

18th November 2024

# **RT-07 "Physics understanding of alternative divertor configurations as risk mitigation for DEMO"**

**Discussion on proposals and allocated priorities**

### **A. Hakola**

On behalf of WPTE TFLs E. Tsitrone, N. Vianello, M. Baruzzo, V. Igochine, D. Keeling, A. Hakola, B. Labit

**Research Topic Coordinators D. Brida, C. Theiler, K. Verhaegh**



This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, funded by the European Union via the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No  $101052200$  - EUROfusion). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Commission. Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.



- RT-07 is mainly providing input for DEMO but also for other fusion devices (e.g., DTT)
- 2025 will be the first year that RT-07 program is carried out on all the WPTE machines – the new upper-divertor coils of AUG in full operation





# **Scientific Objectives and Machine Time**



#### **Allocation of discharges (tentative)**





# **Overview of proposals**





# **Overview of proposals**







# **Prioritization scheme and criteria**



Proposals evaluated according to the criteria:

**Adherence to the Scientific Objectives**

**Team effort**

**Size and feasibility**

All these aspects were considered by the TFLs when setting the priorities – according to the following scheme

P1: experimental priority for 2025

P2: will be done if time allows after Prio 1 experiments are completed

P3: back-up programme/not possible in 2025

PB: piggy-back experiment/pure analysis proposal



# **Machine-specific ADC proposals**



# **#167: Commissioning ADCs in AUG DivIIo**

- **Proponents and contact person:** Tilmann Lunt et al.
- **Scientific Background & Objectives**
	- $\checkmark$  Commission the AUG Divilo coils
	- $\checkmark$  Commission the newly installed diagnostics
	- $\checkmark$  Access the possible different alternative divertor configurations: X-divertor, Low-Field Side Snowflake minus, High-Field Side Snowflake minus, Snowflake plus, Compact Radiative Divertor and the Welding torch
	- $\checkmark$  Test the stability of the aforementioned configurations
	- $\checkmark$  Validate the function parameterization (FP) regression required for real time control
	- $\checkmark$  Test the accuracy of the strike line determination
	- $\checkmark$  Test the influence of the magnetic field direction
	- $\checkmark$  Test the influence of the magnetic field strength (2.5 T vs. 1.8T)





# **#167: Commissioning ADCs in AUG DivIIo**

- **Proponents and contact person:** Tilmann Lunt et al.
- **Scientific Background & Objectives**
	- $\checkmark$  Commission the AUG Divilo coils
	- Commission the newly installed diagnostics
	- $\checkmark$  Access the possible different alternative divertor configurations: X-divertor, Low-Field Side Snowflake minus, High-Field Side Snowflake minus, Snowflake plus, Compact Radiative Divertor and the Welding torch
	- $\checkmark$  Test the stability of the aforementioned configurations
	- $\checkmark$  Validate the function parameterization (FP) regression required for real time control
	- $\checkmark$  Test the accuracy of the strike line determination
	- $\checkmark$  Test the influence of the magnetic field direction
	- $\checkmark$  Test the influence of the magnetic field strength (2.5 T vs. 1.8T)



## **#149: Physics, performance and stability of the X-Divertor in AUG DivIIo**

- **Proponents and contact person:**
- Ou Pan (ou.pan@ipp.mpg.de), Michael Faitsch, Dominik Brida, Athina Kappatou, Antonello Zito, Tilmann Lunt

### • **Scientific Background & Objectives**

The X-divertor configuration aims at exploiting the poloidal flux expansion as a heat flux mitigation method. Under the influence of magnetic error fields and/or target surface imperfections these shallow angles might lead to toroidal asymmetries. In detachment these asymmetries are expected to vanish.

- Determine the detachment threshold and the maximum radiative fraction with different impurities while monitoring the core impurity pollution
- Measure the power deposition footprint and compare with predictions from SOLPS-ITER and EMC3-EIRENE simulations
- Measure the radiation distribution and ionization front
- Study the neutral plug-in effect and the influence on upstream profiles
- Test the influence of the magnetic field direction and strength
- Characterize the He exhaust capabilities with the new cryopump
- Test the stability of the configuration

### • **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**

- Scan the currents in the DivIIo coils to optimize the configuration
- Scan impurity seeding level to induce detachment
- Scan heating power, plasma density
- Change the magnetic field direction and strength
- Diagnostics: bolometry, AXUV, reflectometry, ECE, CXRS, Thomson scattering, spectroscopy, Helium beam, magnetic coils, IR camera, LPs





### **#150: Physics, performance and stability of the Low-field side snowflake minus in AUG DivIIo**

- **Proponents and contact person:**
- Ou Pan (ou.pan@ipp.mpg.de), Michael Faitsch, Dominik Brida, Athina Kappatou, Matthias Bernert, Bernhard Sieglin, Antonello Zito, Tilmann Lunt

#### • **Scientific Background & Objectives**

The low-field side snowflake-minus divertor configuration is designed to dissipate power and pressure in the outer divertor using a SOL splitting geometry, along with increased connection length and radiative volume, while minimizing impurity contamination in the confinement region. Numerical simulations predict significantly reduced power and particle fluxes, as well as lower pressure at the outer target, along with a higher radiative fraction and a reduced detachment threshold compared to the single-null configuration. The power flux distribution across different strike points varies depending on the position of the secondary X-point, gas-puffing locations and the direction of the magnetic field. Additionally, it is easier to achieve an X-point radiator in a snowflake configuration in SOLPS-ITER simulations.

- Measure the power deposition footprint and target profiles, compare with predictions from edge transport code simulations
- Determine the detachment threshold, XPR access and the maximum radiative fraction with different impurities while monitoring the core impurity pollution
- Measure the radiation distribution and ionization front
- Compare different puffing locations
- Characterize the He exhaust capabilities
- Test the influence of the magnetic field direction and strength
- Test the stability of the configuration in particular during ELMs

#### • **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**

- Scan the currents in the DivIIo coils to optimize the configuration
- Scan impurity seeding level to induce detachment
- Scan heating power, plasma density
- Change puffing locations
- Change the magnetic field direction and strength
- Diagnostics: bolometry, AXUV, reflectometry, ECE, CXRS, Thomson scattering, spectroscopy, Helium beam, magnetic coils, IR camera, LPs



**WEST**

### **#151: Physics, performance and stability of the Compact Radiative Divertor in AUG DivIIo**

#### • **Proponents and contact person:**

• Ou Pan (ou.pan@ipp.mpg.de), Michael Faitsch, Dominik Brida, Athina Kappatou, Matthias Bernert, Bernhard Sieglin, Antonello Zito, Tilmann Lunt

#### • **Scientific Background & Objectives**

The compact radiative divertor aims at dissipating the power via an X-point radiator (XPR) before it reaches the target tolerating a certain acceptable level of impurity pollution of the confinement region. Experiments in the previous upper divertor and SOLPS-ITER simulations have shown that the X-point region is becoming so cold that it can be moved very close to the target surface. With the in-vessel coils, the high-flux-expansion region can be further extended to create a 'super' CRD configuration. It would be valuable to test how the XPR characteristics, power exhaust capabilities and upstream parameters change with varying flux expansion near the primary X-point.

