

PRD-LMD End-of-year/Kick-off meeting Droplet ejection from liquid metals

Jos Scholte^{1,2}, R. S. Al.², D. Horsely^{3,} M. lafrati⁴, A. Manhard⁵, J.W.M. Vernimmen² and Thomas Morgan^{2,1}

 Eindhoven university of technology, Eindhoven, the Netherlands
 DIFFER, Eindhoven, the Netherlands
 UKAEA, Abingdon, UK
 ENEA, Frascati, Italy
 Max-Plank-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Germany



This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, funded by the European Union via the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No 101052200 — EUROfusion). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Commission. Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.

Sn droplet ejection can lead to unacceptable core radiation

- Droplet emission observed from Sn in several different experiments^{1,2,3}
- Could be strong limit on Sn CPS application due to core contamination
- Not observed for Li samples why?
- Under what conditions happens, and how to prevent in Sn?

¹A. Manhard *Nucl. Fusion* 60 (2020) 106007 ²W. Ou *Nucl. Fusion* 61 (2021) 066030[3] ³J. G. A. Scholte *Nuclear Materials and Energy* 34 (2023) 101315

Maximum impurity concentration DEMO for different elements Pütterich et al., 2019 NF, 0D model assuming τ*=7,5



ASDEX Upgrade with an Sn

module 1. J. G. A. Scholte *et al., Nucl. Mater. Energy.* **37**, 101522 (2023).



Credits to: Wei Ou



Img#:-26240_Cam: Phantom v.120_AcqRes: 512 x 512_Rate: 1000

2 Jos Scholte and Thomas Morgan | PRD-LMD End-of-year/Kick-off meeting | 08-02-2024



Possible reasons for droplet ejection

- 1. Localized boiling: Seen in welding (Spatter) Not possible because:
 - Should be more droplet in Li vs Sn
 - Droplets are also seen when T<500°C
- 2. JxB forces:

Not possible because: Nano-PSI (and others) has no B-field

3. Other instabilities:

Unlikely: A CPS should suppress those

3. M. A. Jaworski *et al., Nucl. Fusion*. 53 (2013) 4. T. W. Morgan *et al., Nucl. Mater. Energy*. 12, (2017).

- 4. Chemical erosion: $Sn + 4H \rightarrow SnH_4 \rightarrow Sn + 2H_2$ Unlikely: Surface effect, how does it eject?
- 5. Hydrogen saturation leading to H₂ bubbles: Champagne



FIG. 2. A high speed imaging sequence (500 μ s steps) of droplets being ejected from a laser weld pool. The acceleration of a proportion of the melt in the vertical direction creates a column of melt which later disintegrates into droplets. 2. A. F. H. Kaplan, J. Powell, J. Laser Appl.

23 (2011)



Fig. 31 The collapse of hundreds of bubbles at the free surface radiates a cloud of tiny droplets which is characteristic of champagne and sparkling wines and which complements the sensual experience of the taster (© Alain Cornu/Collection CIVC).



Goal: Test the hypothesis that:

"Sn droplets eject due to a gas bubble collapse"



6. F. J. Resch, J. S. Darrozes, G. M. Afeti, *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.* **91**, 1019–1029 (1986).

Result





Time: Mon Oct 23 2023 16:26:44.927 884.59, H-22001+: -571.400 ms Rate: 5000 Exp: 10 µs. Durat: 0.115 s

Calculation of hydrogen dissolved in a liquid metal

Calculation plan:

- 1. Determine x_H on the PFS
- 2. Determine the increase in xH in the implantation zone



Reaction equation of hydrogen dissolving in a liquid metal LM

$$\frac{1}{2}H_2 \rightleftharpoons H_{(LM)}$$

The steady-state mol fraction, using Sievert's law and assuming an ideal gas.



Reaction equation of hydrogen radicals dissolving in a liquid metal LM

$$H^{\bullet} \rightleftharpoons H_{(LM)}$$

$$x_{H,r} = K_{H,r} \frac{p_{H}}{p^{0}}$$

$$K_{H,r} = \exp\left(\frac{217,988 - 49,37T}{R_{g}T}\right) K_{H,g}$$
Independent of the material !!!!!

