

Joint WP TE and WP PWIE Technical Meeting on Plasma Wall Interactions in full W devices

High Heat Flux devices capabilities for W damage studies

M. Wirtz

Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Institute of Fusion Energy & Nuclear Waste Management, 52425 Jülich, Germany

With contributions from: I. Garkusha, V. Makhlay, J. Riesch, H. Greuner, H. Maier, A. Durif, H. Roche, M. Richou. T. Morgan, D. Alegre

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, funded by the European Union via the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No 101052200 — EUROfusion). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Commission. Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.

KIP Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology **UKRAINE**

JÜLICH

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT GERMANY GERMANY The Netherlands

DIFFER

SPAIN Centre for Energy, Environment And Technology Research

Laboratorio

Fusión

Ciemat

Nacional

2 Marius Wirtz | Joint WP TE and WP PWIE Technical Meeting | 18 09 2024

QSPA HADES JUDITH 2 PSI-2 GLADIS MAGNUM-PSI OLMAT JUDITH 3 (under construction) JULE-PSI (under construction)

KIPT: QSPA

S.S. Herashchenko et al. 2023 Fus. Eng. & Des. 190 113527 I.E. Garkusha et al 2024 Nucl. Fusion 64 056010

QSPA-M

Capable reproducing disruptions and ELM loads both in terms of energy and particle fluxes!

4 Marius Wirtz | Joint WP TE and WP PWIE Technical Meeting | 18 09 2024

Studies of erosion mechanisms: evaporation and melt motion, droplets and W dust generation. Surface modification effects induced

SEM images of dust and droplets with different magnification, collaboration with FZJ

Both the re-solidified droplets as well as the dust particles have been collected.

Solid dust is overwhelming majority of the particles ejected from castellated tungsten surfaces. It is mainly attributed to the cracking during the surface cooling.

V.A. Makhlai et. al. Phys. Scr. 96 (2021) 124043

After a 2.5 year break, QSPA is producing plasma again!

More than one month of successful plasma operation during the summer 2024

CEA: HADES

Electron Beam Gun

Power = 10 - **150 kW** Steady state mode / Pulse mode Thermal flux = $up to a few 10 MW/m² (stationary)$ up to 1GW/m² (transient) Beam deflection angle = ±25°, sweeping frequency = 10kHz Minimum beam diameter = ~10 mm @ 45kV

Diagnostics Diagnostics

IR camera (1.5-5.5μm), 30-1500°C $@ ε = 1$ Visible CCD camera Thermocouples: calorimetry, embedded In-situ ɛ measurement @low temperature

Water cooling loop

Pressure = 0.8 – **3.5 MPa** Temperature = 50 - **220°C** Max water flow = **6kg/s**

Vacuum chamber Vacuum chamber

Volume ~**8m3** Door diameter ~**1.3m** Mock-up – gun distance = $1 - 2m$ Inner panels cooled Pressure ~ 10-3 Pa

BEFORE

AFTER

- No serious defect occurred during thermal cycling.
- No (evident) damaging of the heat extraction capacity after thermal cycling.
- In the surface of the mean extraction depeat, and the memal opening.
- Noticeable change in the surface condition, and surface roughening during 20 MW/m² cycling, in particular during the first 800 cycles.
- Strong deformation of Mb#2 and Mb#3 is observed particularly along the cooling tube.
- Gaps are completely obstructed by the swelling at the top surface along the cooling tube.
- Localized cracks on two W monoblock occurred during 20 MW/m² cycling, beginning after 1600 cycles.
- Micro cracks appeared on Mb#1 and Mb#4 visible with a binocular microscopy.

JUDITH 2 – machine parameters

max. beam power: 200 kW acceleration voltage: 40 – 60 kV $irradiation area: 40 x 40 cm²$ power density: ≤ 2 GWm⁻² pulse length: 5 µs – cont. beam diameter: > 3 mm

suited for toxic materials, e.g. Be

JUDITH 2 – cooling circuit

 $RT - 120 °C$ \leq 4 MPa \leq 200 l/min

in-situ high purity control

conductivity: < 0.3 µS/cm oxygen content: < 0.04 mg/l

Generation of macro cracks within the WEST PFU MBs

MB width has influence on the power density/stresses needed to generate a macro crack

Influence of thermal shock cracks on the macro-crack formation during stationary heat loads in ITER

Surface cracks seem to have no influence on initiation macro cracks ($\leq 1000 \omega$ 20 MW/m²). However, surface damages become more pronounced and sever plastic deformation (creep) of the MB occurs.

Definition of standard testing procedure (benchmarking tests):

300 cycles at 20 MW/m2 (10s/10s), continuation to 1000 cycles on survival, long hold time test (creep) at 10 MW/m² (e.g. 10×400 s loading), continue with 20 MW/ $m²$

FZJ: JUDITH 2

Steady-state

- D, He, Ar plasma
- plasma column diameter 60 mm
- ion flux ≤ 1023 m-2s-1
- incident ion energy (bias) $10 300$ eV

Plasma chamber:

- Langmuir probe
- Nd:YAG laser (1064 nm, 32 J)
- **I**nfra**r**ed (IR) camera
- **O**ptical **E**mission **S**pectroscopy (OES)
- **Q**uartz **M**icro **B**alance (QMB)
- **Q**uadrupole **M**ass **S**pectroscopy (QMS)
- **T**unable **D**iode **L**aser **A**bsorption **S**pectroscopy (TDLAS)

Target Exchange and Analysis Chamber (TEAC):

- **E**nergy-**D**ispersive **X**-ray Sp. (EDX)
- **L**aser **I**nduced **B**reakdown **S**p. (LIBS)
- **L**aser **I**nduced **D**esorption-QMS (plan)

Possible sample positions

TEAC Side-fed manipulator

Laser beam

ELM-like heat loads at 730 °C absorbed power density: 0.38 GW/m² pulse duration: 0.5 ms ($f = 10$ Hz)

H/He (6 %) - Plasma

particle energy ≈ 35 eV plasma flux \approx 6.0 × 10²¹ m⁻²s⁻¹ fluence ≈ 9.0×10^{24} m⁻² / 6.0×10^{25} m⁻²

Measurement of deuterium retention *in-situ* via laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS).