- Measure the power deposition footprint at divertor targets and compare with predictions from SOLPS-ITER simulations
- Determine the XPR access condition and the maximum radiative fraction with different impurities while monitoring the core pollution
- Measure the radiation distribution and ionization front
- Test the influence on confinement and ELM mitigation
- Characterize the He exhaust capabilities
- Test the influence of the magnetic field direction and strength
- Test the stability of the configuration

#### • **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**

- Scan the currents in the DivIIo coils to optimize the configuration
- Scan impurity seeding level to induce XPR
- Scan heating power, plasma density
- Change the magnetic field direction and strength
- Diagnostics: bolometry, AXUV, reflectometry, ECE, CXRS, Thomson scattering, spectroscopy, Helium beam, magnetic coils, IR camera, LPs



### **#151: Physics, performance and stability of the Compact Radiative Divertor in AUG DivIIo**

#### • **Proponents and contact person:**

• Ou Pan (ou.pan@ipp.mpg.de), Michael Faitsch, Dominik Brida, Athina Kappatou, Matthias Bernert, Bernhard Sieglin, Antonello Zito, Tilmann Lunt

#### • **Scientific Background & Objectives**

The compact radiative divertor aims at dissipating the power via an X-point radiator (XPR) before it reaches the target tolerating a certain acceptable level of impurity pollution of the confinement region. Experiments in the previous upper divertor and SOLPS-ITER simulations have shown that the X-point region is becoming so cold that it can be moved very close to the target surface. With the in-vessel coils, the high-flux-expansion region can be further extended to create a 'super' CRD configuration. It would be valuable to test how the XPR characteristics, power exhaust capabilities and upstream parameters change with varying flux expansion near the primary X-point.

- Measure the power deposition footprint at divertor targets and compare with predictions from SOLPS-ITER simulations
- Determine the XPR access condition and the maximum radiative fraction with different impurities while monitoring the core pollution
- Measure the radiation distribution and ionization front
- Test the influence on confinement and ELM mitigation
- Characterize the He exhaust capabilities
- Test the influence of the magnetic field direction and strength
- Test the stability of the configuration

#### • **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**

- Scan the currents in the DivIIo coils to optimize the configuration
- Scan impurity seeding level to induce XPR
- Scan heating power, plasma density
- Change the magnetic field direction and strength
- Diagnostics: bolometry, AXUV, reflectometry, ECE, CXRS, Thomson scattering, spectroscopy, Helium beam, magnetic coils, IR camera, LPs



Priority: P1 – re-submission from 2024. To be decided separately, following progress made in the commissioning, which of the proposals #149-#151 will get the highest priority.



# **#159: Preparation for the Tightly-Baffled Long-Legged Divertor on TCV**

### • **Proponents and contact person:**

Benjamin Brown [\(Benjamin.brown@epfl.ch](mailto:Benjamin.brown@epfl.ch)), Olivier Février, Holger Reimerdes, Christian Theiler

### • **Scientific Background & Objectives**

- Characterize Tightly-Baffled Long-Legged Divertor (TBLLD) **compatible scenarios** for comparative analysis **ahead** of the 2026 TBLLD upgrade on TCV
	- Characterize detachment-onset, neutral compression, and impurity transport in L and H mode discharges
- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**
	- Must be performed on TCV with complete diagnostic coverage including the Diverter Spectroscopy System (DSS), Reciprocating Divertor Probe Array (RDPA) sweeps, and the MANTIS multispectral imaging system.
	- Must be performed with **no divertor baffles**



# **#159: Preparation for the Tightly-Baffled Long-Legged Divertor on TCV**

### • **Proponents and contact person:**

Benjamin Brown [\(Benjamin.brown@epfl.ch](mailto:Benjamin.brown@epfl.ch)), Olivier Février, Holger Reimerdes, Christian Theiler

### • **Scientific Background & Objectives**

- Characterize Tightly-Baffled Long-Legged Divertor (TBLLD) **compatible scenarios** for comparative analysis **ahead** of the 2026 TBLLD upgrade on TCV
	- Characterize detachment-onset, neutral compression, and impurity transport in L and H mode discharges
- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**
	- Must be performed on TCV with complete diagnostic coverage including the Diverter Spectroscopy System (DSS), Reciprocating Divertor Probe Array (RDPA) sweeps, and the MANTIS multispectral imaging system.
	- Must be performed with **no divertor baffles**

**Priority: P2** – necessary preparations for the next baffle configuration on TCV but this is still 2<sup>nd</sup> priority for the WPTE program.





### **#170: Investigation on the impact of pumping speed on plasma conditions and detachment**

- **Proponents and contact person:** James Harrison
- **Objectives**
	- Measure the impact of divertor pumping speed on plasma conditions in the divertor chambers and detachment onset in MAST-U
	- Derive scalings between divertor pumping speed and detachment threshold (in terms of fuelling and/or seeding) in conventional and Super-X divertor configurations
	- Compare scalings against those derived from modelling and ASDEX Upgrade data (e.g. A Kallenbach *et al* 2018 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion **60** 045006)
- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic MAST-U**:
	- 1) Execute NBI heated H-mode and L-mode scenario with a Super-X divertor configuration and optimal alignment of the outer divertor leg with the divertor Thomson scattering system on MAST-U with optimal diagnostics coverage (e.g. for multi-wavelength imaging, Langmuir probes, IR thermography).
	- 2) Perform fuelling and N<sub>2</sub> seeding scans to ascertain the dependence of divertor plasma conditions and detachment onset on pumping speed
	- 3) Ascertain the impact of divertor pumping speed on pedestal temperature and density profiles





### **#170: Investigation on the impact of pumping speed on plasma conditions and detachment**

- **Proponents and contact person:** James Harrison
- **Objectives**
	- Measure the impact of divertor pumping speed on plasma conditions in the div
	- Derive scalings between divertor pumping speed and detachment threshold (i Super-X divertor configurations
	- Compare scalings against those derived from modelling and ASDEX Upgrade data (e.g. A Kallenbach *et al* 2018 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion **60** 045006)
- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic MAST-U**:

**Priority: P2/PB** – pumping characteristics are an important ingredients to continue the physics studies but would these be more suitable for the internal programme? Could be combined with other MAST-U proposals.

