

TSVV4 Annual Meeting

WPTE Experimental program and modelling needs in view of TSVV4 deliverables

N. Vianello

On behalf of WPTE TFLs E. Tsitrone, N. Vianello, M. Baruzzo, D. Keeling, A. Hakola, V. Igochine, B. Labit

Consorzio RFX and ISTP-Padova, Italy



This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, funded by the European Union via the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No 101052200 — EUROfusion). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Commission. Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.



WP TE in PSD^{*} with overarching priorities: ITER & DEMO & PEX





WPTE Programme definition

- Overarching priorities based on ITER RP, DEMO physics gaps and exploitation of PEX
- EUROfusion Grant Deliverables, GD, as defined in the Consortium Work Plan and submitted to the European Commission (EC) – need to be achieved for money to flow from EC to EUROfusion
- Milestones (as step stones to progress towards these Grant Deliverables)
- Priorities defined by the EUROfusion Roadmap towards Fusion Electricity need to be achieved for aiding ITER to succeed and designing a power plant extending beyond GDs:
 - derived from the ITER Research Plan and discussed with IO
 - derived together with the DEMO Central Team to close DEMO physics gaps for developing viable operational scenarios for DEMO
 - For the 2025 program focus on request for ITER re-baseling and experiments in support of future JT-60SA scientific exploitation



Mission 1 – Plasma Regimes of Operation Mission 2 – Heat Exhaust Systems



RT-01 Core-Edge-SOL integrated H-mode scenario compatible with exhaust constraints in support of ITER

| Develop and understand stationary H-mode scenario at low collisionality and with dominant electron neating |
|--|
| Provide physics-based cross-field transport coefficients to TSVVs (1, 3, 4 and 11) for turbulence modelling |
| Determine the impact of different impurity mixes for partially detached divertors in high power operations n view of ITER radiative scenarios |
| Assess pedestal performances in condition closer to future devices including large SOL opacity, low pedestal collisionality, peeling limited plasma |
| Quantify impurity screening for high temperature pedestals |
| |

High performance Plasmas compatible with Exhaust Solution 1/

- At full performances ITER operation requires high confinement scenarios with heat load withstanding capabilities to avoid W re-cristalization
- The chosen strategy achieved via a combination of high gas throughput (high divertor neutral pressure) and extrinsic impurity seeding
- A core-edge integrated scenario with ITER like shape sustained for 4s without W accumulation and no ELM at 2.5MA/2.7T in D
- It already approaches ITER relevant parameter with P/P_{L-H} < 2, $f_{GW}=0.7, f_{GW,ped}=0.46, f_{rad}=0.86$, while keeping $H_{98} \sim 1, \beta_N \sim 2.2, C_{Ne} \sim 1.7\%$





High performance Plasmas compatible with Exhaust Solution 2/



- Achieved very long pulse at high confinement and high recycling divertor conditions (7.4s NBI at 30MW), stationary (H₉₈ ~ 0.9 (fast particle corrected))
- Best performance DT-seeded plasmas with highrecycling divertor

- Extensive set of:
 - D and DT plasmas
 - seeded and unseeded

for comparison and for code validation

High performance Plasmas compatible with Exhaust Solution 3/



RT01- Linking Edge Flows to the Magnetic Geometry Asymmetry in Tokamaks

- Multi-machine (WEST/TCV) investigation of edge flows in favorable/unfavorable ion $B \times \nabla B$ drift direction
- Deeper Er observed in favorable configuration in both devices
- On WEST Clear deepening of *Er* with *Ip* in Unfav. (not only Ohmic) discharges





- Nevertheless opposite trend observed for unfavorable Er profile modification with Ip
- Work in progress for addressing the modification with linear/non-linear GK modelling

Courtesy from S. Rienäcker, L. Vermare, P. Hennequin

RT02 - Physics understanding of alternatives to Type-I ELM regime

| # | |
|----|--|
| D1 | Quantify turbulent and MHD driven transport in the vicinity of the separatrix and implications for ITER and DEMO |
| D2 | Quantify first wall load in no-ELM scenarios and provide model for SOL transport extrapolation |
| D3 | Extend the parameters space of no-ELM scenarios to large Psep/R and/or pedestal top collisionallities relevant for ITER and DEMO |
| D4 | Determine the key physics mechanisms regulating edge transport in order to access no-ELM regimes |
| D5 | Determine access window and physics understanding for RMP ELM suppression and its compatibility with ITER FPO scenarios |
| D6 | Quantify the overall performance of negative triangularity plasmas in view of DEMO |
| | |



