

# Negative triangularity edge modelling with SOLEDGE2D / SOLEDGE3X-EIRENE on TCV

<u>P.Muscente<sup>1,2</sup></u>, P.Innocente<sup>2</sup>, L. Aucone<sup>3,5</sup>, A. Balestri<sup>3,4</sup>, J.Ball<sup>4</sup>, T. Bolzonella<sup>2</sup>, G.Ciraolo<sup>10</sup>, H.Bufferand<sup>10</sup>, M.Dunne<sup>7</sup>, M.Faitsch<sup>7</sup>, S.Gorno<sup>4</sup>, T. Happel<sup>7</sup>, P. Mantica<sup>5</sup>, A. Mariani<sup>5</sup>, O. Sauter<sup>4</sup>, M. Vallar<sup>4</sup>, E.Viezzer<sup>6</sup>, TCV team<sup>8</sup> and ASDEX Upgrade team<sup>9</sup>

#### paola.muscente@igi.cnr.it

<sup>1</sup>Centro di Ateneo "Centro Richerca e Fusione", Padova University, Italy; <sup>2</sup>Consorzio RFX (CNR, ENEA, INFN, Università di Padova, Acciaierie Venete SpA), Padova, Italy; <sup>3</sup>Dipartimento di Fisica 'G. Occhialini', Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milan, Italy; <sup>4</sup>École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Swiss Plasma Center (SPC), 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland; <sup>5</sup>Istituto per la Scienza e la Tecnologia dei Plasmi, CNR, 20125 Milan, Italy; <sup>6</sup>University of Seville, Seville, Spain; <sup>7</sup>Max– Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Boltzmannstraße 2, D-85748 Garching, Germany; <sup>8</sup>See the author list of H. Reimerdes et al 2022 Nucl. Fusion 62 042018, ; <sup>9</sup>See author list of U. Stroth et al. 2022 Nucl. Fusion 62 042006, <sup>10</sup>CEA, IRFM, 13108 St Paul-Lez-Durance, France









This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement number 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

# Aims of the study



Study the edge transport of Negative Triangularity and whether and how this Dshape could be a good alternative with respect to the Positive Triangularity H-mode from the power exhaust point of view

interpretive and predictive tools to understand the properties of NT in heat, particles and momentum transport in the SOL

<u>Studies</u>

- Study how power and particle exhaust differ between **diverted L-mode** pulses with different triangularity

- Compare the edge transport in **NT configuration** with respect to the one in the **PT configuration in H-mode** (WPTE RT07 2022 + RT22-02 2023)



- Tools description
- Results of diverted L-mode pulses with different triangularity
- NT L-mode VS PT H-mode
  - Studies on TCV with DTT-like high power scenario
  - Comparison with previous results
- SOLEDGE3X first application
- Conclusions
- Future studies

# **Tools description**

#### SOLEDGE2D-EIRENE\*

- fluid transport code which solves reduced Braginskii equations for multispecies
- able to simulate tokamak edge plasma in 2D including the realistic wall geometry
- coupled with Monte-Carlo kinetic EIRENE code for neutrals
- requires empirical input parameters (e.g. P<sub>SOL</sub>, cross-field diffusivities)

#### How we used it in the studies here presented

- No drifts
- No spatial magnetic field dependences are included in transport values
- No impurity  $\rightarrow$  pure deuterium
- Heat trasport equal for electrons and ions

#### SOLEDGE3X-EIRENE\*\*

- combination of SOLEDGE2D-EIRENE and the turbulence code TOKAM3X
- 3D first principle turbulence modelling

\*H. Bufferand et al., 2013, J. Nucl. Mater. 438 S445-S448 \*\*H. Bufferand et al., 2015, Nucl. Fusion 55 053025

TSVV-02 Annual meeting, Lausanne 17-18 July 2023



b)