- 1) Execute NBI heated H-mode and L-mode scenario with a Super-X divertor configuration and optimal alignment of the outer divertor leg with the divertor Thomson scattering system on MAST-U with optimal diagnostics coverage (e.g. for multi-wavelength imaging, Langmuir probes, IR thermography).
- 2) Perform fuelling and N<sub>2</sub> seeding scans to ascertain the dependence of divertor plasma conditions and detachment onset on pumping speed
- 3) Ascertain the impact of divertor pumping speed on pedestal temperature and density profiles





# **ADCs in varying operational scenarios**



# **#145: ADCs in high power ELMy plasmas**

- **Proponents and contact person:**
- Massimo Carpita [\(massimo.carpita@epfl.ch](mailto:massimo.carpita@epfl.ch))
- O. Février, K. Lee, H. Reimerdes, C. Theiler, M. Zurita, D. Silvagni

## • **Scientific Background & Objectives**

- Develop ELMy scenario with challenging inter-ELMs conditions
- $-$  Test ADCs (XD, SXD, XPT) in ELMy scenario, with N<sub>2</sub> seeding & baffles
- SOLPS-ITER modeling to integrate the analyses
- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**
	- Start from existing references EDA H-mode references (high ECH power, low upstream  $n_e$ , high upstream T<sub>e</sub>)
	- Modify outer leg equilibrium





# **#145: ADCs in high power ELMy plasmas**

### • **Proponents and contact person:**

- Massimo Carpita [\(massimo.carpita@epfl.ch](mailto:massimo.carpita@epfl.ch))
- O. Février, K. Lee, H. Reimerdes, C. Theiler, M. Zurita, D. Silvagni

## • **Scientific Background & Objectives**

- Develop ELMy scenario with challenging inter-ELMs conditions
- $-$  Test ADCs (XD, SXD, XPT) in ELMy scenario, with N<sub>2</sub> seeding & baffles
- SOLPS-ITER modeling to integrate the analyses
- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**
	- Start from existing references EDA H-mode references (high ECH power, low upstream  $n_e$ , high upstream T<sub>e</sub>)
	- Modify outer leg equilibrium

Priority: P1 – continuation of earlier work on TCV and makes use of the upgraded ECRH capabilities. Scenario development to be carried out in collaboration with the internal programme.





# **#146: ADCs in QCE plasmas**

- **Proponents and contact person:**
- Massimo Carpita [\(massimo.carpita@epfl.ch](mailto:massimo.carpita@epfl.ch))
- O. Février, K. Lee, H. Reimerdes, C. Theiler, M. Faitsch

## • **Scientific Background & Objectives**

- Test ADCs in QCE scenario, with N2 seeding & baffles
- Complete / refine dataset from RT07 2024 and internal missions (XD, SXD, XPT):
	- SEEDED SXD EXPERIMENTS
	- POLOIDAL LEG LENGTH SCAN
	- REPEATS FOR DIAGNOSTIC COVERAGE / DATASET REFINEMENT
- SOLPS-ITER modeling to integrate the analyses
- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**
	- Start from existing references (170 kA, 1.1 MW, N2 seeding)
	- Modify outer leg equilibrium







# **#146: ADCs in QCE plasmas**

- **Proponents and contact person:**
- Massimo Carpita [\(massimo.carpita@epfl.ch](mailto:massimo.carpita@epfl.ch))
- O. Février, K. Lee, H. Reimerdes, C. Theiler, M. Faitsch

# • **Scientific Background & Objectives**

- Test ADCs in QCE scenario, with N2 seeding & baffles
- Complete / refine dataset from RT07 2024 and internal missions (XD, SXD, XPT):
	- SEEDED SXD EXPERIMENTS
	- POLOIDAL LEG LENGTH SCAN
	- REPEATS FOR DIAGNOSTIC COVERAGE / DATASET REFINEMENT
- SOLPS-ITER modeling to integrate the analyses
- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**
	- Start from existing references (170 kA, 1.1 MW, N2 seeding)
	- Modify outer leg equilibrium



**Priority: P2 – also this one is continuation of** previous work but here it is not that clear what is still missing.





## **#152: Exploration and understanding of the ELM-free XPR regime in SF- configuration**

#### • **Proponents and contact person:**

H. Reimerdes, M. Bernert, O. Février, D. Hamm, J. Harrison (MAST-U), J. Lovell (MAST-U), A. Mele, O. Pan, V. Soukhanovskii (MAST-U), C. Theiler, K. Verhaegh, Y. Wang

### • **Scientific Background & Objectives**

- A snowflake minus configurations with both X-points close to the separatrix found to facilitate access to an ELM-free XPR in TCV
- This proposal seeks to
	- 1. Document the profile changes that determine the ELM stability
	- 2. Investigate the role of carbon as the main radiator
	- 3. Find evidence for an additional transport mechanism that takes over the particle transport from ELMs
	- 4. Verify the universality of the facilitated XPR access in this particular SF- configuration
- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**
	- Diagnose stationary ELMy and ELM-free phases in SF-
	- $-$  Carry out experiments in a freshly boronised device, add N<sub>2</sub>
	- Compare baffle-GPI measurements in stationary ELMy and ELMfree phases in SF-
	- Explore regime in AUG and MAST-U



## **#152: Exploration and understanding of the ELM-free XPR regime in SF- configuration**

### • **Proponents and contact person:**

H. Reimerdes, M. Bernert, O. Février, D. Hamm, J. Harrison (MAST-U), J. Lovell (MAST-U), A. Mele, O. Pan, V. Soukhanovskii (MAST-U), C. Theiler, K. Verhaegh, Y. Wang

### • **Scientific Background & Objectives**

- A snowflake minus configurations with both X-points close to the separatrix found to facilitate access to an ELM-free XPR in TCV
- This proposal seeks to
	- 1. Document the profile changes that determine the ELM stability
	- 2. Investigate the role of carbon as the main radiator
	- 3. Find evidence for an additional transport mechanism that takes over the particle transport from ELMs
	- 4. Verify the universality of the facilitated XPR access in this particular SF- configuration
- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**
	- Diagnose stationary ELMy and ELM-free phases in SF-
	- $-$  Carry out experiments in a freshly boronised device, add N<sub>2</sub>
	- Compare baffle-GPI measurements in stationary ELMy and ELMfree phases in SF-
	- Explore regime in AUG and MAST-U

**RADCAM bolometers** 

Priority: P1 – relevant to meet the main objectives of RT-07, synergy to be found with the counterpart AUG proposal #150.





