

TSVV3 30/04/25

# Progress on the analysis and modelling of the long-leg high and low density, L-mode plasmas

D. Mancini





This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, partially funded by the European Union via the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No 101052200 – EUROfusion). The Swiss contribution to this work has been funded by the Swiss State Secretariat for Education, Research and Innovation (SERI). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union, the European Commission or SERI. Neither the European Union nor the European Commission nor SERI can be held responsible for them.

### **GBS validation in low and high density scenario** in TCV-X23 configuration

Goal : validate turbulent codes (GBS, SOLEDGE3X, GRILLIX, FELTOR, ...) against long-leg case

1.0

0.8

0.2

0.0

0

[D.S.Oliveira et al, 2022, Nucl. Fusion 62 096001]

0.6 س<sub>61</sub>0

- Explore power-exhaust capabilities of long leg
- Low  $B_{+} \rightarrow$  easier to simulate
- Measurements of fluctuations  $\rightarrow$  GPI, RDPA

### Validation procedure:

- 1. Develop and characterize desired scenario
- 2. Run turbulent simulations with exp. reference (n  $_{sep}$  , T  $_{e,sep}$  , P  $_{rad}$ )
- Quantify difference between simulation 3. and measurements with chosen metric





### <sup>(Ω)</sup> From density ramps (2023) to flat-tops for high quality dataset **ΕΡ**FL

- Density ramps up to saturation of ion flux, with CIII front movement from target
- Two density windows chosen for simulations and reference for flat-top shots (2024)





- Introduction on TCV-X23 experiment
- Analysis performed on new TCVdb.py:
  - Langmuir Probes measurements, outer and inner target
  - RDPA measurements, divertor profiles
  - Heat flux estimates from IR
- What is next:
  - Radiation profiles: Bolometry, Mantis and DSS
  - Fluctuations analysis: GPI, DBS
- Quick update on simulations
- Conclusions



Page 4

### New database format TCVdb created by D.S. Oliveira

- Easy to read and manipulate database with specific python routines in development
- First comparison of FWD field TCV-X23, with TCV-X21 as reference
- TS measurements show high n and lower T<sub>p</sub> in SOL for high density X23, as desired





### Langmuir probes profiles - Outer target - n, T<sub>e</sub>

EPFL

LES

- Higher target density for X23 high density, in all SOL
- Lower target temperature in X23 high density  $\rightarrow$  ~7 eV at target, broad peak



### **C** Langmuir probes profiles - Inner target

- More difficult measurements due to probes position  $\rightarrow$  big error bars
- Even lower  $T_{p}$  compared to outer target in high density X23 ~5 eV



### Langmuir probes profiles - Plasma potential



• Plasma potential lowers with  $T_{\rho} \rightarrow Strong peak decrease at inner target$ 





### Langmuir probes profiles - Outer target - j<sub>11</sub>, std(j<sub>11</sub>)

- Ongoing analysis for high density X21
- Smaller peak in X23, broader std → Fluctuations pushed toward far SOL?



### **RDPA divertor profiles - density and temperature**





- Strong temperature decrease and density increase for high-density case
- T<sub>e</sub> < 7eV at high density target</li>
  → compatible with CIII front



### **RDPA divertor profiles - jsat and std(jsat)**





- Lower J<sub>sat</sub> peak in high density
- Broader fluctuations profile in the far SOL increasing density

### **RDPA divertor profiles** - V<sub>pl</sub> Forward and Reversed



- Lower V<sub>pl</sub> with high density in both cases
- Opposite vertical electric field at the target for opposite B<sub>tor</sub>, same direction upstream





### **RDPA divertor profiles - Mach number**



- High M values in far SOL both in low and high density
- Higher M values for higher density
- No reversal of M with field direction close to the target
   → need to be investigated

### **RDPA divertor profiles - Electron pressure**

-0.35

-0.40

-0.45

-0.50

-0.55

-0.25

-0.30





Pressure loss stronger in Forward • field direction  $\rightarrow$  compatible with heat flux loss





### O Infrared thermography - outer target

- Strong decrease of heat flux from low to high density X23 → observed in SOLEDGE3X
- Very low heat flux for X23 high density → No good estimate of SOL width?



λ <sub>q</sub> [mm]	S [mm]
5.5 ± 0.2	3.4 ± 0.3
8.4 ± 0.2	$1.4 \pm 0.2$
20.0 ± 0.8	2.9 ± 0.3

# O Infrared thermography - outer target

- Weaker heat flux decrease in Rev field direction, still clear
- Already observed in previous similar discharges (D. Galassi et al, in preparation)





- Introduction on TCV-X23 experiment
- Analysis performed on new TCVdb.py:
  - Langmuir Probes measurements, outer and inner target
  - RDPA measurements, divertor profiles
  - Heat flux estimates from IR
- What is next:
  - Radiation profiles: Bolometry, Mantis and DSS
  - Fluctuations analysis: GPI, DBS
- Quick update on simulations
- Conclusions





