

Computer Vision for Machine Protection in Nuclear Fusion

8th EIROforum School on Instrumentation 13th – 17th May 2024

Bartłomiej Jabłoński, Dariusz Makowski Lodz University of Technology (TUL), Lodz, Poland

Aleix Puig Sitjes, Marcin Jakubowski Max Planck Institute for Plasma Physics (IPP), Greifswald, Germany





This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, funded by the European Union via the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No 101052200—EUROfusion), and in part by the Engineering Grant EEG21-17, and in part by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education within the International Co-financed Projects (PMW). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Commission. Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.



□ Brief introduction to the Wendelstein 7-X (W7-X) stellarator and infrared diagnostics

□ Computer vision applications:

- Thermal overload detection/anticipation (machine protection, real-time, image processing)
- Anomalous image detection (unsupervised learning, anomaly detection, autoencoder)
- Dataset preparation (image annotation, image processing)
- Thermal event instance segmentation (supervised learning, deep learning, detection, segmentation)

□ Summary



The Wendelstein 7-X Stellarator





- The largest and most advanced stellarator in the world
- Located at the Max Planck Institute for Plasma Physics (IPP) in Greifswald, Germany
- Imaging systems are used for plasma diagnostics

- Expected to sustain plasma for 30 min in the upcoming Operational Phases (OPs) 2.N (2.2 from September 2024)
- Reached 480 s (8 min) discharge of 1.3 GJ energy turnover with on average 2.7 MW of heating power in OP2.1 (2023)

TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page 3 (26)

Plasma Image Diagnostics





Basic Protection

- Protect water-cooled Plasma Facing Components (PFCs) from thermal overloads with infrared (IR) cameras
- Trigger the Fast Interlock System (FIS) to terminate a discharge when a thermal overload is anticipated

Advanced Protection & Control (facilitated by deep learning)

- Thermal event detection
- Feedback control

W7-X has 12 IR cameras, and 10 divertor units are monitored

TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page 4 (26)

Thermal Overload Detection (TOD)



Process entire FoV without pre-defined Rols and assess risk to dynamically adjust temperature thresholds.





TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page 5 (26)



Evaluated the entire Operational Phase (OP) 1.2, totalling 1419 discharges corresponding to 12`074 discharge sequences (**19`447`678** images).

Conclusion: Full-frame infrared image processing with dynamic temperature thresholding improves the versatility and effectiveness of real-time machine protection in thermonuclear fusion devices compared to the protection of predefined regions of interest with fixed temperature thresholding.





Anomalous Image Data in OP1.2







Transmission interference and bottom artifacts

TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page 7 (26)

✓ Correct discharge sequence data:

Wendelstein



XIncorrect discharge sequence data, i.e., vertical strap and strong vignetting:



TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page 8 (26)



Manually identified **96** invalid discharges (not counting sequences with partially incorrect images) out of **12`998** OP1.2 discharge sequences excluding noise-only or blank cases (0's)

- (1) AUC = **1.0000** discrimination between good vs bad images (balanced test dataset of 8108 randomly sampled images)
- (2) AUC = 0.9938 discrimination between noise-only vs 125 175 °C plasma build-up images after T1 signal (balanced dataset of 414 randomly sampled images)
- Total latency 5.5 ms (Tesla T4 FP16: transfer, pre-processing, inference, errors)



Thermal Events in Infrared Images



[1] Strike-line (SL)



[2] Reflection (R)



[3] Hot-spot (HS)



[4] UFO





[5] Leading Edge (LE)



TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page **10** (26)



Tungsten Environment in Steady-state Tokamak (WEST) Cadarache, France

"Deep learning and image processing for the automated analysis of thermal events on the first wall and divertor of fusion reactors" 09.2022

"Deep learning-based process for the automatic detection, tracking, and classification of thermal events on the in-vessel components of fusion reactors" 03.2023

Issues with a deep learning for computer vision dataset:

- Manually annotated by a group of experts
- Highly time-consuming (complex, noisy)
- Inconsistencies between annotators (fuzzy event borders)
- Bounding-boxes, not segmentation masks
- Device specific issues, higher image resolutions, framerates

Propose a **semi-automatic** approach for infrared image annotation for thermonuclear fusion devices to **accelerate the annotation process** and **impose consistency** while integrating expert knowledge.