### **#153: RMP effects on the access of detachment in super-x divertor and X-divertor configurations**

- **Proponents and contact person:** y.liang@fz-juelich.de
- **Scientific Background & Objectives**
	- Previous studies have found that RMPs has significant impacts on accessing detachment in traditional divertor configurations
	- Evaluating the effect of RMPs on detachment in super-X (MAST-U) and XD (ASDEX-U) configurations
	- Investigating the influences of plasma response on divertor heat flux distributions under different RMP phases
	- Validating the MARS/EMC3-EIRENE modeling strategy by comparisons with experimental data
- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**
	- On MAST-U with super-X divertor, focusing on n=1 RMPs with a phase of  $\Delta \Phi$  = 180
		- Perform density ramp-up from attached to detached plasmas with mid-plane puffing (or divertor puffing) without RMPs and with RMPs in L-mode plasmas
		- Perform divertor puffing without RMPs and with RMPs in H-mode plasmas
	- On ASDEX-U with X-divertor, focusing on the n=2 RMPs
		- Perform the n=2 RMPs with ΔΦ scan in L-mode plasmas
		- Perform the Nitrogen puffing without and with n=2 RMPs in L-mode plasmas
		- Repeat the last step in H-mode plasmas
	- Diagnostics: IR cameras, CXRS, TS, bolometer, divertor Langmuir probes, ECE, Reciprocating probes, visible imaging, coherence imaging diagnostic, …







### **#153: RMP effects on the access of detachment in super-x divertor and X-divertor configurations**

- **Proponents and contact person:** y.liang@fz-juelich.de
- **Scientific Background & Objectives**
	- $-$  Previous studies have found that RMPs has significant impacts on accessing detachmer traditional divertor configurations
	- Evaluating the effect of RMPs on detachment in super-X (MAST-U) and XD (ASDE).  $\overline{U}$ , configurations
	- Investigating the influences of plasma response on divertor heat flux distributions under different RMP phases
	- Validating the MARS/EMC3-EIRENE modeling strategy by comparisons with experimental data
- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**
	- On MAST-U with super-X divertor, focusing on n=1 RMPs with a phase of  $\Delta \Phi$  = 180
		- Perform density ramp-up from attached to detached plasmas with mid-plane puffing (or divertor puffing) without RMPs and with RMPs in L-mode plasmas
		- Perform divertor puffing without RMPs and with RMPs in H-mode plasmas
	- On ASDEX-U with X-divertor, focusing on the n=2 RMPs
		- Perform the n=2 RMPs with ΔΦ scan in L-mode plasmas
		- Perform the Nitrogen puffing without and with n=2 RMPs in L-mode plasmas
		- Repeat the last step in H-mode plasmas
	- Diagnostics: IR cameras, CXRS, TS, bolometer, divertor Langmuir probes, ECE, Reciprocating probes, visible imaging, coherence imaging diagnostic, …

**Priority: P2 – even though RMPs are Plana** *necessarily* be that high in the priority. In included in the ITER baseline, ADCs may not **EXC 13 THE BEYOND 2025.** addition, on AUG the scenario development







### **#160: Standard and advanced X-point target configurations and their radiating regimes on TCV and MAST-U**

#### • **Proponents and contact person:**

• Kenneth Lee (*kenneth.lee@epfl.ch*), C. Theiler, K. Verhaegh, M. Carpita, G. Durr-Legoupil-Nicoud, O. Février, J. Harrison, N. Lonigro, A. Mele, F. Pastore, H. Reimerdes, M. Zurita

### • **Scientific Background & Objectives**

- X-point target (XPT) configurations found to feature a stable radiating regime – the X-point target radiator (XPTR).
- Explore XPT/XPTR power exhaust physics in high performance scenarios and other advanced configurations: double-null XPT, longlegged XPT, snowflake target (SFT).
- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**
	- TCV:
		- Develop EC-heated scenario in long-legged SN, XPT and SFT with  $N_2$  seeding.
		- Develop EC heated scenarios in standard SN and XPT with  $N_2$  seeding.
		- Optimise DN and DN XPT shapes, add NBI/EC with  $N_2$  seeding.
	- MAST-U:
		- From a high performance high elongation DN SXD scenario, establish XPT attached condition alongside an SXD reference. Cryopumping preferred.
		- Divertor fuelling / PFR fuelling / impurity seeding in the established scenarios.







### **#160: Standard and advanced X-point target configurations and their radiating regimes on TCV and MAST-U**

#### • **Proponents and contact person:**

• Kenneth Lee [\(kenneth.lee@epfl.ch\)](mailto:kenneth.lee@epfl.ch), C. Theiler, K. Verhaegh, M. Carpita, G. Durr-Legoupil-Nicoud, O. Février, J. Harrison, N. Lonigro, A. Mele, F. Pastore, H. Reimerdes, M. Zurita

### • **Scientific Background & Objectives**

- X-point target (XPT) configurations found to feature a stable radiating regime – the X-point target radiator (XPTR).
- Explore XPT/XPTR power exhaust physics in high performance scenarios and other advanced configurations: double-null XPT, longlegged XPT, snowflake target (SFT).
- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**
	- TCV:
		- Develop EC-heated scenario in long-legged SN, XPT and SFT with  $N_2$  seeding.
		- Develop EC heated scenarios in standard SN and XPT with  $N_2$  seeding.
		- Optimise DN and DN XPT shapes, add NBI/EC with  $N_2$  seeding.
	- MAST-U:
		- From a high performance high elongation DN SXD scenario, establish XPT attached condition alongside an SXD reference. Cryopumping preferred.
		- Divertor fuelling / PFR fuelling / impurity seeding in the established scenarios.

#### (b) 78801 @ 0.95 s ( $f_c$ =0.29)  $\frac{10^{-7}}{20}$

Priority: P1 – continuation of XPT studies with focus on higher powers/performances (TCV) and utilizing cryopumps (MAST-U). On both devices **WPTE program should primarily focus on H-mode** – L-mode/ohmic parts under internal campaigns.





![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **#164: Alternative Divertor Configurations in Negative Triangularity in highperformance NT scenarios**

- **Proponents and contact person:**
	- Olivier Février [\(olivier.fevrier@epfl.ch\)](mailto:olivier.fevrier@epfl.ch), G. Durr-Legoupil-Nicoud, O. Sauter, C. Theiler

## • **Scientific Background & Objectives**

- Detachment in NTs difficult to achieve in TCV due to smaller  $\lambda_{q}$  short connection length. Alternative Divertor Configurations favor access to detachment
- Ohmic L-Mode : quantify if ADC favors achievement of detached regime with either density ramps or impurity seeding  $(N_2)$ . In SF, probe inter-Xpoints region with Thomson Scattering.
- NBH-heated L-Mode (NT, with performances similar to that of PT H-Modes) : quantify if ADC favors achievement of detached regime with impurity seeding (N<sub>2</sub>), and if they may mitigate confinement degradation at high levels of seeding. Check the existence of XPR in NT.

### • **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**

- Ohmic L-Mode
	- Perform detachment studies using core density ramps
	- Perform detachment studies using N2 injection, at two core densities
- NBH-heated L-Mode
	- Explore SF-, XD, and an XPT in high-performance scenario (synergy with RT02), at various levels of input power and impurity seeding. SF- already demonstrated on TCV.