- Both Mantis and DSS show peak of C emissivity moving away from the target with higher density → expected from low T<sub>a</sub>
- DSS gives info about molecular dynamics from D lines ratio → hard to perform analysis, will see where it goes



**CIII front** Low density Low density + baffles ligh density + baffles Θ 3.5 4.5 5 5.5 6.5 FIR n [m-3] ×10<sup>19</sup>

Page 18



#### Page 19

EPFL

### Outer leg Gas Puff Imaging

- On-going characterization of differences compared to un-baffled shots
- Analysis will focus on turbulence velocity profiles



#### Page 20

### **GBS** turbulent study for detachment with long leg



For each configuration:

- Half TCV size  $\rightarrow$  lost on Marconi
- 2 simulations, low and high density (GP D<sub>2</sub>)
- e<sup>-</sup>, D<sup>+</sup> and D<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> dynamics
  with D and D<sub>2</sub> interactions

Shape	B <sub>t</sub> direction
TCV-X21	FF*
TCV-X21	RF**
TCV-X23	FF



\*D. Mancini et al, 2024, Nucl. Fusion 64 016012 \*\* D.Mancini et al, 2024, PSI poster



EPFL

### Going in the right direction, waiting for plasma density build up





Page 21





Structure for new database of TCV-X23 measurements in place, on-going work to clean it and fill it with all diagnostics available

- Present: TS, LPs, RDPA, IR, DSS, Barometer
- Missing: Bolometers, Mantis, GPI, DBS

Analysis of TS, LPs, RDPA and IR shows:

- Obtained scenario at higher density compared to TCV-X21, in both field directions
- Increase in density associated with decrease in target T<sub>e</sub> and heat flux, coherent with a decrease of electron pressure in the divertor volume
- Heat flux decrease stronger in Forward field direction
- Decrease of  $T_e$  associated with lower  $V_{pl}$  and lower electric field close to target  $\rightarrow$  sign changes with field direction
- Higher density associated with broader current fluctuations





Structure for new database of TCV-X23 measurements in place, on-going work to clean it and fill it with all diagnostics available

- Present: TS, LPs, RDPA, IR, DSS, Barometer
- Missing: Bolometers, Mantis, GPI, DBS

Next:

- Include radiated power analysis to account for lower target heat flux
- Include Mantis and DSS analysis to gain knowledge about C and D<sub>2</sub> dynamics
- Characterize differences between baffled and un-baffled experiments
- Analyze GPI measurements to get fluctuation velocity profiles

### No changes in the OMP profile through puffing

Increased puff simulations show same density profile in low and high density TCV-X23:

- Density shoulder "between" the low and high density TCV-X21
- $\lambda_{p}$  higher in TCV-X23



### EPFL

200

100

[<sup>05</sup>0]Z

-200

-400

300 400

R[Qeal

# High D<sub>2</sub> density even with lower density

```
EPFL
```

### Higher D penetration due to higher $D_2$ dissociation



Page 25

5

OSP

### Ion fluxes at both target decreases increasing puffing

Strong decrease of  $\Gamma_{II}$  at outer target  $\rightarrow$  not observed in TCV-X21 simulations



Flux decrease only close to target





Strong momentum loss

# Ion flux profile wider at outer target

EPFL

At both targets, ion flux decrease with increasing puffing:

- At ISP same shape for TCV-X21 and TCV-X23  $\rightarrow$  detached in similar way
- At OSP broader peak in TCV-X23 even at low density



### High positive fluctuations killed in X23





Ó





Page 28

## High positive fluctuations slower in TCV-X23





- Slower filaments in X23 from OMP to X-point
- Fast filaments in low density below X-point ( $v_r < 0$ )
- Very slow filaments for high  $D_2$  density in divertor  $\rightarrow$  Have to verify detection

## Five species ( $D^+$ , $D_2^+$ , $e^-$ , D, $D_2$ ) and minimal interactions set **EPFL**

Detachment studied through simulations of tokamak plasma and neutrals, modelling:

- Ionization (atomic + MAI)
- Recombination (EIR + MAR)
- Charge exchange
- e-n collisions



### Plasma model: drift-reduced Braginskii equations

Plasma described by Braginskii equations with neutrals interactions We evolve density, parallel velocity and temperatures of all charged species. Example:

$$\frac{\partial n_e}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \left[ n_e (\mathbf{b} v_{\parallel e} + \mathbf{v}_{E \times B} + \mathbf{v}_{de}) \right] + \int (I_{e,D} + I_{e,D_2}) d\mathbf{v}$$

