

Power scan based on TCV-X21 case



TSVV3 SOLEDGE3X results on transitions

21/02/2024

Simulations setup

- Equilibrium based on TCV#51333
 Full-field
- Wall geometry modified to fit a flux surface (to avoid potential artefacts due to a nonaligned wall – consequence: more closed divertor in the simulation)
- Simulation grids:

	Coarse	Fine
N_{ψ}	88	176
$N_{ heta}$	710	1420
N_{arphi}	32	64
N points	2 millions	16 millions



TSVV3 SOLEDGE3X results on transitions

21/02/2024

Simulation runtime and status: fine grid

- Simulation ran 12 days on 3072 CPUs ~ 1 MCPUh
- Simulation time:
 - Time step ~ 2ns (limited by CFL condition on poloidal advection at the innermost flux surface simulated)
 - Time to solve $\sim 2,5s$ per time step
 - Cost: 1.25*MCPUh/ms*
 - 400 000 time steps simulated Total plasma time simulated $\approx 0.8 ms$





Focus on turbulence: midplane

- Simulation far from quasi-steady state
 - Power balance not even reached ($P_{in} = 120kW$; $P_{out} \sim 30kW$)

0.5

0.2

0.1

Turbulence rather established though





21/02/2024



Focus on turbulence: structures

- Structures quite sheared
- Structures cross separatrix at the top





Focus on turbulence: structures

- Structures quite sheared
- Structures cross separatrix at the top
- Density structures along the divertor leg





Focus on turbulence: Divertor Localized Filaments

- Divertor Localized Filaments
 - Observed experimentally with fast cameras
 <u>C. Wüthrich et al., Nucl. Fusion 62 (2022)</u> [3]
 - Distinction between:
 - Filaments generated in the main SOL (stretched in the divertor – downward)
 - Filaments generated in the divertor ('blobby' in the diveror – upward)
- Well recovered in the simulation
 Ability to catch fine turbulence features and scales in realistic divertor conditions
- Instabilities behind to be investigated
 - Interchange? Kelvin-Helmholtz?



Sketch of the gas puff imaging diagnostic on TCV. Figure from [3]

Fine grid too slow → move to coarse grid

- Strategies to reach quasi-steady-state with neutrals:
 - 2. Run turbulent case on coarse grid (aggressively) $N_{\psi} \sim 100, N_{\theta} \sim 700, N_{\varphi} = 32$ (1/4 torus)
 - $\rightarrow N_{points} \sim 2$ millions
 - → factor 2 in each direction, factor 8 on the number of DoF
 - Lower computation cost than fine grid case 8 days on 2560 CPUs ~ 0,5MCPUh → 20ms of plasma Cost: 25kCPUh/ms [vs 1.25MCPUh/ms]
 - Enables longer plasma time though is turbulence representative?





energy balance



Power scan

- Reference TCV-X21 power = 120kW (Psep)
- Seem to converge with well developped turbulence (Lmode)

Power scan:

Factor	0.5	1	1.5	2	3
Power (kW)	60	120	180	240	360
			Strong ExB shear at separatrix		

For powers greater than 120kW, strong ExB shear at separatrix leads to turbulence reduction, followed by irrealistic ExB poloidal rotation \rightarrow simulation crash

➔ May need to include drifts in energy balance

Power (Pheat and Pwall) [kW]



Example of transition (P = 240kW)



X-axis : R in m / Y-axis : time in s

1.10

01007

.006

8

.005

- 6 .004 ·

.003 - 4

.002

- 2

.001

0000

1.05

 \tilde{T}_i (%)

and designation many research the second of the second second second second second second second second second

10

- 8

- 6

- 4

- 2

CE2 TSVV3 SOLEDGE3X results on transitions

21/02/2024

Midplane Profiles : density

- Transition between t9 and t10
- Nothing clear on n_e (decrease of n_e in the SOL)
- Density too high in the core (problem with too little turbulence due to coarse grid? / problem with the source [fluid neutral model])





Midplane Profiles : Temperatures



Evidence of steepening of profiles between t9 and t10

Midplane profiles : radial electric field



Strong Er well / strong vorticity dipole



Perp kinetic energy



TSVV3 SOLEDGE3X results on transitions



To go further

- Power scan reproduced with a doubled magnetic field. So far, no transition. But grid even more underresolved.
- Power scan to be reproduced with halved magnetic field.