![](_page_28_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_184.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **#164: Alternative Divertor Configurations in Negative Triangularity in highperformance NT scenarios**

### • **Proponents and contact person:**

– Olivier Février [\(olivier.fevrier@epfl.ch\)](mailto:olivier.fevrier@epfl.ch), G. Durr-Legoupil-Nicoud, O. Sauter, C. Theiler

## • **Scientific Background & Objectives**

- Detachment in NTs difficult to achieve in TCV due to smaller  $\lambda_{q}$  short connection length. Alternative Divertor Configurations favor access to detachment
- Ohmic L-Mode : quantify if ADC favors achievement of detached regime with either density ramps or impurity seeding  $(N_2)$ . In SF, probe inter-Xpoints region with Thomson Scattering.
- NBH-heated L-Mode (NT, with performances similar to that of PT H-Modes) : quantify if ADC favors achievement of detached regime with impurity seeding (N<sub>2</sub>), and if they may mitigate confinement degradation at high levels of seeding. Check the existence of XPR in NT.

### • **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**

- Ohmic L-Mode
	- Perform detachment studies using core density ramps
	- Perform detachment studies using N2 injection, at two core densities
- NBH-heated L-Mode
	- Explore SF-, XD, and an XPT in high-performance scenario (synergy with RT02), at various levels of input power and impurity seeding. SF- already demonstrated on TCV.

#### NT-SF scenario TCV, with 550 kW

Priority: P1 – promising results obtained in 2024. With the developed TCV scenario, a detailed comparison between PT and NT plasmas can be carried out.

![](_page_29_Figure_16.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_17.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_223.jpeg)

#### **TCV: radiation at Double radiation front in WEST from #165: Effect of magnetic balance and fuelling/seeding location on radiation in Double-Nulls plasmas**

• **Proponents and contact person:**

Olivier Février [\(olivier.fevrier@epfl.ch\)](mailto:olivier.fevrier@epfl.ch), D. Moiraf, C. Theiler, L. Xiang

- **Scientific Background & Objectives**
	- Investigate the role of the seeding/fuelling location as well as magnetic balance ( $dR<sub>sen</sub>$ ) on DN divertors (top and bottom) detachment behavior
	- Assess level of cross-talk between divertors (does seeding in the upper divertor influence the lower divertor?)
	- What is the radiation pattern in a Double-Null ? Can double-XPR exist, and, if so, under what conditions ?
	- How does different seeded impruties impact the radiation pattern and core contamination?

#### • **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints**

TCV : Ohmic L-Mode and NBH-heated H-Mode on TCV in DN (10 shots)

 $N<sub>2</sub>$  seeding from top and bottom divertors

The 10 shots should fill possible gaps identified in the RT07-2024, TCV internal dataset WEST :

In LSN/DN/upper-biased DN/USN: Ne seeding from midplane LFS (4 shots). Repeat with Ar (4 shots). Repeat with mix Ar-N or Ar-Ne mix (4 shots)

In upper-biased DN: Ar, Ne, mix seeding from upper PFR (3 shots). Repeat in DN, USN and LSN (seeding from lower PFR) (3-6-9 shots)

MAST-U: ref scenario: NBI-heated H-mode SXD in DN with detached divertors

scan  $dR_{\text{sep}}$ : 0 => +2cm => -2cm with midplane fueling, no seeding. (5 shots)

scan dR<sub>sep</sub>: 0 => +2cm => -2cm, midplane fueling, N<sub>2</sub> seeding in outer divertor (7 shots)

![](_page_30_Figure_17.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_254.jpeg)

#### **TCV: radiation at Double radiation front in WEST from #165: Effect of magnetic balance and fuelling/seeding location on radiation in Double-Nulls plasmas**

• **Proponents and contact person:**

Olivier Février [\(olivier.fevrier@epfl.ch\)](mailto:olivier.fevrier@epfl.ch), D. Moiraf, C. Theiler, L. Xiang

- **Scientific Background & Objectives**
	- Investigate the role of the seeding/fuelling location as well as magnetic balance ( $dR<sub>sen</sub>$ ) on DN divertors (top and bottom) detachment behavior
	- Assess level of cross-talk between divertors (does seeding in the upper divertor influence the lower divertor?)
	- What is the radiation pattern in a Double-Null ? Can double-XPR exist, and, if so, under what conditions ?
	- How does different seeded impruties impact the radiation pattern and core contamination?

#### • **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints**

TCV : Ohmic L-Mode and NBH-heated H-Mode on TCV in DN (10 shots)

 $N<sub>2</sub>$  seeding from top and bottom divertors

The 10 shots should fill possible gaps identified in the RT07-2024, TCV internal dataset WEST :

In LSN/DN/upper-biased DN/USN: Ne seeding from midplane LFS (4 shots). Repeat with Ar (4 shots). Repeat with mix Ar-N or Ar-Ne mix (4 shots)

In upper-biased DN: Ar, Ne, mix seeding from upper PFR (3 shots). Repeat in DN, USN and LSN (seeding from lower PFR) (3-6-9 shots)

MAST-U: ref scenario: NBI-heated H-mode SXD in DN with detached divertors

scan  $dR_{sen}$ : 0 => +2cm => -2cm with midplane fueling, no seeding. (5 shots)

scan dR<sub>sep</sub>: 0 => +2cm => -2cm, midplane fueling, N<sub>2</sub> seeding in outer divertor (7 shots)

**SOLEDGE3X simulation**

Priority: P1 – continuation of the 2024 work with a reasonably-sized proposal for three WPTE devices, now including WEST. Particularly the WEST part is to be supported at the highest priority while for MAST-U homework is needed.

![](_page_31_Picture_20.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_305.jpeg)

# **#26: I-mode access in alternative divertor configurations in ASDEX Upgrade**

- **Proponents and contact person:**
- D. Silvagni [\(davide.silvagni@ipp.mpg.de](mailto:davide.silvagni@ipp.mpg.de)), T. Happel, A. Hubbard, M. Komm, O. Grover … (full list in wiki)
- **Scientific Background & Objectives**

I-mode compatibility with divertor detachment and high-radiation fractions of uttermost importance for DEMO. ADC could facilitate detachment achievement, and allow high-radiation fraction in the SOL in Imode plasmas. This proposal aims at accessing stable I-modes in X-divertor (XD) and low field side snowflake minus (LFS SF-) configurations at different density levels. The most promising I-mode scenario and divertor configuration to be used for detachment studies will be determined.

- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic** For each divertor configuration (XD and LFS SF-):
- Start from a well developed ADC shape at 0.8 MA / 2.5 T in reversed field ( - 2.5 T) with slow NBI power scan and constant density to find Imode window [1]
- Repeat at three different constant densities [3]
- Keep I-mode stationary with beta\_pol feedback control at the most promising density level (unseeded reference) [1]

Therefore 10 shots in total (5 for XD and 5 for LFS SF-).