JPN # 102103

- One of the most promising small ELM regimes: Quasi-Continuous Exhaust (QCE)
 [G. Harrer et al, PRL 2022; M. Faitsch et al, NF 2023]
 - a. formerly known as type-II ELM or small-ELM
 - b. a natural type-I ELM-free H-mode.
 - c. enhanced filamentary transport at plasma edge

M. Faitsch, M. Dunne, O. Sauter, E.Viezzer > M. Faitsch et al, PSI 2024; M. Dunne et al, EPS 2024



RT02-Small ELM scenario for DEMO

The quasi-continuous exhaust (QCE) regime ingredients:

- \checkmark at high plasma shaping
 - Elongation, triangularity and closeness to double null
- \checkmark with high density
- ✓ According to shaping a stability region exhists within global peeling mode (located at region of maximum gradient) and infinite local balloning (close to the separatrix) → QCE operational space opens with $S_d > 3$
- $\checkmark\,$ Fueling dependence might have different explanation
 - Ideal MHD (local pressure gradient needs to be above a critical value) (*Harrer, Radovanovic*)
 - Resistive MHD Turbulence. SepOS theory (Eich & Manz)



M. Faitsch, M. Dunne, O. Sauter, E.Viezzer 🏼 \succ M. Faitsch et al, PSI 2024; M. Dunne et al, EPS 2024





- a. Succesfully demonstrated on JET up to2.25 MA in D
- b. Succesfully ported in D-T featuring an higher confinement due to know isotope effects

M. Faitsch, M. Dunne, O. Sauter, E.Viezzer > M. Faitsch et al, PSI 2024; M. Dunne et al, EPS 2024



RT02-The influence of neon seeding

- ELMs are suppressed by enough fuelling or Ne seeding
- Small amount of seeding
 - Γ_D ≈ 3.6 10²² e/s
 - Γ_{Ne} ≈ 0.1 10²² e/s
- No primary mechanism identified so far!
- Ne seeding has multiple effects:
 - Increased Prad: 6 MW → 11 MW [Pheat
 ≈ 21MW]
 - Decreased ΔT div: 130 T °C/s \rightarrow 40 T °C/s
 - Increased Zeff,edge, recycling, ... ?



Potential interest for Edge code including GK codes (GRILLIX/SOLEDGE3X/GENE-X)

M. Faitsch, M. Dunne, O. Sauter, E.Viezzer | > M. Faitsch et al, PSI 2024; M. Dunne et al , EPS 2024

RT05 Physics of divertor detachment and its control for ITER, DEMO and HELIAS operation

| # | |
|----|--|
| D1 | Characterize detachment access and core plasma performance in scenarios using different fuelling schemes, different impurity mixtures |
| D2 | Develop Control schemes for radiative detachment, transferable to DEMO/ITER |
| D3 | Quantify edge-SOL particle and heat transport in detached conditions |
| D4 | Characterize the interaction between plasma transport, neutral and molecules and the impact of baffling |
| D5 | Quantify the degree of ELM heat load mitigation achievable by impurity seeding, investigating the dependences on relevant machine parameters |
| D6 | Assess the evolution of detachment under slow transients (L-H transitions, sawtooth, loss of impurity seeding) |

RT05 Physics of divertor detachment and its control for ITER, DEMO and HELIAS operation



- DEMO or any next step device will need to operate in full detachment with up to 90% of the power dissipated before crossing separatrix
- Detachment should be **robust and resilient to transients** as power cuts/variations as well as (eventually) ELM burnthrough
- A viable solution found in the so-called X-Point Radiator Regime (XPR)
- Characterized by a small region of high radiation, low temperature and high density inside the confined region at/above the X-point
- The scenario is stable, offer access to full detachment and can provide ELM suppression

The Differences between extrinsic impurities

Low-δ 2.5 MA/2.6T plasma With Ne seeding as single extrinsic impurity only different phases observed:

 Strong dithering (H/L/M-mode) independently on the power (link to Ne transport)