The influence of radicals and gas

Useful quantities

Saturation ratio:
$$\alpha = \frac{x_{H}}{x_{Hg}}$$

Supersaturation ratio: $S = \frac{x_{H}}{x_{Hg}} - 1$
 $S_{H,1} = \exp\left(\frac{217,988 - 49,37T}{R_{g}T}\right) \frac{p_{H}}{\sqrt{p_{H2}p^{o}}}$
Laplace pressure bubble: $p = p_{0} + \frac{2\gamma}{r}$
Sieverts Law: $\frac{x_{H,crit}}{x_{H,g}} = \sqrt{\frac{p_{crit}}{p_{H2}}}$
 $r_{crit} = \frac{2\gamma}{p_{H2}(\alpha^{2} - 1)}$

Conclusion:

- Critical radius depends on the radical partial pressure
- A reasonable CPS will have a pore radius > 0,1µm
 - It could be stable at 750 °C, more realistically 1100°C
- Material independent*
- * The critical radius depends on surface tension; Sn is used in the figure:



γ [N/m]

@750C

0,51

0,66

0,50

0,25

Sn

Ga

In

Li



$\begin{array}{c|c} x_{\rm H} [-]_{\wedge} & \\ \hline \text{Reemission} & Diffusion & Diffusion & Permetation \\ \hline H_3^+ & & \\ \hline H_2^+ & & \\ \hline H_2^- & & \\ \hline H_2^- & & \\ \hline PFS & r_{imp} << d \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|c} & \\ Permetation & \\ \hline PFS & \\ \hline \\ H_2^- & \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|c} & \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|c} & \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|c} & \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|c} & \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|c} & \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|c} & \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|c} & \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|c} & \\ \hline \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|c} & \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|c} & \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|c} & \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|c} & \\ \hline \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|c} & \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|c} & \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|c} & \\ \hline \hline \end{array} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|c} & \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|c} & \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|c} & \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|c} & \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|c} & \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array}$ \qquad \begin{array}{c|c} & \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array}

Ficks law of diffusion

 $x_{H,0} < x_{H,1} + \frac{\Gamma_{imp}r_{imp}}{N_A D} \frac{M_{\rm LM}}{\rho_{LM}}$

Ignoring the radicals

$$S_{\rm H,0} = \frac{\frac{\Gamma_{imp}r_{imp}M_{\rm LM}}{N_A D \rho_{LM}}}{x_{\rm H,g}}$$



N. D. Deveau, P. S. Yen, R. Datta, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*. **43**, 19075–19090 (2018).

P. W. Humrickhouse, *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.* **47**, 3374–3379 (2019).







Preliminary results in Nano-PSI

8 Jos Scholte and Thomas Morgan | PRD-LMD End-of-



Experimental plan in Nano-PSI

- Expose samples for 30min @ 500°C ±20 °C
- No bias or magnetic field
- Total fluence of $\approx 3,6 \times 10^{23} \text{ m}^{-2}$

Diagnostics:

- 4 Stainless steel witness plates
- Thermocouple





Sintered disc (Edgetech Braids: Hot isolating pressing (UKAEA) Felts (ENEA) industries)

> rnej v spor K.E. SD pro V 1000 s 201 0.2 mm - CPS ante ency when express



• Felt and Sintered disc: quite reasonable at droplet suppression

	Sample	Mass Loss [mg]
	Сир	180,7
	Braid 3B	36,3
	Braid 3C	108,5
	Felt	1
	Sintered disc	144,4









11



Sintered disc "sweats" Sn droplets

- Immediate wettings while switching to hydrogen
- Did not sweat as much with only the heater
- Tin can form a pool on top of the CPS, from which it will spit tin.



Argon plasma





Conclusions

- Gas bubble formation is the cause of droplet ejection
- When considering only radicals (and gas)
 - The H solubility in liquid metals are independent of the LM
 - The critical radius is restricted by the radical partial pressure and not the partial gas pressure
- When considering only ions
 - Strong material dependence
 - Sn has an extremely low hydrogen solubility
- With all CPSs Sn droplet ejection, Felt and Sintered disc most promising
- Improvement suggestions various CPS manufacturing techniques
 - Felts/Braids: Thermal connection
 - Sintered: Brittleness, leakage/pooling

To be done in 2024

- What is the influence of ions vs free radicals w.r.t. droplet ejection?
 - Use a radical source with the same flux as in the Nano-PSI.
- Do droplets only eject when using Sn or are other metals also effected
 - Ga and In have a higher solubility \rightarrow less droplet ejection?
 - Ions vs radial
 - Different pore



Back-up slides

14 Jos Scholte and Thomas Morgan | PRD-LMD End-of-

Calculation of hydrogen dissolved in a liquid metal

Calculation plan:

- 1. Determine x_H on the PFS
- 2. Determine the increase in xH in the implantation zone

Reaction equation of hydrogen dissolving in a liquid metal LM

$$\frac{1}{2}H_2 \rightleftharpoons H_{(LM)}$$

The steady-state mol fraction, using Sievert's law and assuming an ideal gas.