![](_page_32_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_132.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **#26: I-mode access in alternative divertor configurations in ASDEX Upgrade**

- **Proponents and contact person:**
- D. Silvagni [\(davide.silvagni@ipp.mpg.de](mailto:davide.silvagni@ipp.mpg.de)), T. Happel, A. Hubbard, M. Komm, O. Grover … (full list in wiki)
- **Scientific Background & Objectives**

 $z$  [m] I-mode compatibility with divertor detachment and high-radiation fractions of uttermost importance for DEMO. ADC could facilitate detachment achievement, and allow high-radiation fraction in the SOL in Imode plasmas. This proposal aims at accessing stable I-modes in X-divertor (XD) and low field side snowflake minus (LFS SF-) configurations at different density levels. The most promising I-mode scenario and divertor configuration to be used for detachment studies will be determined.

- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic** For each divertor configuration (XD and LFS SF-):
- Start from a well developed ADC shape at 0.8 MA / 2.5 T in reversed field ( - 2.5 T) with slow NBI power scan and constant density to find Imode window [1]
- Repeat at three different constant densities [3]
- Keep I-mode stationary with beta pol feedback control at the most promising density level (unseeded reference) [1]

Therefore 10 shots in total (5 for XD and 5 for LFS SF-).

**Priority: P2** – focus in 2025 will be on ng and scenario development  $1.2$ rapid progress, this proposal can  $1.1$ the program in the next phase at a high priority. $0.9$ 

![](_page_33_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_181.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **#27: High-radiative and detached I-mode plasmas in alternative divertor configuration in ASDEX Upgrade**

- **Proponents and contact person:**
- D. Silvagni [\(davide.silvagni@ipp.mpg.de](mailto:davide.silvagni@ipp.mpg.de)), T. Happel, A. Hubbard, M. Komm, O. Grover … (full list in wiki)
- **Scientific Background & Objectives**

I-mode compatibility with divertor detachment and high-radiation fractions of uttermost importance for DEMO. ADC could facilitate detachment achievement, and allow high-radiation fraction in the SOL in Imode plasmas. This proposal aims at obtaining a high-radiative and detached I-mode scenario in an ADC.

- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic** For the most promising I-mode scenario in terms of divertor configuration [and density level \(determined in this proposal WPTE wikipages: Call for](https://wiki.euro-fusion.org/wiki/WPTE_wikipages:_Call_for_proposals_2025:_RT02_proposals:I-mode_ADC)  [proposals 2025: RT02 proposals:I-mode](https://wiki.euro-fusion.org/wiki/WPTE_wikipages:_Call_for_proposals_2025:_RT02_proposals:I-mode_ADC) ADC):
- Apply nitrogen seeding at different constant seeding rates (four levels), while keeping required beta pol constant [4]
- If I-L back-transition occurs, apply nitrogen seeding at different seeding rates with linear ramp in beta\_pol, i.e. with an increase in heating power (successful strategy in previous single null experiments) [4]
- Optimize nitrogen seeding and required beta\_poloidal trajectories, in order to get a stable, detached, high-radiative I-mode plasmas [3] Therefore 11 shots in total.

![](_page_34_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_134.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **#27: High-radiative and detached I-mode plasmas in alternative divertor configuration in ASDEX Upgrade**

 $1.3$ 

 $1.2$ 

 $1.1$ 

- **Proponents and contact person:**
- D. Silvagni [\(davide.silvagni@ipp.mpg.de](mailto:davide.silvagni@ipp.mpg.de)), T. Happel, A. Hubbard, M. Komm, O. Grover … (full list in wiki)
- **Scientific Background & Objectives**

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ I-mode compatibility with divertor detachment and high-radiation fractions of uttermost importance for DEMO. ADC could facilitate detachment achievement, and allow high-radiation fraction in the SOL in Imode plasmas. This proposal aims at obtaining a high-radiative and detached I-mode scenario in an ADC.

- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic** For the most promising I-mode scenario in terms of divertor configuration [and density level \(determined in this proposal WPTE wikipages: Call for](https://wiki.euro-fusion.org/wiki/WPTE_wikipages:_Call_for_proposals_2025:_RT02_proposals:I-mode_ADC)  [proposals 2025: RT02 proposals:I-mode](https://wiki.euro-fusion.org/wiki/WPTE_wikipages:_Call_for_proposals_2025:_RT02_proposals:I-mode_ADC) ADC):
- Apply nitrogen seeding at different constant seeding rates (four levels), while keeping required beta pol constant [4]
- If I-L back-transition occurs, apply nitrogen seeding at different seeding rates with linear ramp in beta pol, i.e. with an increase in heating power (successful strategy in previous single null experiments) [4]
- Optimize nitrogen seeding and required beta\_poloidal trajectories, in order to get a stable, detached, high-radiative I-mode plasmas [3] Therefore 11 shots in total.

Priority: P2 – focus in 2025 will be on commissioning and scenario development SF). If rapid progress, this proposal can be adopted in the program after #26 is completed.

![](_page_35_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_180.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Expanding physics basis of different ADCs and their detachment characteristics**

### **#143: Validation of reduced models for detachment and reattachment in ADCs**

#### • **Proponents and contact person:**

S. Henderson, D. Moulton, K. Verhaegh, B. Kool, G. Derks, P. Ryan

#### • **Scientific Background & Objectives**

Understand how reduced models for divertor detachment and reattachment developed and validated in conventional divertor configurations perform in ADCs:

- How does sub-divertor pressure scale to divertor pressure
- Does the inner target impact the outer divertor scaling
- Can the models be adapted to account for total flux expansion
- How does reattachment time vary with front position and gas mixture
- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**
	- Use vertical position (DDN/LSN) to develop an attached SXD
	- Develop a steady divertor pressure at three different levels
	- $-$  Gradually replace divertor pressure with radiation through  $\mathsf{N}_2$  seeding
	- Apply power transients (downshifts) or  $N<sub>2</sub>$  gas cuts to test reattachment at different gas mixtures and front positions

![](_page_37_Figure_14.jpeg)

### **#143: Validation of reduced models for detachment and reattachment in ADCs**

#### • **Proponents and contact person:**

S. Henderson, D. Moulton, K. Verhaegh, B. Kool, G. Derks, P. Ryan

#### • **Scientific Background & Objectives**

Understand how reduced models for divertor detachment and reattachment developed and validated in conventional divertor configurations perform in ADCs:

- How does sub-divertor pressure scale to divertor pressure
- Does the inner target impact the outer divertor scaling
- Can the models be adapted to account for total flux expansion
- How does reattachment time vary with front position and gas mixture

### • **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**

- Use vertical position (DDN/LSN) to develop an attached SXD
- Develop a steady divertor pressure at three different levels
- $-$  Gradually replace divertor pressure with radiation through  $\mathsf{N}_2$  seeding
- Apply power transients (downshifts) or  $N<sub>2</sub>$  gas cuts to test reattachment at different gas mixtures and front positions

#### Priority: P1/PB – directly addressing

objective D4. Could consider combining the experimental activities with other MAST-U proposals.