X. Courtois et al., IR Calibration Workshop, 2023 *Animated



Annotation Method





TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page 12 (26)

Qualitative Results – Difference







TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page **13** (26)

Qualitative Results – Generated Sequence





W7-X: 20181017.038 (AEF10), Generated annotations

W7-X: 20180904.007 (AEF10), Generated annotations



Quantitative Results





Evaluation dataset of 21 manually annotated Ground Truth (GT) images prepared with: *"ClickSEG: A Codebase for Click-Based Interactive Segmentation"*



	Otsu's thresh	olding (baseline)	Adaptive Gaus	sian thresholding	Proposed method		
Mask	$\overline{\mathbf{SDC}} \pm \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{SDC}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{tlwSDC}} \pm \mathbf{s_{tlwSDC}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{SDC}} \pm \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{SDC}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{tlwSDC}} \pm \mathbf{s_{tlwSDC}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{SDC}} \pm \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{SDC}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{tlwSDC}} \pm \mathbf{s_{tlwSDC}}$	
Any	0.586 ± 0.173	0.777 ± 0.085	0.780 ± 0.050	0.881 ± 0.035	0.825 ± 0.030	0.904 ± 0.018	
Strike-line	0.721 ± 0.230	0.860 ± 0.198	0.828 ± 0.068	0.917 ± 0.033	0.868 ± 0.048	0.935 ± 0.024	
Reflection	0.108 ± 0.126	0.194 ± 0.189	0.679 ± 0.072	0.761 ± 0.073	$\boldsymbol{0.748 \pm 0.044}$	0.818 ± 0.044	
Hot-spot	0.433 ± 0.316	0.564 ± 0.346	0.725 ± 0.119	0.829 ± 0.094	0.787 ± 0.097	0.877 ± 0.069	

The same proposed annotation method but different segmentation methods!



TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page 15 (26)

Examples on WEST Images





Conclusions: Deterministic image processing method based on a reference image and max-tree representation significantly accelerates the thermal event annotation process by consistently generating annotations of a high degree of similarity to manual annotations in infrared images from nuclear fusion devices.



TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page **16** (26)



 A dataset generated with the semi-automatic annotation method from OP1.2 discharge sequences acquired with IRCAM Caleo

1.0

- 134 training discharge sequences: 213`883 images, and 3`968 images without any thermal event
- 21 test discharge sequences (sampled every 10th image to reduce the test time): 3`657 images
- Supported annotation formats: COCO and YOLO





Metallic devices (ITER), compared to graphite devices (W7-X), experience even more reflections



Annotated Dataset – Train Split Exploration





TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page 18 (26)





20180904.007 (AEF10), high-iota (FTM) configuration

Scratch Mask R-CNN (R50, GN): T1 (heating start) \rightarrow T4 (heating termination), visualize every 5th image



TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page **19** (26)





20180829.040 (AEF51), low-iota (DBM) configuration

Pretrained YOLOv8 (large): T1 (heating start) \rightarrow T4 (heating termination), visualize every 5th image



TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page **20** (26)

Real-Time Instance Segmentation – Quantitative Results



# Model		Protrained	Inference shape	#Parame	Bounding-Box		Mask		TensorRT [ms]	(9) YOLOv8 (nano)	
#	Model	Fielialiteu	[C×H×W]	#Falallis -	mAP	AP@50	mAP↓	AP@50	end-to-end	(4) Mask R-CNN (R18, GN→BN)	
1	Cascade Mask R-CNN (R18, BN)	Х	1×768×1024	58.7 M	45.97	74.32	43.67	75.69	-	45	
2	Mask R-CNN (R50, GN)	Х	1×768×1024	45.3 M	44.05	74.41	43.38	75.29	93.13	45	
3	Mask R-CNN (R18, GN)	Х	1×768×1024	32.3 M	43.79	74.21	42.88	75.56	69.31	35	
4	Mask R-CNN (R18, GN→BN)	Х	1×768×1024	32.3 M	43.67	73.57	42.86	75.30	44.07	ي د عن ال	
5	Mask R-CNN (R50, BN)	Х	1×768×1024	44.0 M	43.37	73.37	41.87	74.30	40.53		
6	Mask R-CNN (R50, BN)	\checkmark	3×768×1024	44.0 M	42.85	72.41	40.70	73.31	40.53	os 9 20	
7	YOLOv8 (medium)	\checkmark	3×1024×1376	27.2 M	43.58	70.26	34.75	70.46	28.82	15	
8	YOLOv8 (small)	\checkmark	3×1024×1376	11.8 M	43.27	70.65	34.59	71.15	16.25	10	
9	YOLOv8 (nano)	\checkmark	3×1024×1376	3.3 M	42.42	70.63	34.25	70.69	11.12	5	
10	YOLOv8 (nano)	\checkmark	3×768×1024	3.3 M	40.14	67.68	29.72	66.94	8.76		
11	MaskDINO (R50, DETR)	\checkmark	3×1024×1024	43.8 M	38.05	73.43	33.50	73.69	-	Maximum Detections [a. u.]	

