

Additive manufacturing as tool to manufacture and maintain plasma facing components

D. Dorow-Gerspach, M. Gago, J. Tweer, M. Wirtz





This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, funded by the European Union via the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No 101052200 — EUROfusion). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Commission. Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.





- Publications / Fundamentals
- ✓ O.1. AM-W: AM of W with density >99% and minimal crack density
- ✓ O.2. Joints: Realizing advanced joints (FGM, W/W_w, W/steel, W/copper)
- **9** O.3. W-wire as armour: Realization and testing of W-wire as armour
- **9** O.4. Regeneration: Development of techniques for surface regeneration
- O.5. Advanced heat sink geometries: Development, production and testing
- O.6. Demonstrators of used technologies: Construction of prototype mock-ups

Daniel Dorow-Gerspach

Publications / Fundamentals

Publications

V. Ganesh – ID: 33546
 Proceeding and properties of

Processing and properties of sintered W/steel-composites for the first wall of future fusion reactor https://doi.org/10.3390/jne4010014

V. Ganesh – ID: 33870

High heat flux testing of graded W-steel joining concepts for the first wall https://doi.org/10.3390/en16093664

V. Ganesh – ID: 33870

Determination of mechanical properties of tungsten/steel composites using image based microstructure modelling https://doi.org/10.3390/en16093664

D. Dorow-Gerspach – ID: 33811

Benchmarking by high heat flux testing of W-steel joining technologies https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nme.2023.101508

ENR-MAT.01.FZJ - T002

J. Tweer- ID: 36194

First experiments to regenerate the surface of plasma facing components by wire based laser metal deposition https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nme.2023.101508

Fundamentals

- Financial limitations causing severe limitations on experiments and slowing down progress in nearly all objectives
 - Except: W-Regeneration by LMD-w
- Colleagues from KIT faced also major delays thus they couldn't support us with SEBM-W samples
 - The geometrical joint concept couldn't be realized let alone tested yet
- Prototype mockups with 25x25 mm² instead of standard 12x12mm² tile sizes will be realized and tested this year



O.2. Joints – Compositional FGMs



- Various different methods were used
 - APS, EDS, SPS producing single & full stack
 - First benchmark test indicated that thin metal film together with SPS-FGM should outperform ref.
 - New samples were made with 10 µm V or Ti film
 - Benchmarktest including W_fW on steel are planed





ENR-MAT.01.FZJ - T002

Spark Plasma Sintering



Daniel Dorow-Gerspach

20 µm

75W₃₀₋₆₀+25S₁₀₋₂₀

O.3. W-wire as armor

- Larger spool design
 - Enough to cover 460 cm², 3 mm thick
 - Cylindrical shape did not improve stacking quality or thickness before loosing wires
 - More effort to form plan parallel slices
 - Cylindrical design dismissed

New Design

- Rectangular with half circle at the end
 - Larger portion of wires easily useable
- Inlet of 125 µm W-foil as "wall" for better mechanical stability at the sides
- **9** Spool is built, foil and wire are ordered









5

O.4. Regeneration

- LMD-W at IPT Aachen

 - Porosity, Cracks have to be reduced
- Cooling holder to improve substrate temperature control during the deposition
 - Parametric studies are performed -> work ongoing
 - Width nicely tailorable by power and velocity











O.4. Regeneration

Predamaging in JUDITH 2

- 12 x 12 mm² W-tiles on Cu-cooling brazed
- ✓ Tansient heat load: 10⁵ Thermoshocks, 0.5 ms with L_{abs} = 0,55 GW/m2 (F_{HF} = 12 MWs^{0,5}/m²) at base temperature of T_{base} = 700°C







Mitglied der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

ENR-MAT.01.FZJ - T002

- Deposition on damaged area
 - 1: Layer with standard condition
 - 2: Lower deposition velocity
 - 3: Remelting damaged area
 - 4: Remelting + standard Layer
 - 5: Remelting + lower velocity layer6: Reference
- Metallographic analysis ongoing
 - Cross sections at start, center and end of each weld bed
 - Microstructual investigation including hardness measurements







O.5. Advanced heat sink geometries



- Surther geometries with Cu was printed at IFAM
 - Hydrodynamic and high heat flux tests to assess potential and impact of designs are planned
 - **9** Simple thermal comparative FEM simulations to assess HTC and disentangle area from flow dynamics
 - Backplate soldering failed, two welding tries failed as well, a last try with higher energy is ongoing



O.6. Prototype mock-up: LMD-w on W





W-tiles: "monoblock pair", 8 (reference) or 7 mm thick (for deposition)





PFC for HHF testing of LMD-w deposites

Cu-cooling structure fitting JUDITH 2 clamping

Daniel Dorow-Gerspach

ENR-MAT.01.FZJ - T002

Daniel Dorow-Gerspach

O.6. Testing conditions for a LMD-w PFC

- Test conditions to mimic divertor condition
 - Aiming for the same surface temperatures at
 - Elevated cooling water temperature and 8 mm thick
 W-tiles for comparable temperature profile
 - Simulate the center of a MB with flat tile design

2 Components are planned

- One layer with and w/o remelting
- Two layers with 0 and 90° between depositions
- 10 MW/m², 15 MW/m² and 20 MW/m²
 - Each at least 200 cycles
- Transient loadings on deposited material





 Table 3

 Calculated temperature range on the armor surface during steady state HHF loading.

| Heat flux loads | Temperature ranges | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| 10 MW/m ² | 950-1058°C | |
| $15 \mathrm{MW}/\mathrm{m}^2$ | 1411-1612°C | |
| 20 MW/m ² | 1864-2146°C | |

M. Li and J.-H. You; Nuclear Materials and Energy Nuclear Materials and Energy, vol. 14, pp. 1-7, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.nme.2017.12.001

JÜLICH Forschungszentrum



Thank you for your attention

Outtakes



Mitglied der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft