irfm (Aix*Marseille Université socialement engagée Niversity Méditerranée and © © EUROfusion Core-edge transport modeling of a full WEST discharge with SOLEDGE-HDG

I. Kudashev¹, M. Scotto d' Abusco^{1,2}, E. Serre¹, H. Bufferand³, G. Ciraolo³, P. Ghendrih³, Y. Kunimoto¹, A. Glasser¹, F. Schwander¹, P. Tamain³



CNIS

¹Aix-Marseille Univ., CNRS, Centrale Méditerranée, M2P2 Marseille, France ²Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, USA ²²² ^{irfm} ³IRFM, CEA Cadarache, F-13108 St. Paul-lez-Durance, France

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, funded by the European Union via the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No 101052200 — EUROfusion). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Commission. Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them. This work has been also supported by the French National Research Agency grant SISTEM (ANR-19-CE46-0005-03).

Context

- Aim: turbulent transport modeling and prediction of the entire discharges to investigate heat and particle exhaust
- Most transport simulations are focused on steady state, edge or core plasma:
 - large uncertainties on ramp-up phase with neglecting loads on limiters
 - core and edge plasma are either not coupled or through crude boundary conditions
- In this **work**:
 - two improvements of SolEdge-HDG model are demonstrated
 - revision of transverse turbulent modelling (starting from Baschetti, et al. 2021)
 - modification of the sources: advanced fluid neutrals and additional heating
 - Two physical points addressed:
 - Evolution of heat and particle fluxes at the PFC during the ramp-up
 - Distribution of heat and energy between ions and electrons with respect to different heating regimes

Outline

- SolEdge-HDG model
- A k-model to go towards a self-consistent transport model
- An advanced fluid neutral model with non-constant diffusion
- Results:
 - Time evolution of heat and particle fluxes at the wall during ramp-up WEST discharge
 - Repartition between ion and electron channels with additional heating
- Preliminary investigation on growth rate modification

SolEdge-HDG

- Fluid transport code based on Hybridized Discontinuous Galerkin method (Giorgiani, et al. 2018)
- Magnetic equilibrium free high-order meshes
- Solves Braginskii conservative equations for plasma density, momentum, ion and electron energies for deuterium and electrons
- But! How to define the cross-field transport coefficients?

 $\partial_t n + \nabla \cdot (nu\mathbf{b}) - \nabla \cdot (D\nabla_\perp n) = S_n$ $\partial_{t}(m_{i}nu) + \nabla \cdot (m_{i}nu^{2}\mathbf{b}) + \nabla_{\parallel}(k_{b}n(T_{e}+T_{i})) - \nabla \cdot \underbrace{\mu \nabla_{\perp}(m_{i}nu)}_{CORCET} = S_{\Gamma}$ $\partial_t \left(\frac{3}{2}k_b n T_{\mathbf{i}} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\mathbf{i}} n u^2\right) + \nabla \cdot \left(\left(\frac{5}{2}k_b n T_{\mathbf{i}} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\mathbf{i}} n u^2\right) u \mathbf{b}\right) - n u e E_{\parallel}$ $-\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{3}{2}k_{\mathsf{b}}(T(D\nabla_{\perp}n+\eta\chi_{\mathsf{j}}\nabla_{\perp}T_{\mathsf{i}}))-\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{1}{2}m_{\mathsf{i}}u^{2}(D\nabla_{\perp}n+\frac{1}{2}m(\mu_{\mathsf{i}}\nabla_{\perp}u^{2}))\right)$ $-\nabla \cdot (k_{\parallel i} T_i^{\frac{5}{2}} \nabla_{\parallel} T_i \mathbf{b}) + \frac{3}{2} \frac{k_b n}{\tau_{ie}} (T_e - T_i) = S_{E_i} \quad ion \; energy$ $\partial_t \left(\frac{3}{2}k_b n T_e\right) + \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{5}{2}k_b n T_e u \mathbf{b}\right) + nue E_{\parallel} - \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{3}{2}k_b (T_e D \nabla_{\perp} n + \eta \chi_{\bullet} \nabla_{\perp} T_e)\right)$ $-\nabla \cdot (k_{\parallel e} T_{e}^{\frac{5}{2}} \nabla_{\parallel} T_{e} \mathbf{b}) - \frac{3}{2} \frac{k_{b} n}{\tau_{ie}} (T_{e} - T_{i}) = S_{E_{e}} \quad electron \ energy$