Evaluation criteria are maximum detections = 100, minimum confidence = 5% and the inference times include pre- and post-processing on NVIDIA Tesla T4. YOLOv8 models offer faster inference in exchange for a lower segmentation performance (especially localization of small events).

R50/18: ResNet 50/18 backbone

GN: Group Normalization (PyTorch \rightarrow ONNX supports *opset*<18; therefore, GN = Reshape + Instance Normalization + Reshape + Mul + Add or TRT plugin)

BN: Batch Normalization (BN + Conv \rightarrow Conv (folding))

Wendelstein DETR: Detection with Transformers

TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page 21 (26)

Annotated Dataset – Thermal Event Temperature





TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page 22 (26)

Machine Protection – Non-Dangerous Overload Suppression



Overload (o) = region of pixels exceeding the corresponding temperature limit in image (S) ND = non-dangerous (positive class), D = dangerous (negative class), $\emptyset = no$ matching detection



ND	reflection, le	eading edge	D strike-line, hot spot, UFO		strike-line, hot spot, UFO	Statistics		
Ground Truth Prediction			Outcome		² Overload OP1.2 sequences	640		
				TP: good, supressed unnecessary alarm		Excl. training sequences	(-32) 608	
ND		ND	IP: go			Only NDs in image	(20.23%) 123	
D		ND FI		FP: bad, supressed dangerous alarm		Only Ds in image		
ND		D	FN, not supressed unnecessary alarm		ressed unnecessary alarm	Total reflections (ND)	(62%) 1005	
D		D	TN, not suppressed dangerous alarm		pressed dangerous alarm	Total strike-lines (D)	327	
ND		Ø→D	FN, not supressed unnecessary alarm		ressed unnecessary alarm	Total hot spots (D)	261	
D		Ø→D	TN, nc	ot sup	ressed unnecessary alarm	Total leading edges (ND)	(1%) 17	



TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page 23 (26)

Machine Protection – Non-Dangerous Overload Suppression Evaluation





 \uparrow Average Precision (AP) = $\sum_{n} (R_n - R_{n-1}) P_n$, for *n* confidence thresholds

 $\uparrow R@P(1) = recall at precision of 1 (no FPs)$

Madal		Per Imag	ge	Per Overload			
Model	AP	R@P(1)	Suppressions ↓	AP	R@P(1)	Suppressions	_
afbb8a1 (1)	1.000	1.000	123	1.000	0.988	1010	_
662ca1e (4)	0.974	0.967	119	0.998	0.976	997	
35b16da (5)	0.986	0.911	112	0.999	0.985	1007	Mask
94f2e90 (3)	0.947	0.894	110	0.996	0.761	778	R-CN
c633384 (6)	0.971	0.805	99	0.998	0.894	914	
efef13d (2)	0.983	0.724	89	0.999	0.801	819	
e1412b9 (7)	0.994	0.992	122	0.999	0.850	869	
c2ba23e (10)	0.981	0.976	120	0.996	0.408	417	
683d08f (9)	0.998	0.870	107	0.999	0.748	764	TOL
e5a3a55 (8)	0.994	0.715	88	0.996	0.633	647	

Exemplary overloads



Tiny hot spot



TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page 24 (26)



Presented varied applications of computer vision for machine protection in the nuclear fusion device – Wendelstein 7-X:

- I. Real-time **overload** anticipation in an entire FoV with dynamic thresholds... \rightarrow protect PFCs from overheating
- II. Real-time anomalous image detection...
 - \rightarrow verify if images are correct so that PFCs can be protected with [I]
- III. Offline semi-automatic infrared discharge **annotation method**... \rightarrow facilitate supervised deep learning in [IV]
- IV. Real-time thermal event instance segmentation...
 - \rightarrow scene understanding and non-dangerous overload suppression to reduce alarms in [I]





Computer Vision for Machine Protection in Nuclear Fusion

8th EIROforum School on Instrumentation 13th – 17th May 2024

Bartłomiej Jabłoński, Dariusz Makowski Lodz University of Technology (TUL), Lodz, Poland

Aleix Puig Sitjes, Marcin Jakubowski Max Planck Institute for Plasma Physics (IPP), Greifswald, Germany





This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, funded by the European Union via the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No 101052200—EUROfusion), and in part by the Engineering Grant EEG21-17, and in part by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education within the International Co-financed Projects (PMW). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Commission. Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.