# Diverted L-mode pulses with different $\delta_{up}$



#### Equilibria of the TCV pulses



### Investigate particle, heat diffusion and heat flux decay length ( $\lambda_q$ ) behavior: NT L-mode VS PT L-mode

<u>Tool</u>

Transport with SOLEDGE2D-EIRENE

Experimental dataset

- → upper triangularity scan performed in TCV\*
- $\rightarrow$  ohmically heated
- $\rightarrow$  L-mode
- $\rightarrow$  deuterium plasmas
- $\rightarrow$  high recycling regime

\*M Faitsch et al., 2018 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 60 045010

### Results





The study allowed to characterize the edge transport in NT discharges, showing that the triangularity affects not only the core but also the edge transport

In particular, focusing inside and at the separatrix :

- → Particle transport has a monotonic behaviour, decreasing going towards NT, while heat transport does not show any particular trend
- →  $\lambda_q$  on the outer mid plane increases monotonically with  $\delta_{up}$  (consistent with IRT analysis\*, GBS study\*\* and SOLPS simulations\*\*\*)

# Additional studies fixing transport profiles shows that **triangularity affects more the particles or the heat diffusion in the whole near-SOL**

\*M Faitsch et al., 2018 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 60 045010 \*\*K Lim et al., 2023 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 65 085006 \*\*\*E.Tonello, 2023 EPS Poster



#### **Pulses**

PT#76702 VS NT#76735

#### Similar features

- Magnetic divertor configuration: SN
- $B_T \simeq 1.4 \text{ T for TCV}$
- $I_{\text{plasma}} \simeq 200 \text{ kA for TCV}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \underline{\text{DTT Triangularity}} \\ \overline{\text{PT}} \rightarrow \delta_{top} \approx +0.35; \ \delta_{bottom} \approx +0.45 \\ \overline{\text{NT}} \rightarrow \delta_{top} \approx -0.35; \ \delta_{bottom} \approx +0.07 \end{array}$ 

Input power (NBI only)  $PT \rightarrow 1300 kw$  $NT \rightarrow 490 kW$ 

#### <u>Tool</u> SOLEDGE2D-EIRENE

\*H. Bufferand et al., 2013, J. Nucl. Mater. 438 S445-S448

TSVV-02 Annual meeting, Lausanne 17-18 July 2023



Why this couple of pulses?

Figure below shows that the **NT L-mode recovers** the  $n_e$  and  $T_e$  of the PT H-mode **in the core** despite its L-mode condition and lower input power.

OMP profiles (TS data) PT H-mode: 1300 KW NT L-mode: 490kW



TSVV-02 Annual meeting, Lausanne 17-18 July 2023

P.Muscente et al. | Page 8/16

Experimental edge analysis - outer midplane

NT L-mode has a little barrier around the separatrix, in both density and temperature (agree with DIII-D\* and turbulence study\*\*)

→ Could this small barrier have a role in the good confinement which is achievable with the negative Dshape?



TSVV-02 Annual meeting, Lausanne 17-18 July 2023

P.Muscente et al. | Page 9/16



#### <u>Matching experimental and modelled data TCV pulses - NBI only:</u> <u>PT H-mode vs NT L-mode</u>

**SOLEDGE2D-EIRENE** particles and energy diffusion profiles optimised to match experimental measurements, TS in *fig.8a/b* and *fig.9a/b*, and LP in *fig.8c* and *Fig.9c*.



TSVV-02 Annual meeting, Lausanne 17-18 July 2023



#### Transport comparison

- Transport studies confirm the presence of a little barrier for NT
- Diffusion values in agreement with previous studies\*
- NT transport around the separatrix and in the near SOL is more wrt PT H-mode →heat transport is twice!

good for the power exhaust!





\*P.Muscente et al., 2023, Nucl. Mater. And Energy, 34 101386

TSVV-02 Annual meeting, Lausanne 17-18 July 2023

P.Muscente et al. | Page 11/16

# NT VS PT perpendicular transport



#### PT L-mode VS NT L-mode + PT H-mode VS NT L-mode

- NT perpendicular transport is between PT L-mode and PT H-mode
  - $\rightarrow$ Power exhaust performance in between the two
- NT has better power exhaust performance wrt PT H-mode with the same core performance!