MAST-U #48648

![](_page_38_Figure_16.jpeg)

#### *Multi Wavelength Imaging*

![](_page_38_Picture_212.jpeg)

### **#155: Comparison of high flux expansion (XD) divertor configurations between MAST-U and AUG**

#### • **Proponents and contact person:**

D. Brida (IPP), T. Lunt (IPP), K. Verhaegh (UKAEA), M. Faitsch (IPP), P. Ryan (UKAEA), S. Henderson (UKAEA)

#### • **Scientific Background & Objectives**

- Compare power and particle exhaust of MAST-U and AUG at a similar divertor field configurations.
- Disentangle the effects which the magnetic field line configuration has on power exhaust, from other factors such as wall material and neutral buffering.
- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**
	- L-mode density and power scans in both machines
	- Chracterize divertor and upstream conditions with extensive set of SOL diagnostics (LPs at divertor and reciprocating probe, spectroscopy, MWI, TS, neutral pressure gauges) and determine relevant parameters for power exhaust.
	- Compare divertor conditions between AUG and MAST-U at comparable parameters relevant for power exhaust.

![](_page_39_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_186.jpeg)

### **#155: Comparison of high flux expansion (XD) divertor configurations between MAST-U and AUG**

#### • **Proponents and contact person:**

D. Brida (IPP), T. Lunt (IPP), K. Verhaegh (UKAEA), M. Faitsch (IPP), P. Ryan (UKAEA), S. Henderson (UKAEA)

#### • **Scientific Background & Objectives**

- Compare power and particle exhaust of MAST-U and AUG at a similar divertor field configurations.
- Disentangle the effects which the magnetic field line configuration has on power exhaust, from other factors such as wall material and neutral buffering.
- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**
	- L-mode density and power scans in both machines
	- Chracterize divertor and upstream conditions with extensive set of SOL diagnostics (LPs at divertor and reciprocating probe, spectroscopy, MWI, TS, neutral pressure gauges) and determine relevant parameters for power exhaust.
	- Compare divertor conditions between AUG and MAST-U at comparable parameters relevant for power exhaust.

Priority: P2/PB – comparisons between different machines relevant for DEMO and DTT but this proposal should be best executed once the AUG scenarios are properly developed. Part of the work could be done piggy back/via data mining (on MAST-U).

![](_page_40_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_247.jpeg)

# **#157: ELM buffering in ADCs in AUG, TCV, and MAST-U**

• **Proponents and contact persons**

D. Silvagni [\(davide.silvagni@ipp.mpg.de](mailto:davide.silvagni@ipp.mpg.de)), M. Zurita [\(martim.zurita@epfl.ch\)](mailto:martim.zurita@epfl.ch), O. Fevrier [\(olivier.fevrier@epfl.ch\)](mailto:olivier.fevrier@epfl.ch), L. Xiang [\(lingyan.xiang@ukaea.uk\)](mailto:lingyan.xiang@ukaea.uk), M. Komm, M. Faitsch, M. Bernert, D. Brida, T. Puetterich, M. Carpita, K. Lee, H. Reimerdes, C. Theiler

- **Scientific Background & Objectives**
	- ELM buffering is fundamental to mitigate energy and particle loads; ADCs are potentially easier to detach. To what extent ADCs can improve ELM buffering? Are the results machine dependent?
	- Determine ELM buffering fraction in an ADC for N, Ar, N+Ar/Ne seeding
	- Determine ELM buffering fraction in conventional SN configuration for N, Ar and N+Ar/Ne seeding (with exactly same engineering parameters as ADC shots)
	- Quantify (potential) benefit of the chosen ADC w.r.t. ELM buffering

#### • **Experimental Strategy**

- 1. ELM buffering in ADC(s) [# shots: 7 (AUG), 12 (MAST-U), 14 (TCV)]:
	- most promising ADC scenario(s) in favorable configuration in terms control w.r.t. ELMs (reference without seeding)
	- N, Ar and N+Ar seeding (AUG & MAST-U) and N, Ar, Ne seeding (TCV)
- 2. ELM buffering in conventional divertor [# shots: 7 (AUG), 6 (MAST-U), 7 (TCV)]:
	- SN (reference w/o seeding) with same engineering parameters as ADC shots
	- N, Ar and N+Ar seeding (AUG & MAST-U) and N, Ar, Ne seeding (TCV)
- 3. Investigate slower transients (cut impurity seeding, reattachment through NBH) [# shots: 9 (TCV)]

![](_page_41_Figure_16.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_191.jpeg)

# **#157: ELM buffering in ADCs in AUG, TCV, and MAST-U**

• **Proponents and contact persons**

D. Silvagni [\(davide.silvagni@ipp.mpg.de](mailto:davide.silvagni@ipp.mpg.de)), M. Zurita [\(martim.zurita@epfl.ch\)](mailto:martim.zurita@epfl.ch), O. Fevr [\(olivier.fevrier@epfl.ch\)](mailto:olivier.fevrier@epfl.ch), L. Xiang [\(lingyan.xiang@ukaea.uk\)](mailto:lingyan.xiang@ukaea.uk), M. Komm, M. Faitsch, M Puetterich, M. Carpita, K. Lee, H. Reimerdes, C. Theiler

- **Scientific Background & Objectives**
	- ELM buffering is fundamental to mitigate energy and particle loads; ADCs are potentially easier to detach. To what extent ADCs can improve ELM buffering? Are the results machine dependent?
	- Determine ELM buffering fraction in an ADC for N, Ar, N+Ar/Ne seeding
	- Determine ELM buffering fraction in conventional SN configuration for N, Ar and N+Ar/Ne seeding (with exactly same engineering parameters as ADC shots)
	- Quantify (potential) benefit of the chosen ADC w.r.t. ELM buffering

#### • **Experimental Strategy**

- 1. ELM buffering in ADC(s) [# shots: 7 (AUG), 12 (MAST-U), 14 (TCV)]:
	- most promising ADC scenario(s) in favorable configuration in terms control w.r.t. ELMs (reference without seeding)
	- N, Ar and N+Ar seeding (AUG & MAST-U) and N, Ar, Ne seeding (TCV)
- 2. ELM buffering in conventional divertor [# shots: 7 (AUG), 6 (MAST-U), 7 (TCV)]:
	- SN (reference w/o seeding) with same engineering parameters as ADC shots
	- N, Ar and N+Ar seeding (AUG & MAST-U) and N, Ar, Ne seeding (TCV)
- 3. Investigate slower transients (cut impurity seeding, reattachment through NBH) [# shots: 9 (TCV)]

**AUG SF-**Priority: P1 – a key research topic for the 2025 program but most likely can be initiated fully on TCV while the other

devices may start to contribute later

![](_page_42_Figure_18.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_245.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **#158: Investigations of outer target radius scans and impact on core performance**