Risk Model



(1)
$$\mu_{Temperature}$$

$$\overline{T'_{xy}(t)} = \frac{T_{xy}(t - [n - 1]t_{frame}) + T_{xy}(t - [n - 2]t_{frame}) + \dots + T_{xy}(t)}{n}$$
(2) $\Delta_{Temperature}$

$$\Delta\overline{T'_{xy}(t)} = (1 - \alpha)\Delta\overline{T'_{xy}(t)} - t_f) + \alpha \left(\overline{T'_{xy}(t)} - \overline{T'_{xy}(t)} - t_f\right)$$
(3) q (heat-flux) estimate

$$q_{xy}(t) = \frac{\Delta\overline{T'_{xy}(t)}}{\left(\frac{t_f}{e_{xy}}\right)^4}$$
(4) Threshold

$$T_{xy}^{th}(t) = \min \left\{ T_{xy}^{timit} - q_{xy}(t) \left(\frac{t_f}{e_{xy}}\right)^4, T_{xy}^{th-max} \right\}$$
(5) Risk

$$\hat{r}_{xy}(t) = \frac{\overline{T'_{xy}(t)}}{T_{xy}^{th}(t)} (1 - S_{xy})$$

Wendelstein

TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page **27** (26)



- 1. Full-frame processing (1280×1024)
- 2. Dynamic temperature threshold

Calibrated

Temperature-Image instead of

Regions of Interest (Rols)

instead of a fixed threshold

Pre-Processing Bad Hot Pixels (Bad Cold Pixels) Field-of-View Temperature Removal Removal Masking Correction Field-of-View Mask Uncertainties Scene Model **Camera Calibration Temperature Limits** Thermal **Pixel Spatial Resolution** Heat Flux Limits Capacities Álarm Mask **Thermal Capacities** Fast Heat Flux Alarm **Risk Calculation** ▶ Interlock Estimation Detection Trigger Detection



- Real-time constraint is **110 ms** = 160 ms 50 ms (safety margin)
- Acquisition rate is **100 Hz**, a new image every 10 ms
- CoDaS Fast Control Station (FCS) triggers the next processing step every 10 ms
- AMD EPYC 7402P 24-core CPU and NVIDIA Tesla T4 GPU
- 1-channel 16-bit 1280×1024 IR images



TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page **29** (26)



- During the previous experimental campaign OP1.2 (2017-2018), no autonomous protection system was in operation, and the inertially-cooled (uncooled) test divertors were affected by overloads
- It enables backtesting
- During OP2.1 (2022-2023), PFCs will be water-cooled and become vulnerable to overloads

Detection Result	Definition
True Positive (TP)	The alarm is triggered within $[-1000, -50]$ ms before the overload
True Negative (TN)	NEITHER the alarm NOR the overload occurs
False Positive (FP)	EITHER the alarm is triggered within $(-\infty, -1000)$ ms before the overload OR the alarm is triggered when no overload occurs
False Negative (FN)	The alarm is triggered within $(-50,\infty)$ ms before the overload, i.e., it is too late to compensate for the system delay



Thermal Overload Detection – Evaluation







TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page **31** (26)

Anomalous Image Detection Method





Trained only on correct images!



TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page 32 (26)

X Incorrect discharge sequence data, i.e., only-noise:



 $X \rightarrow \sqrt{1}$ Incorrect to correct discharge sequence data, i.e., plasma build-up transition from noise-only to observable heat loads:



TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page 33 (26)



Prior Posterior Likelihood	$p_{\theta}(z)$ $p_{\phi}(z x)$ $p_{\theta}(z x)$	М
$p_{\phi}(z x) \approx p_{\theta}(z)$		Μ
$-D_{KL}[P_{\phi}(z x)\ P_{\Theta}$	(Z)]	
$z = \mu(z) + \Sigma(z) * \epsilon$		
$L(\Phi,\Theta,x) = -D_{KL}$	$[P_{\phi}(z x) \ P_{\Theta}(z)] + E_{q(z x)}[log(p_{\Theta}(x z)]]$))]
$D_{KL}(P \ Q) = -\frac{1}{2}$	$\sum_{i=0}^{n} 1 + \log \sigma_{qi}^2 - \mu_{qi}^2 - \sigma_{qi}^2$	

