Only higher order expressions are new.
- Only focused on perpendicular parts
 - Parallel to follow!
- Note: All expressions reduce to Zhdanov for similar temp.
- Further reduces to Braginskii for single ion species.
- Implementation + testing ongoing

Simplified polarisation

MIHESEL has been limited in usage:

- Suffered poor stability
 - requires high diffusion or extreme resolution
- Computationally expensive
 - Linear inversion took 80% time

Question: Can we do anything about it?

Linear problem

- The momentum equation serves as the root for the drift fluid expansion

$$m_\alpha n_\alpha \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{u}_\alpha = n_\alpha q_\alpha (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{u}_\alpha \times \mathbf{B}) + \mathbf{R}_\alpha - \nabla p_\alpha - \nabla \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_s, \quad (15)$$

- Drift fluid expansion yields the polarization drift:

$$\mathbf{u}_{p,\alpha} = \Omega_{c,\alpha}^{-1} \mathbf{b} \times \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,0} \quad (16)$$

- Enters ion density, vorticity and pressure equation

Coupled system

- Result is coupled system of equations:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
 -\sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha} \nabla^2 & -\frac{\mu_1}{Z_1} \nabla^2 & -\frac{\mu_2}{Z_2} \nabla^2 & \dots \\
 -\frac{\mu_1}{Z_1} \nabla^2 & \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{\rho_1} - \frac{\mu_1}{Z_1^2 a_1} \nabla^2 & 0 & \\
 -\frac{\mu_2}{Z_2} \nabla^2 & 0 & \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{\rho_2} - \frac{\mu_2}{Z_2^2 a_2} \nabla^2 & \\
 \vdots & & & \ddots
 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix}
 \partial_t \phi \\
 \partial_t \rho_1 \\
 \partial_t \rho_2 \\
 \vdots
 \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
 -(\Lambda_w + \mathcal{C}(\sum_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha} + \rho_e) - \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha} \nabla \cdot \{\phi, \nabla_{\perp} \phi_{\alpha}^*\}) \\
 \left(\Lambda_{\rho_1} - \frac{5}{2} \rho_1 \mathcal{C}(\phi) - \frac{5}{2} \frac{1}{Z_1} \mathcal{C}\left(\frac{\rho_1^2}{n_1}\right) + \rho_1 \frac{\mu_1}{Z_1} \nabla \cdot \{\phi, \nabla_{\perp} \phi_1^*\} \right) / \rho_1 \\
 \left(\Lambda_{\rho_2} - \frac{5}{2} \rho_2 \mathcal{C}(\phi) - \frac{5}{2} \frac{1}{Z_2} \mathcal{C}\left(\frac{\rho_2^2}{n_2}\right) + \rho_2 \frac{\mu_2}{Z_2} \nabla \cdot \{\phi, \nabla_{\perp} \phi_2^*\} \right) / \rho_2 \\
 \vdots
 \end{pmatrix} \quad (17)$$

- Solved using multigrid

Linearization

- Let $\mathbf{u}_\alpha = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w}_\alpha$ where \mathbf{u} is bulk velocity
- Defined by: $\sum_\alpha m_\alpha n_\alpha \mathbf{u} = \sum_\alpha m_\alpha n_\alpha \mathbf{u}_\alpha$
- Assuming $\mathbf{w}_\alpha \ll \mathbf{u}$ we redefine polarization drift:

$$\nabla \cdot n_\alpha \mathbf{u}_{p,\alpha} = \nabla \cdot n_\alpha \Omega_{c,\alpha}^{-1} \mathbf{b} \times \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{u}_0 \approx -a_\alpha \frac{\mu_\alpha}{Z_\alpha} \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{d^0}{dt} \nabla_\perp \phi^* \right) \quad (18)$$

- where $\phi^* = \frac{\phi}{B_0} + \frac{\sum_\alpha \Omega_\alpha^{-1} \rho_\alpha}{\sum_\alpha \rho_\alpha}$ and $\frac{d^0}{dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,0} \cdot \nabla$
- To ensure we keep gyro-viscous cancellation, the stress tensor is evaluated with \mathbf{u}_0
- Choice of \mathbf{u}_α in advection is to ensure the same

