

### WP PWIE SP B.2 & SP B.3, Review meeting Overview of IBA analyses performed on WEST divertor tiles

Mathilde DIEZ (CEA), Martin BALDEN (MPG), Matej MAYER (MPG)

October 17, 2022





This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 and 2019-2020 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

# Reminder: divertor tiles installed in WEST during phase I





Mathilde DIEZ | WP PWIE SP B2&3 KoM meeting, October 17, 2022 | Page 2

# Complex erosion/redeposition pattern observed on the divertor







#### <u>1st step</u>

Non-destructive analyses performed on the entire WEST tiles (no cutting) to better identify erosion/redeposition patterns:

	SEM/FIB <i>MPG</i>	RBS/NRA MPG	Confocal micros. CEA, MPG	Emissivity CEA	XRF CEA
C3 marker tiles	done	done	no	done	no
C4 marker tiles	done	done	no	done	no
C5 marker tiles	on-going	pending	no	done	no
C4 ITER-like PFU	done	done	done	done	done

This talk

2<sup>nd</sup> step

#### Analyses performed on the WEST samples (after cutting):



M. Diez | WP PWIE review meeting, October 17, 2022 | Page 4

## Summary of RBS/NRA analyses



Erosion markersITER-like PFU→ C1-C3 marker tiles→ 1 PFU exposed to C3+C4→ C1-C4 marker tilesWhere ? Top surface<br/>Poloidal side at OSPWhere ? Top surface on all tiles : measurement made every 12.5 mm (MB-<br/>width) along central line• On poloidal side: depth profile in OSP area• Spot size area ~2 mm²

• RBS: 3.0 MeV protons at 165° (1.5 MeV protons at 165°; 2.5 MeV 3He at 165°)

• NRA: 2.5 MeV 3He at 150°

#### **Obtained information**

- Amount of W above thin Mo interlayer  $\rightarrow$  erosion
- Amount of **D**, **B**, **C** (partially with depth information)  $\rightarrow$  deposition
- Presence of O

IBA performed by

- Matej MAYER
- Martin BALDEN
- Bryan BLIEWERT

## Identification and evolution of erosion areas





FIB images provided by IPPLM (see next talk E. Fortuna)

M. Diez | WP PWIE review meeting, October 17, 2022 | Page 6

## IBA on C3, C4 marker tiles (top surface)

#### Main conclusions:

- Confirmation of a strong HFS/LFS asymmetry in redeposition pattern
- B, C, O, D as identified light impurities, in line with conditioning and WEST environment
- Quantification of impurities (multiplied by 2-3 between C3 and C4)
- Changes in the spatial distribution: expansion of redeposition area after C4 C3 s= 140-210 mm C4 s= 100-235 mm

Strong input for the analysis of the erosion marker samples



M. Diez | WP PWIE review meeting, October 17, 2022 | Page 7

## IBA on C4 ITER-like PFU (top surface)



#### Main conclusions:

- Evaluation to obtain amounts ongoing (simple scaling not possible) with ITERlike PFUs : difficult to do quantification and comparison with erosion markers
- BUT same spatial distribution of erosion/redeposition pattern than erosion markers
- -> suggest a toroidal homogeneity over the divertor



### IBA on C4 ITER-like PFU (OSP poloidal side)





M. Diez | WP PWIE review meeting, October 17, 2022 | Page 9





# Conclusions

- Monitoring of erosion/redeposition pattern after each WEST campaign conducted by IBA analysis on the entire C3 and C4 erosion marker tiles + on one ITER –like PFU
- IBA on entire C5 markers tiles scheduled soon → should provide more data about spatial distribution and quantification
- Analysis of the poloidal sides of ITER-like PFUs should be extended to other PFUs (coupled with FIB cuts?)
- IBA analysis on the erosion samples should proceed on 2023 and 2024





MB number 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 35 30 Erosion 25 marker C1+C2+C3 20 B [1E18 at/cm<sup>2</sup>] 15 Amount of D [1E18 at/cm<sup>2</sup>] 10 5 ō **30 25 20 20 15** 30 HES-deposition OSP-erosion area area enlarg enlaraed 3 Erosion marker 2 10 C1+C2+C3+C4 100 300 200 400 500 600 's' coordinate [mm]