• t-distributed stochastic neighbour embedding

$$\begin{split} MAE(y_{true}, y_{pred}) &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_{true}^{i} - y_{pred}^{i}|}{n} \\ MSLE(y_{true}, y_{pred}) &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(y_{true}^{i} + 1) - \log(y_{pred}^{i} + 1))^{2}}{n} \\ BCE(y_{true}, y_{pred}) \\ &= -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{true}^{i} \log y_{pred}^{i} + (1 - y_{true}^{i}) \log(1 - y_{pred}^{i})}{n} \\)] \\ SSIM(y_{true}, y_{pred}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(2\mu_{truei}^{2}\mu_{predi}^{2} + c_{1})(2\sigma_{true-predi}^{i} + c_{2})}{(\mu_{truei}^{2} + \mu_{predi}^{2} + c_{1})(\sigma_{truei}^{2} + \sigma_{predi}^{2} + c_{2})} \end{split}$$



TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page **34** (26)

Segmentation Method







Segmentation Method – Leading Edge





Most Frequent Annotations





Discharge sequence containing most HS.



TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page **37** (26)

Mask R-CNN







TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page **38** (26)

YOLOv8







TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page **39** (26)

- 1. Model predictions.
- Assign each predicted instance to the ground truth instance with the highest IoU above the threshold (IoU ≥@).

$$\mathsf{IoU}(A,B) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|}$$

- 3. Sort the predicted instances based on confidence scores in descending order.
- 4. PR: Calculate precision and recall at each step as you iterate through the sorted predictions:
 - a. TP: Prediction has a correct category and sufficient IoU;
 - **b. FP**: Prediction has an incorrect category or insufficient IoU;
 - **c. FN**: If ground truth is not matched with any prediction;

Precision (P) =
$$\frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$
 (TP + FP = number of predictions) Recall (R) = $\frac{TP}{TP + FN}$ (TP + FN = number of ground truth annotations)

- 5. AP: Interpolate and compute the area under the precision-recall curve.
- 6. mAP: Calculate the mean of the individual class average precisions.

$$\mathsf{mAP} = \frac{\sum_{category} AP_{category}}{n_{categories}}$$

7. mAP@0.5:0.05:0.95: Repeat for different IoU thresholds @ and calculate the mean.

$$mAP@0.5: 0.95 = \frac{\sum_{IoU} mAP@IoU}{n_{IoUs}}$$





FΝ



Predictions

Ground truth

TΡ

Bad Cold Pixel Removal





Morphological Closing



Wendelstein

TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page **41** (26)

Max-Tree









TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page **42** (26)

Real-Time Constraint







TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page **43** (26)

VAE Formulas



Prior Posterior Likelihood	$p_{\theta}(z)$ $p_{\phi}(z x)$ $p_{\theta}(z x)$	М
$p_{\phi}(z x) \approx p_{\theta}(z)$		Μ
$-D_{KL}[P_{\phi}(z x)\ H$	$\mathcal{P}_{\Theta}(Z)$]	
$z = \mu(z) + \Sigma(z) *$	ϵ	
$L(\Phi,\Theta,x)=-D_k$	$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}} [P_{\phi}(z x) \ P_{\Theta}(z)] + E_{q(z x)} [log(p_{\Theta}(z))]$	(X Z))]
$D_{KL}(P \ Q) = -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{n} 1 + \log \sigma_{qi}^2 - \mu_{qi}^2 - \sigma_{qi}^2$	

• t-distributed stochastic neighbour embedding

$$\begin{split} MAE(y_{true}, y_{pred}) &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_{true}^{i} - y_{pred}^{i}|}{n} \\ MSLE(y_{true}, y_{pred}) &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(y_{true}^{i} + 1) - \log(y_{pred}^{i} + 1))^{2}}{n} \\ BCE(y_{true}, y_{pred}) \\ &= -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{true}^{i} \log y_{pred}^{i} + (1 - y_{true}^{i}) \log(1 - y_{pred}^{i})}{n} \\)] \\ SSIM(y_{true}, y_{pred}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(2\mu_{truei}^{2}\mu_{predi}^{2} + c_{1})(2\sigma_{true-predi}^{2} + c_{2})}{(\mu_{truei}^{2} + \mu_{predi}^{2} + c_{1})(\sigma_{truei}^{2} + \sigma_{predi}^{2} + c_{2})} \end{split}$$



TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page **44** (26)

Extinction and MSER





Fig. 4. Attributes from the relief top of a region of the image.



Fig. 3. Dynamics D_{M_2} of regional maximum M_2 . Minimum height climb down from M_2 to reach another higher maximum (M_1 or M_5).



TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page **45** (26)





#55210 Divertor



TUL-DMCS, Bartłomiej JABŁOŃSKI | 8th ESI | Garching | May 2024 | Page 46 (26)