SolEdge-HDG fluid turbulent model revision

• Heuristic model of turbulent model transport (Baschetti et al. NF 2021)

curbulent
energy
$$\partial_t k + \nabla \cdot (kv_{\parallel}\mathbf{b}) - \nabla \cdot (D_k \nabla_{\perp} k) = \gamma_I k - c_{\varepsilon} k^2$$

evolution

- 1. parallel transport with plasma parallel velocity
- 2. Perpendicular diffusion with self-consistently defined D:

$$D_k = k\tau_{\parallel} = k \frac{L_{\parallel}}{c_s} = k \frac{2\pi qR}{c_s}$$

3. The same coefficient is used for plasma transport equations

$$D_k = D = \mu = \chi_i = \chi_e$$

SolEdge-HDG fluid turbulent model revision

• Heuristic model of turbulent model transport (Baschetti et al. NF 2021) turbulent energy $\partial_t k + \nabla \cdot (kv_{\parallel}\mathbf{b}) - \nabla \cdot (D_k \nabla_{\perp} k) = \gamma_I k - c_{\varepsilon} k^2$

evolution

$$\gamma_{I} = \begin{cases} c_{s} \sqrt{\frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla} p_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \mathbf{B}}{p_{i} \mathbf{B}} - \frac{\theta}{R^{2}}}, & \frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla} p_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \mathbf{B}}{p_{i} \mathbf{B}} - \frac{\theta}{R^{2}} \ge 0\\ 0, & \frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla} p_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \mathbf{B}}{p_{i} \mathbf{B}} - \frac{\theta}{R^{2}} < 0 \end{cases}$$

2. Sink rate closure by assumption of energy balance in SOL

SolEdge-HDG neutral model

• First introduced for SolEdge-HDG in (d' Abusco, et al. 2022)

 $\begin{array}{c} c_{ontinuity} \\ n_{eutrals} \\ \partial_t n_{n} - \nabla \cdot (D_{n_n} \nabla_{\perp} n_n) = -n_n n < \sigma v >_{iz} + n^2 < \sigma v >_{rec} \end{array}$

recently modified with self-consistent neutral diffusion coefficient (accepted to Front. Phys.)

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} de_{pends \ on \ mean} \\ p_{ath \ of \ neutrals} \end{array} \end{array} D_{n_{n}} = \frac{eT_{i}[eV]}{m_{i}n(<\sigma v >_{cx} + <\sigma v >_{iz})} \end{array}$$

• Neutral moment and boundary conditions should be modified $-D_{n_n} \nabla n_n \cdot \mathbf{n} = -R(-D_n \nabla_{\perp} n \cdot \mathbf{n} + nu\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{n}) - \Gamma_{puff} \cdot \mathbf{n}$

Simulation set-up

- WEST **Ohmic** discharge #54487
- *Current, magnetic field and puff* rate from **WEST IMAS**
- Recycling R = 0.998
- Two transport models:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \circ & \text{constant} \quad D = \mu = \chi_i = \chi_e = 0.5 \text{ m}^2/\text{s} \\ \circ & \text{variable} \quad D = \mu = \chi_i = \chi_e = D_k = k \frac{L_{\parallel}}{c_s} \\ \hline \textit{for numerical} \\ \textit{stability} \quad D_{\min} = 0.3 \text{ m}^2/\text{s} \quad D_{\max} = 20 \text{ m}^2/\text{s} \quad D_{n_n} = 1000 \text{ m}^2/\text{s} \end{array}$$

• Focusing ramp-up (up to t = 3s)



Evolution of core plasma parameters



Evolution of poloidal profiles of plasma parameters for simulation with variable diffusion

• Turbulent model causes higher perpendicular transport:

- wider density profile
- lower energy content

• Trends are similar, but absolute values are not:

- should be higher recycling (probably varying)
- More heating \Rightarrow take into account higher Zeff

Predicted diffusion



- The model predicts higher transport at LFS and at regions with high connection length ar plasma edge
- Non-local turbulent transport effects
- During ramp-up of current the maximum value of diffusion decreases as 1/lp, which corresponds to global confinement scaling

Evolution of simulated fluxes onto the wall



- Increased turbulent transport causes higher and wider profiles particle fluxes
- However, during first 1.3 s peak heat values in both simulations are comparable
- Significant fluxes predicted on baffle and upper divertor (especially during limiter-divertor transition)

Steady state additional heating simulation

- Gaussian circle source of 2 MW applied to ions or electrons
- Centered at the magnetic axis of plasma with width of 15 cm
- Equilibrium at t=4.5 s of discussed discharge, steady-state
- Recycling R = 0.998
- Transport model:

• plasma
$$D = \mu = \chi_i = \chi_e = D_k = k \frac{L_{\parallel}}{c_s}$$

• neutrals $D_{n_n} = \frac{eT_i[eV]}{m_i n(<\sigma v >_{cx} + <\sigma v >_{iz})}$