$$x_{H,g} = \frac{\text{Moles of H}}{\text{Total moles}} = K_{\text{H},g} \sqrt{\frac{p_{\text{H}_2}}{p^{\,o}}}$$

We can write this as:

$$K_{\mathrm{H},g} = \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G_{H2}^{O}}{R_{g}T}\right)$$

We can find this value in literature



Reaction equation of hydrogen radicals dissolving in a liquid metal LM

$$H^{\bullet} \rightleftharpoons H_{(LM)}$$

$$x_{H,r} = K_{H,r} \frac{p_{H}}{p^{O}}$$

$$K_{H,r} = \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G_{H}^{O}}{R_{g}T}\right)$$
We cannot find this value in literature
But we can calculate its value

\bigcirc

We can find the reaction constant from first principles

$$\Delta G_{\text{H2}}^{O} = \Delta G_{f}^{O}(\text{H}_{(\text{LM})}) - \frac{1}{2} \Delta G_{f}^{O}(\text{H}_{2})$$
$$\Delta G_{\text{H}}^{O} = \Delta G_{f}^{O}(\text{H}_{(\text{LM})}) - \Delta G_{f}^{O}(\text{H}^{\bullet})$$

$$\Delta G_{\rm H}^{\,0} = \Delta G_{\rm H2}^{\,0} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta G_f^{\,0}({\rm H}_2) - \Delta G_f^{\,0}({\rm H}^{\bullet})$$
$$\Delta G_f^{\,0} = \Delta H_f^{\,0} - T \Delta S_f^{\,0}$$

$$\Delta G_{\rm H}^{\,\, O} = \Delta G_{\rm H2}^{\,\, O} - 217,\!988 + 49,\!37T$$

$$K_{\mathrm{H},r} = \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G_{H2}^{O} - 217,988 + 49,37T}{R_g T}\right)$$

$$K_{\mathrm{H},r} = \exp\left(-\frac{-217,988 + 49,37T}{R_g T}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G_{H2}^{O}}{R_g T}\right)$$

$$K_{\mathrm{H},r} = \exp\left(\frac{217,988 - 49,37T}{R_g T}\right) K_{\mathrm{H},g} \longrightarrow \text{We can find this value in literatu}$$
Independent of the material !!!!!

From textbooks one can find that: $\Delta H_f^O(H_2) = 0 \text{ Jmol}^{-1}, S_f^O(H_2) = 130,68 \text{ JK}^{-1}\text{mol}^{-1},$ $\Delta H_f^O(H^{\bullet}) = 217,998 \text{ kJmol}^{-1}, S_f^O(H^{\bullet}) = 114,717 \text{ JK}^{-1}\text{mol}^{-1}$



The supersaturation ratio and critical bubble radius without ions

Useful quantities

Saturation ratio: $\alpha = \frac{x_{\rm H}}{x_{\rm H g}}$ Supersaturation ratio: $S = \frac{x_{\rm H}}{x_{\rm H g}} - 1$

Laplace pressure bubble:
$$p = p_0 + \frac{2\gamma}{r}$$

Sieverts Law: $\frac{x_{H,crit}}{x_{H,g}} = \sqrt{\frac{p_{crit}}{p_g}}$ $r_{crit} = \frac{2\gamma}{p_a(\alpha^2 - 1)}$

If a bubble is smaller than r_{crit} the surface tensions will shrink the bubble until it vanishes.

Material independent !!!



Step 1: Determine xH on the PFS

$$x_{\mathrm{H},1} = x_{\mathrm{H},r} + x_{\mathrm{H},g}$$

$$S_{\rm H,1} = \frac{x_{\rm H,r} + x_{\rm H,g}}{x_{\rm H\,g}} - 1 = \frac{x_{\rm H,r}}{x_{\rm H\,g}}$$

$$S_{\rm H,1} = \frac{x_{\rm H,r}}{x_{\rm H\,g}} = \frac{\exp\left(\frac{217,988-49,37T}{R_gT}\right) K_{\rm H,g} \frac{p_{\rm H}}{p^{\rm O}}}{\frac{K_{\rm H,g} \sqrt{\frac{p_{\rm H_2}}{p^{\rm O}}}}{\sqrt{\frac{p_{\rm H_2}}{p^{\rm O}}}}$$
$$S_{\rm H,1} = \exp\left(\frac{217,988-49,37T}{R_gT}\right) \frac{p_{\rm H}}{\sqrt{p_{\rm H_2}}p^{\rm O}}$$