$$I_{e,D} = n_D \langle v\sigma_{e,D}^{el} \rangle (n_e \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}_D, T_{e,D}^{el}]} - f_e) + n_D \langle v\sigma_{e,D}^{iz} \rangle (2n_e \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}_D, T_D^{iz}]} - f_e) - n_D + \langle v\sigma_{e,D^+}^{rec} \rangle f_e \rangle (2n_e \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}_D, T_D^{iz}]} - f_e) - n_D + \langle v\sigma_{e,D^+}^{rec} \rangle f_e \rangle (2n_e \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}_D, T_D^{iz}]} - f_e) - n_D + \langle v\sigma_{e,D^+}^{rec} \rangle f_e \rangle (2n_e \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}_D, T_D^{iz}]} - f_e) - n_D + \langle v\sigma_{e,D^+}^{rec} \rangle f_e \rangle (2n_e \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}_D, T_D^{iz}]} - f_e) - n_D + \langle v\sigma_{e,D^+}^{rec} \rangle f_e \rangle (2n_e \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}_D, T_D^{iz}]} - f_e) - n_D + \langle v\sigma_{e,D^+}^{rec} \rangle f_e \rangle (2n_e \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}_D, T_D^{iz}]} - f_e) - n_D + \langle v\sigma_{e,D^+}^{rec} \rangle f_e \rangle (2n_e \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}_D, T_D^{iz}]} - f_e) - n_D + \langle v\sigma_{e,D^+}^{rec} \rangle f_e \rangle (2n_e \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}_D, T_D^{iz}]} - f_e) - n_D + \langle v\sigma_{e,D^+}^{rec} \rangle f_e \rangle (2n_e \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}_D, T_D^{iz}]} - f_e) - n_D + \langle v\sigma_{e,D^+}^{rec} \rangle f_e \rangle (2n_e \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}_D, T_D^{iz}]} - f_e) - n_D + \langle v\sigma_{e,D^+}^{rec} \rangle f_e \rangle (2n_e \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}_D, T_D^{iz}]} - f_e) - n_D + \langle v\sigma_{e,D^+}^{rec} \rangle f_e \rangle (2n_e \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}_D, T_D^{iz}]} - f_e) - n_D + \langle v\sigma_{e,D^+}^{rec} \rangle f_e \rangle (2n_e \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}_D, T_D^{iz}]} - f_e) - n_D + \langle v\sigma_{e,D^+}^{rec} \rangle f_e \rangle (2n_e \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}_D, T_D^{iz}]} - f_e) - n_D + \langle v\sigma_{e,D^+}^{rec} \rangle f_e \rangle (2n_e \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}_D, T_D^{iz}]} - f_e) - n_D + \langle v\sigma_{e,D^+}^{rec} \rangle f_e \rangle (2n_e \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}_D, T_D^{iz}]} - f_e) - n_D + \langle v\sigma_{e,D^+}^{rec} \rangle f_e \rangle (2n_e \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}_D, T_D^{iz}]} - f_e) - n_D + \langle v\sigma_{e,D^+}^{rec} \rangle (2n_e \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}_D, T_D^{iz}]} - f_e) - n_D + \langle v\sigma_{e,D^+}^{rec} \rangle (2n_e \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}_D, T_D^{iz}]} - f_e) - n_D + \langle v\sigma_{e,D^+}^{rec} \rangle (2n_e \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}_D, T_D^{iz}]} - f_e) - n_D + \langle v\sigma_{e,D^+}^{rec} \rangle (2n_e \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}_D, T_D^{iz}]} - f_e) - n_D + \langle v\sigma_{e,D^+}^{rec} \rangle (2n_e \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}_D, T_D^{iz}]} - f_e) - n_D + \langle v\sigma_{e,D^+}^{rec} \rangle (2n_e \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}_D, T_D^{iz}]} - f_e) - n_D + \langle v\sigma_{e,D^+}^{rec} \rangle (2n_e \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}_D, T_D^{iz}]} - f_e) - n_D + \langle v\sigma_{e,D^+}^{rec} \rangle (2n_e \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}_D, T_D^{iz}]} - f_e) - n_D + \langle v\sigma_{e,D^+}^{rec} \rangle (2n_e \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}_D, T_D^{iz}]} - f_e) - n_D + \langle v\sigma_{e,D^+}^{rec} \rangle (2n_e \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}_D, T_D^{iz}]} - n_D + \langle v\sigma_{e,D^+} \rangle (2n_e \Phi_{[\mathbf{v}_D, T_D^{iz}]} - f_e) - n_$$

Where :  $\Phi_{[\mathbf{v},T]}$  is a Maxwellian centered at velocity **v** , with temperature T , distribution of emitted electrons

With:

- quasi neutrality  $n_{D^+} = n_e n_{D_2^+}$
- Zdhanov closure  $\begin{bmatrix} q_{\parallel,\alpha} \\ R_{\parallel,\alpha} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{\alpha} Z_{\alpha\beta} \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{\parallel} T_{\beta} \\ v_{\parallel,\beta} v_{\parallel,CM} \end{bmatrix}$  with  $n_{D_2^+} << n_{D^+}$
- Pre-sheath boundary conditions

[A. Coroado and P. Ricci 2022 Nucl. Fusion 62]