Turbulent diffusion for different heating



- Additional heating causes significantly higher transport at both divertors
- Since the growth rate is proportional to ion pressure gradient, ion heating leads to more transport increase

Ion/electron heat channel distribution





- In far SOL heating generally leads to T_i/T_e reduction
- In core the change T_i/T_e corresponds to the heating channel



- Additional heating goes preferentially through electron channel
- Slightly lower heat fluxes for the electron heating are due to lower plasma resistivity and hence lower Ohmic heating

Conclusions

• On the way of physical model enrichment in SolEdge-HDG:

- turbulent self-consistent transport model has been revised
- neutral model now employs self-consistent diffusion coefficient
- additional heating sources has been implemented
- Simulation of ramp-up of WEST discharge:
 - Turbulent diffusivity scales as 1/Ip and mostly localized at separatrix (LFS), and X-points
 - Heat and particle fluxes are increased compared to constant diffusion simulation
- Additional heating sources simulation
 - Significantly increased turbulent transport near lower and upper divertor
 - \circ Reduction of T/T_e in far SOL
 - Additional heating escapes plasma mostly through electron channel

Perspectives: drift-wave instability and parallel losses

• We start from set of 2 equations:

$$equation \quad \partial_{t}n_{e} + \nabla \cdot \left(n_{e}\left(\mathbf{v}_{E} + \mathbf{v}_{*,e}\right)\right) + \nabla \cdot \left(-D_{\perp}\nabla_{\perp}n_{e}\right) = S_{n} - \nabla_{\parallel}\Gamma_{\parallel,e} \quad parallel losses$$

$$equation \quad \partial_{t}n_{e} + \nabla \cdot \left(n_{e}\left(\mathbf{v}_{E} + \mathbf{v}_{*,e}\right)\right) + \nabla \cdot \left(-D_{\perp}\nabla_{\perp}n_{e}\right) = -\nabla_{\parallel}J_{\parallel} \quad parallel losses$$

$$eurrent \quad of \quad of \quad d = \frac{B}{2} \times m_{i}\frac{d}{dt}\left(n_{i}(\mathbf{v}_{E} + \mathbf{v}_{*,i})\right) \quad e^{-e_{e}}C_{fric} \quad drift$$

$$polar \quad J_{pol} = \frac{B}{B^{2}} \times m_{i}\frac{d}{dt}\left(n_{i}(\mathbf{v}_{E} + \mathbf{v}_{*,i})\right) \quad e^{-e_{e}}C_{fric} \quad drift$$

 Leaving 1st order terms in 1st equation and up to 2nd order in the 2nd Linearizing, low β limit, Boussinesq approximation for density, cold ion limit:

$$\partial_{t}n + \boxed{D_{B}\boldsymbol{b}\cdot\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi\times\boldsymbol{\nabla}\bar{n}\right)} - D_{\perp}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\perp}^{2}n = S_{n} - \sigma_{n,n} \ n + n_{0}\sigma_{n,\phi} \ \phi$$

$$\underbrace{\partial_{t}W - \nu_{\perp}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\perp}^{2}W}_{W = \rho_{s}^{2}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\perp}^{2}(eU/T_{e})} = \boxed{D_{B}\boldsymbol{b}\cdot\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla}B}{B}\times\frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla}n}{n_{B}}\right)} = \boxed{-\sigma_{\phi,n} \ \frac{n}{n_{B}} + \sigma_{\phi,\phi} \ \phi}$$

Perspectives: linearization of loss terms

• We want to linearize parallel losses in a simple form:



Perspectives: adding more physics to turbulent model

Current growth rate does not include interchange instability damping

$$\gamma_{I} = \begin{cases} c_{s} \sqrt{\frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla} p_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \mathbf{B}}{p_{i} \mathbf{B}} - \frac{\theta}{R^{2}}}, & \frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla} p_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \mathbf{B}}{p_{i} \mathbf{B}} - \frac{\theta}{R^{2}} \ge 0\\ 0, & \frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla} p_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \mathbf{B}}{p_{i} \mathbf{B}} - \frac{\theta}{R^{2}} < 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\gamma = \frac{-A + \sqrt{\frac{g_r + |g|}{2}}}{\tau}$$

Preliminary estimation on fixed plasma background



Perspectives: stability analysis

Making fourier transformation and solving dispersion equation:



- Parallel losses act generally as a damping term
- Drifts are driving the instability
- Though interplay between different terms should be studied in details
 19

Max fluxes for varying equilibrium





SolEdge-HDG

- Fluid transport code based on Hybridized Discontinuous Galerkin method (Giorgiani, et al. 2018)
- Magnetic equilibrium free, high-order meshes



Overview poloidal profiles







-0.4

-0.6

-0.4

-0.6

0.2

-0.4

Ξ 0.0