TPN 76702 TPN 76735 TPN 52785



Improve power exhaust studies with a global approach to edge plasma modelling, including a 3D self-consistent description of the turbulence

#### SOLEDGE3X

#### All the features of SOLEDGE2D

╋

can manage turbulence

self-consistent

implemented in fluid neutral model: Charge Exchange and Elastic Collision

# SOLEDGE3X preliminar application





Definition of the mesh for turbulence

~ 1 mm in poloidal direction

Computational time to create the mesh: IRFM matlab version ~ 24h Our python version ~ 2h

In our python version was necessary to implement some new features for the good run of the code

#### Work flow

- reduced mesh to reduce the computational time
- Start with transport version until a good particle and energy balance is reached
- Activate 3D turbulence mode

Pulse #73388 - PT L-mode

## Conclusions



Studies on diverted L-mode pulses with different triangularity shows

- Particle transport decrease towards NT (Focusing inside and at the separatrix )
- heat transport does show any particular trend
- triangularity affects more the particles of the heat diffusion in the whole near-SOL
- $\lambda q$  increases monotonically with  $\delta_{up}$  (consistent with IRT analysis\*, GBS study\*\* and SOLPS simulations\*\*\*)

#### NT L-mode VS PT H-mode

- presence of a little barrier for NT\*\*\*\*
- Diffusion values found are in agreement with our previous studies
- NT perpendicular transport around the separatrix and in the near SOL is bigger that of PT H-mode

#### Good for the power exhaust + good core confinement!

\*M Faitsch et al., 2018 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 60 045010 \*\*K Lim et al., 2023 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 65 085006 \*\*\*E.Tonello, 2023 EPS Poster \*\*\*\*Nelson A. O. et al., *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.13458*, 2023.

### **Future studies**

- Simulation on other couples of pulses to study  $\lambda_{\text{q}}$  features and check NT features found
- Study on AUG pulses
- Use flux input parameters from core simulations to
  → Be able to divided in ions and electron dynamics
  → Match transport parameter with core simulations
- Turbulence simulation with SOLEDGE3X
- Comparison between transport and turbulence simulations





### Thanks for your attention!

P.Muscente et al.



### **Backup slides**

P.Muscente | Page 18/16

### NT L-mode VS PT H-mode: parameters



	SHOT	76702 PT H-mode	76735 NT L-mode
	Time (s)	1,05 s	0,9s
	I <sub>p</sub>	-200 kA	-175
Comparison Parameters	Volume / area	1,41m <sup>3</sup> /0,26m <sup>2</sup>	1,42m <sup>3</sup> /0,25m <sup>2</sup>
	q0/q95	1,4/4,5	0,9/4,43
	β <sub>pol</sub>	1,1	0,89
	$\delta_{top} / \delta_{bottom}$	+0,4 / +0,5	-0,28/+0,09
	B <sub>T</sub> (at R=0,88m)	-1,42 T	-1,42 T
	T <sub>e</sub> max (TS)	1 keV	1 keV
	<n<sub>e&gt; (FIR)</n<sub>	6,2 x 10 <sup>19</sup> m <sup>-3</sup>	
	P <sub>NBI</sub> (with loss due to the duct)	1080 kW	422 kW
Powers	P <sub>gyro(ECH)</sub>	0	0
	P <sub>OHM</sub>	150 kW	130kW
	P <sub>rad,tot</sub>	600 kW	275 kW
	P <sub>ELMs</sub>	2 kW	0
Gas	$P_{input}$ (without impurity) = $P_{NBI} + P_{OHM} + P_{ICRH} - P_{rad.tot} - P_{ELMS}$	628 kW	277 kW
	Valve 1	$D_2 0$ atom/s (10 mbar/s = 10 x e <sup>20</sup> molecules/s)	0
	Valve 2	0	0
	Valve 3	0	0
BC density	NBI electrons/s - Density_BC (flux)	2,77 x e <sup>20</sup>	1,55 x e <sup>20</sup>

### NT L-mode VS PT H-mode: pressure





\*See P.Mantica et al., TSVV-02 presentation on Monday