M. Bernert et al, PSI 2024

Last phase without dithering, ELM-free & detached



17 N. Vianello | TSVV1 Annual Review Meeting | 19 September 2024

M. Bernert, D. Brida, H. Reimerdes, N. Fedorczak

The Differences between extrinsic impurities

Low-**δ** 2.5 MA/2.6T plasma With Ne seeding as single extrinsic impurity only different phases observed:

- Strong dithering (H/L/M-mode) independently on the power (link to Ne transport)
- Last phase without dithering, ELM-free & detached

With Ar seeding as single extrinsic impurity only different phases observed:

- Quite evident and robust XPR
- ELMs disappear
- Strong fluctuations with frequent HL dithering
- Suggestion of different SOL transport





The benefit of Mixed impurities

- The mixed impurity exhibit stronger stability (Zeff ~ 3.1 \rightarrow C_{Ar} $\sim 0.6\%$ and C_{Ne} $\sim 0.5\%$)
- The Neon dominated plasma still exhibit L-H-L dithering but ۲ Ar dominated plasmas stable with clear access to no-ELM and full detachment
- Implementation of Real time control with diagnostic and • actuators compliant with ITER constraints



18 N. Vianello | TSVV1 Annual Review Meeting | 19 September 2024



The benefit of Mixed impurities

- The mixed impurity exhibit stronger stability (Zeff ~ 3.1 \rightarrow C_{Ar} $\sim 0.6\%$ and C_{Ne} $\sim 0.5\%$)
- The Neon dominated plasma still exhibit L-H-L dithering but • Ar dominated plasmas stable with clear access to no-ELM and full detachment
- Implementation of Real time control with diagnostic and actuators compliant with ITER constraints
- Work at even higher power 33MW with strong ELM mitigation whenever XPR position reaches higher Zposition







- X-Point radiation has no detrimental effect on the confinement
- Changes between D & DT in line with expectations

Fig. courtesy M. Bernert

M. Bernert, D. Brida, H. Reimerdes, N. Fedorczak > M. Bernert et al, PSI 2024



X-point Radiator in D-T operation



- X-Point radiation has no detrimental effect on the confinement
- Changes between D & DT in line with expectations
- No sensible modification of edge profile but clear coredensity increase (ITG stabilisation)

Fig. courtesy M. Bernert

M. Bernert, D. Brida, H. Reimerdes, N. Fedorczak > M. Bernert et al, PSI 2024



RT05 Physics of divertor detachment and its control for ITER, DEMO and HELIAS operation – Modelling needs

- Strong need to address the modification of transport in scenario at high gas throughput and high seeding
- Understanding of mixing impurity induced transport modification (Ar/Ne)
- Strong indication of modification causing transition to no-ELM as well as modification of SOL transport

RT07- Physics understanding of alternative divertor configurations as risk mitigation for DEMO

| # | |
|----|--|
| D1 | Determine detachment onset, radiated power fractions, and core compatibility in H- mode for the alternative divertor configurations (ADCs) and characterization of ELM activity in view of pedestal, heat flux and control in ADCs |
| D2 | Characterize possible benefits of the snowflake configuration for X-point radiation stability and dissipated power in H-mode |
| D3 | Quantify the degree of ELM heat load mitigation achievable by impurity seeding, investigating the dependences on relevant machine parameters |
| D4 | Test existing reduced SOL models against ADCs |

RT07- Physics understanding of alternative divertor configurations as risk mitigation for DEMO

_

al.,

et

Gorno

Assessment of effect of additional Xpoints and extreme L_{II}



Assess the role of single and multiple X-points as well as divertor leg length and triangularity







WPTE Next Steps

Call for proposal 2025

- September 2024 up to 11th of October
- Review meeting in September (23rd and 26th)

Call for participation 2025

- From end of October to end of November
- General Planning meeting in person 18/19 November in Garching

2025 Campaign Modelling driven experimental proposals are welcomed Please do join the GPM for discussing and proposed your view/requests



- WPTE continues its ambitious program with strong emphasis on the cross-device approach
- A similar Research Topic structures will be likely maintained in 2025 where we are keen to focus on topics relevant to recent ITER re-baselining (e.g. pedestal impurity transport) and to support experiment in preparation to future JT-60SA exploitation
- Quoting my presentation from last year: "TSVVs should take advantage of the wide parameter range offered by similar program runs in different devices. Some unique features (e.g. machine size scaling or isotope effects including DT plasmas) are clear opportunities" We are securing resources for some of the intepretative modelling but we are looking forward your help for proper exploitation and extrapolation