

Swiss

Plasma Center



## **Turbulence in NT TCV: experiments and simulations**

Alessandro Balestri





EPFL **Overview** 

- Investigate the effect of the Up-Down triangularity imbalance on the confinement in diverted configurations
  - Experimental side: The upper or lower triangularity are varied within a shot, while all the other plasma parameters are kept fixed. The global confinement is then assessed by looking at the normalized beta and energy confinement time.
  - Gyrokinetic simulations: GK GENE simulations have been performed at fixed radius (rho=0.9) in order to isolate the effect of the shape.



#### **EPFL** Overview of the scenarios



EPFL

#### Effect of Up-Down triangularity imbalance in diverted configurations: Experimental evidences

Swiss Plasma Center

#### **EPFL** List of shots – Ohmic discharges

#### $\delta^{top}$ scan with $\delta^{XP} < 0$

- 67057 (LSN)
- 67063 (LSN)
- 68954 (LSN)
- 68176 (LSN)
- 68927 (USN)
- 67064 (LSN)
- 67068 (LSN)

#### $\delta^{top}$ scan with $\delta^{XP}$ >0

- 68783 (LSN)
- 68924 (USN)

Swiss Plasma Center  $\delta^{XP}$  scan with  $\delta^{top}$ <0 • 68943 (USN)

δ<sup>XP</sup> scan with δ<sup>top</sup>>0
68785 (LSN)
68934 (USN)

During a  $\delta$ -scan all the plasma parameters and powers were kept fixed.

#### EPFL $\delta^{top}$ scan





6

<sup>30.11.2022 -</sup> TSVV2 annual workshop







7



 $\delta^T < 0$  always leads to better confinement

30.11.2022 - TSVV2 annual workshop

#### EPFL Ohmic discharges (LSN) - $\delta^{top}$ scan

Swiss Plasma

Center



- $\delta^T < 0$  always leads to better confinement
- $\delta^{XP} > 0$  leads to slightly better confinement

#### EPFL Ohmic discharges (USN) - $\delta^{top}$ scan



Swiss Plasma Center

30.11.2022 - TSVV2 annual workshop

### **EPFL** Ohmic discharges (LSN) - $\delta^{XP}$ scan

Swiss Plasma

Center



## **EPFL** Ohmic discharges (USN) - $\delta^{XP}$ scan



#### EPFL $\beta_N$ over the " $\delta$ " space – Ohmic discharges



Swiss Plasma Center USN

0.6

0.5

0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

 $^{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathsf{N}}$ 

30.11.2022 - TSVV2 annual workshop

0.4

0.6

#### **EPFL** Limited configurations (previous study)

14

Y Camenen et al 2010 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 52 124037





#### **EPFL** Limited configurations (previous study)

Y Camenen et al 2010 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 52 124037

Swiss

Plasma Center



#### **Preliminary Conclusions and remarks**

- The impact of  $\delta^T$  on the confinement is larger than the impact of  $\delta^{XP}$ .
- However, the configuration with the best performance in terms of global confinement is the one with negative  $\delta^T$  and positive  $\delta^{XP}$  (NP).
- This behaviour was not observed in (old) limited discharges, thus the improvement with positive  $\delta^{XP}$  could be mainly due to the position of the X-point.

EPFL

#### Effect of Up-Down triangularity imbalance in diverted configurations: Gyrokinetic simulations

Swiss Plasma Center

# A. Balestri **B1**

#### EPFL **Overview**

- GK simulations with GENE at  $\rho_{tor} = 0.9$  with fixed kinetic profiles (from #68954) but different magnetic equilibria.
  - >Goal: to isolate the effect of the shape and reproduce the experimental trend
- All simulations include:
  - ➢ finite beta
  - ➤ collisions
  - > carbon as the main impurity
  - Generalized miller to reconstruct the equilibrium from the eqdsk files





#### **EPFL GK simulations – magnetic equilibria**



Swiss Plasma Center

30.11.2022 - TSVV2 annual workshop

A. Balestri **05** 

#### **EPFL GK simulations – Linear growth rates & frequencies**



Swiss Plasma Center

30.11.2022 - TSVV2 annual workshop

21

#### **EPFL** GK simulations – Electron heat flux (NL)

$(\boldsymbol{\delta}^{T}, \boldsymbol{\delta}^{XP})$	<i>Q<sub>e</sub></i> [ <i>gB</i> ]
(-,-)	698.7
(-,+)	573.4
(+,-)	1364.3
(+,+)	1111.9

- NP with lowest heat flux.
- $\delta^{XP}$  positive is better wrt the  $\delta^{XP}$  negative counterpart.
- Impact of  $\delta^T$  is larger than  $\delta^{XP}$ .

Swiss Plasma

Center



#### **EPFL GK simulations – Ion heat flux (NL)**

$(\boldsymbol{\delta}^{T}, \boldsymbol{\delta}^{XP})$	<b>Q</b> <sub>i</sub> [ <b>gB</b> ]
(-,-)	373.4
(-,+)	321.1
(+,-)	833.8
(+,+)	817.4

• The effect of  $\delta^{XP}$  on the ion heat flux is less important than before.



Swiss Plasma Center

#### **EPFL GK simulations – Ion momentum flux (NL)**



$(\boldsymbol{\delta}^{T}, \boldsymbol{\delta}^{XP})$	П <sub>і</sub> [ <b>g</b> B]
(-,-)	-5.09
(-,+)	-2.25
(+,-)	-23.3
(+,+)	-16.6

Swiss Plasma Center

#### **EPFL GK simulations – Electron stiffness**

- The stiffness does not change between NN and NP, while the critical gradient does
- The main effect of the XP is thus related to the critical gradients?



#### EPFL Conclusions

- Experimental evidences show an asymmetry between the impact of  $\delta^T$  and  $\delta^{XP}$ .
- The NP configuration showed the best global confinement when compared to NN, PP and PN.
- The comparison with limited configurations suggests that this improvement is specific for diverted shapes and mainly due to the position of the X-point.
- The NP could have better confinement because of the combined beneficial impact of a negative  $\delta^T$  and the XP in the HFS.
- GK NL simulations are in agreement with the experimental trends, i.e. confirmed the asymmetry between the impact of δ<sup>T</sup> and δ<sup>XP</sup> and when the kinetic profiles are fixed and only the shape is changed, the NP configuration has the lowest fluxes.
- The analysis of the stiffness showed that the main impact of  $\delta^{XP}$  is on the electron critical gradient.