Enables measurement of dynamic deuterium retention at different times, also after thermal shock tests

- Power 2 x 1 MW ion source
- Capability to operate with H/ He
- U_{ex} 15 55 kV
- Heat flux 3 45 MW/m² p. source
- Beam \varnothing 7 cm (80% of q'_{max})
- Pulse length 1 ms 45 s
- Cycle rate 80 100 /h
- Target dim. cm size up to 2 m
- Target cooling: < 8 I/s, 10 25 bar

Simultaneous loading with high heat AND H/He particle flux

1 MW ion

- Mock-up "Optimized": optimized honeycomb structure with attached W tiles (grains perpendicular to surface)
- Mock-up "Heuristic": standard honeycomb structure on building plate (grains parallel to surface), optimised infiltration
- Design and manufacturing details shown by R. Lürbke

Performed tests:

cold water cooling, T_{in} = 15 – 27 °C, p= 10 bar, 12 m/s

- 1. Screening up to 25 MW/m²
- Cyclic loading: 100 pulses ω 10 MW/m², 10 sec.

hot water cooling, T_{in} = 130 °C, p= 40 bar, 16 m/s

- 1. Screening up to 20 MW/m²
- Cyclic loading: 500 pulses ω 20 MW/m², 10 sec.

Use Magnum-PSI to recreate aspects of slow-transient loading and high-power laser for ELM-like loading: good fidelity simulation of divertor plasma conditions

DIFFER: MAGNUM-PSI

Increasing base temperature leads to decrease in fatigue cracking threshold (H plasma, 4.0×105 pulses)

Take advantage of steady-state operations of Magnum-PSI with superconducting magnet

Use a high-power welding laser to recreate up to 106 ELM-like loading events (1 ms)

ITER monoblock mockup chain mounted in Magnum-PSI

Superconducting magnet Plasma beam σ Typical IR-cam image Laser spot dimensions (4×2 mm) $\frac{1}{2}$ 21-03-2018 10 52 32 CAM₃ T.W. Morgan et al., *Phys. Scr.* (2020)

W monoblock chain

Thomson scattering position

NBI BEAM

Simulate steady state to few GW/m2 disruptions. For steady state and slow transients simulation

- **Beam power:** 705 kW; **8**±**2 to 40**±**5 MW/m2**
- **H⁺ energy: 8-40 keV. H⁺ flux : 1.7·10²² 1/m²s.**
- **Wide beam:** gaussian with 1/e **width of 20 cm.**
- **Pulse duration:** up to **150 ms.** Every 30-120 s.

LASER

- **Y fiber CW laser from IPG. Spot at focus: 0.67 mm**
- **Power: 930 W continuous; 9300 W pulsed.**
- **Pulses: 0.2-10 ms; 90 J energy; 1-2000 Hz Spot size** (defocusing) **and laser power** (software) to control **power density.** But then laser beam is **Gaussian**: **complex interpretation**

LASER: TRANSIENT SIMULATION ON W

- **Past experiments suffered thermal ablation at center by Gaussian beam shape (2x mean energy). No realistic!**
- **After careful beam profiling we learned laser had flat profile at focus point.**
- **Future: use fibers of larger diameter to have larger spots at focus point.**
- **We are also trying to simulate DEMO mitigated ELMs 0.1 MJ/m2: 7·108 pulses in armor lifetime. At our laser up to ~6·107 pulses/day**

NBI: SIMULATION OF FATIGUE BY STEADY STATE LOADS

- **Successful experiments in the past, but only 4 samples at the same time.**
- **Now up to 75 cooled samples at the same time! Gaussian NBI power shape allow for a power distribution along the samples (divertor to main wall)**
- **But difficult assembling: only one operation day, and inefficient cooling.**
- **We expect to solve these issues in October campaign.**

JUDITH 3 – machine parameters

max. beam power: 60 kW acceleration voltage: ≤ 150 kV irradiation area: 15×15 cm² power density: ≤ 2 GWm⁻² pulse length: 5 µs – cont. beam diameter: ~1 mm FWHM

Provisional set-up in controlled area To be installed in new hot-cell until 2025

JUDITH 3 – cooling circuit *(to be installed in 2023)*

in-situ high purity control

conductivity: < 0.3 µS/cm oxygen content: < 0.04 mg/l

Linear plasma device (under construction for implementation in a hot cell)

Similar options planned as for PSI-2:

- Langmuir probe
- **I**nfra**r**ed (IR) camera
- **T**unable **D**iode **L**aser **A**bsorption **S**pectroscopy (TDLAS)
- **O**ptical **E**mission **S**pectroscopy (OES)
- **Q**uartz **M**icro **B**alance (QMB)
- **Q**uadrupole **M**ass **S**pectroscopy (QMS)
- **E**nergy-**D**ispersive **X**-ray Sp. (EDX)
- **L**aser **I**nduced **B**reakdown **S**p. (LIBS)
	- **L**aser **I**nduced **D**esorption-QMS (LIDS)