New system

Defining $w = \nabla^2 \phi^*$ the vorticity equation becomes:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{w} + \{\phi, \mathbf{w}\} + \{\partial_x \phi, \partial_x \phi^*\} + \{\partial_y \phi, \partial_y \phi^*\} - \mathcal{L} \left(\sum_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} + p_e \right) = \quad (19)$$

$$\sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\beta} z_{\alpha} \frac{a_{\alpha} D_{\pi, \beta \rightarrow \alpha, 0}}{\Omega_{c, 0, \alpha}} \nabla^2 \nabla^2 \phi^* \quad (20)$$

- ϕ^* is solved as simple Poisson equation.
- Note: Almost identical to old polarization equation
- Polarization terms in density and pressure equation found by direct substitution. Easy!
 - This is the main effect!

The simpler system

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha} & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ -\frac{\mu_1}{Z_1} & \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{\rho_1} & 0 & \\ -\frac{\mu_2}{Z_2} & 0 & \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{\rho_2} & \\ \vdots & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \partial_t w \\ \partial_t \rho_1 \\ \partial_t \rho_2 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (\Lambda_w + \mathcal{C}(\sum_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha} + \rho_e) - \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha} \nabla \cdot \{\phi, \nabla_{\perp} \phi^*\}) \\ (\Lambda_{\rho_1} - \frac{5}{2} \rho_1 \mathcal{C}(\phi) - \frac{5}{2} \frac{1}{Z_1} \mathcal{C}(\frac{\rho_1^2}{n_1}) + \rho_1 \frac{\mu_1}{Z_1} \nabla \cdot \{\phi, \nabla_{\perp} \phi^*\}) / \rho_1 \\ (\Lambda_{\rho_2} - \frac{5}{2} \rho_2 \mathcal{C}(\phi) - \frac{5}{2} \frac{1}{Z_2} \mathcal{C}(\frac{\rho_2^2}{n_2}) + \rho_2 \frac{\mu_2}{Z_2} \nabla \cdot \{\phi, \nabla_{\perp} \phi^*\}) / \rho_2 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \quad (21)$$

- Triangular system! Easy!
- Linear solve now only takes $\sim 10 - 20\%$
- Little testing still necessary, but very promising!

3D - into the future

MIHESEL very limited in scope. What are the pros and cons?

- Captures many perpendicular dynamics
- Physics based coefficients etc.
- Fast - slab and 2D
- No parallel dynamics
- Can't easily model parallel effects
 - Triangularity
 - Divertor config.

Considerations

- Roughly same equations.
- What do we want to do?
- Good statistics
 - Full torus?
 - Large computational domain
 - Big machines?
 - High resolution
 - Easy implementation

Full torus

- Everything including core. Hot core breaks key assumptions
- Similar to Feltor
- Computationally expensive
- Especially with big machines
- Should be relatively easy to implement (working from Feltor)
 - 'Enlarge' slab to cover all.
 - Small adaption of eq. might be needed.
 - E.g. to account for magnetic field variation

Full edge/SOL

- Similar to Grillix
- Good for what equations are derived for: Edge/sol
- Includes all effects of interest
- Computationally expensive for large machines
- Could be easy to implement. Follow full torus impl.
 - 'Enlarge' slab to cover all.
 - Take out core
 - Small adaption of eq. might be needed.
 - E.g. to account for magnetic field variation

3D-slab-like

- Assumption: All perpendicular needed is already in slab!
- Main progress is in parallel dynamics!
- Follow slab along field lines (bends and twists!)
- Shouldn't be too expensive!
- Implementation more tedious:
 - How to deal with X-point
 - Private Flux region etc.
 - Magnetic field twists in each slab
- Simple option: limiter-plasma...

Similar for all - work to be done

- Implement parallel dynamics:
- Momentum equation
- Resistivity
- Heat flux
- Viscosity
- Can follow Zhdanov updated with Raghunathan et al. closure

Summary

- Rederived MIHESEL equation with new closure
 - Implementation ongoing
- Reduced coupling through polarisation term
 - Significant performance increase
 - Small deviation from previous equations
- Briefly discussed 3D extension which is next major goal!
 - Thoughts and ideas are welcome

See you in January/February