### • **Proponents and contact person:**

K. Verhaegh, et al. k.h.a. Verhaegh@tue.nl

### • **Scientific Background & Objectives**

- Last year: 1) Power exhaust MAST-U **Super-X maintained** at more **moderate target radius** (**Elongated div.);** 2) **poloidal leg length/total flux expansion/baffling work together** for power exhaust benefits
- Find at which point tangible total flux expansion  $(F_r = R_t/R_{\text{xot}})$  benefits are maintained – **treat ADCs as continuum and find optimization points for reactor integration**
- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**
	- Investigate F<sup>r</sup> benefits in **single-null** and **cryopumped** conditions
	- $-$  Find at which  $\mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{t}}$  the total flux expansion **benefits become tangible**
	- Investigate power exhaust improvements by **reducing Rxpt**
	- $-$  Attempt to retrieve F<sub>r</sub> benefits TCV by moving closer to MAST-U conditions / tighter effective baffling

**Conventional divertor Elongated divertor Super-X divertor**

**Strike point scan D2 Fulcher emission – ionisation proxy**

![](_page_43_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_15.jpeg)

**If ED and SXD much better than CD when do we get these benefits ?**

![](_page_43_Picture_224.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **#158: Investigations of outer target radius scans and impact on core performance**

### • **Proponents and contact person:**

K. Verhaegh, et al. k.h.a. Verhaegh@tue.nl

### • **Scientific Background & Objectives**

- Last year: 1) Power exhaust MAST-U **Super-X maintained** at more **moderate target radius** (**Elongated div.);** 2) **poloidal leg length/total flux expansion/baffling work together** for power exhaust benefits
- Find at which point tangible total flux expansion  $(F_r = R_t/R_{x0t})$  benefits are maintained – **treat ADCs as continuum and find optimization points for reactor integration**
- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**
	- Investigate F<sup>r</sup> benefits in **single-null** and **cryopumped** conditions
	- $-$  Find at which  $\mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{t}}$  the total flux expansion **benefits become tangible**
	- Investigate power exhaust improvements by **reducing Rxpt**
	- $-$  Attempt to retrieve F<sub>r</sub> benefits TCV by moving closer to MAST-U conditions / tighter effective baffling

**Conventional divertor Priority: P1 – addresses one of the focus Super-X divertor D2 Fulcher emission – ionisation**  points of the RT-07 program in 2025 (total flux expansion) in multiple machines and utilizing the recent machine upgrades. **On MAST-U, WPTE program should focus on Hmode** – L-mode/ohmic plasmas under internal campaigns.

#### **If ED and SXD much better than CD when do we get these benefits ?**

![](_page_44_Picture_285.jpeg)

### **#161: Investigation of poloidal leg length effects on power exhaust on TCV and MAST-U**

#### • **Proponents and contact person:**

• Kenneth Lee [\(kenneth.lee@epfl.ch\)](mailto:kenneth.lee@epfl.ch), C. Theiler, K. Verhaegh, B. Brown, M. Carpita, G. Durr-Legoupil-Nicoud, O. Février, J. Harrison, N. Lonigro, D. Moulton, F. Pastore, H. Reimerdes, M. Zurita

### • **Scientific Background & Objectives**

- Long-legged configurations can have power exhaust benefits from expanded volume of plasma-neutral interactions, increased divertor cross-field transport, combination with ADCs, etc.
	- Basic, cross-machine investigation of leg length effects on power exhaust, clarification of key physics mechanisms.
- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**
	- TCV:
		- Develop EC-heated scenarios from established leg length scan shapes, and add  $N_2$  seeding.
		- Ohmic density ramps to test different extent of divertor leg baffling (unbaffled, SILO, LILO).
		- RDPA measurements to collect divertor profiles and fluctuation data
		- Develop long-legged ADC shapes.
	- MAST-U:
		- From NBI-heated SN reference, develop CD, ED, SXD scenarios similar to previous DN experiments (ELMy H-mode or L-mode).
		- Divertor fuelling / PFR fuelling / impurity seeding.

![](_page_45_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **#161: Investigation of poloidal leg length effects on power exhaust on TCV and MAST-U**

#### • **Proponents and contact person:**

• Kenneth Lee [\(kenneth.lee@epfl.ch\)](mailto:kenneth.lee@epfl.ch), C. Theiler, K. Verhaegh, B. Brown, M. Carpita, G. Durr-Legoupil-Nicoud, O. Février, J. Harrison, N. Lonigro, D. Moulton, F. Pastore, H. Reimerdes, M. Zurita

### • **Scientific Background & Objectives**

- Long-legged configurations can have power exhaust benefits from expanded volume of plasma-neutral interactions, increased divertor cross-field transport, combination with ADCs, etc.
	- Basic, cross-machine investigation of leg length effects on power exhaust, clarification of key physics mechanisms.
- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**
	- TCV:
		- Develop EC-heated scenarios from established leg length scan shapes, and add  $N_2$  seeding.
		- Ohmic density ramps to test different extent of divertor leg baffling (unbaffled, SILO, LILO).
		- RDPA measurements to collect divertor profiles and fluctuation data
		- Develop long-legged ADC shapes.
	- MAST-U:
		- From NBI-heated SN reference, develop CD, ED, SXD scenarios similar to previous DN experiments (ELMy H-mode or L-mode).
		- Divertor fuelling / PFR fuelling / impurity seeding.

![](_page_46_Picture_16.jpeg)

**Priority: P2** – continuation of work from 2024 by extending the scans to higher powers. However, not fully clear how much new data is required and thus how many dedicated discharges.

![](_page_46_Picture_216.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **#166: Detachment characteristics in neutral-driven and impurity-driven detachment in tightly baffled ADCs**

#### • **Proponents and contact person:**

L. Xiang (*lingyan.xiang@ukaea.uk*), K. Verhaegh, D. Moulton, S. Henderson, H. Reimerdes, N. Bundschuh

• **Scientific Background & Objectives**

Power dissipation by impurities mandatory on DEMO/future reactors. MAST-U with SXD showed important role of neutrals in facilitating complete detachment. Will impurities, by driving detachment via power loss, change the relative role of neutrals?

#### **Objectives:**

- Identify the differences in how detachment is established between deuterium plasma and impurity seeded plasma => how deep detachment can go, degree of impact on upstream
- Quantify the relative contribution of power loss (hydrogenic, impurity radiation), momentum loss (atom & molecule) in ADCs w.r.t. in conventional divertor geometry.

#### • **Experimental Strategy**

- 1. ref scenario with attached outer divertor at constant density  $\rightarrow$  3 development shots 2. Increase fuelling to drive the outer divertor to profound detachment.  $\rightarrow$  2 physics shots 3. Keep density, current, heating, shaping, pumping etc the same, seed nitrogen from the main chamber wall to induce divertor detachment  $\rightarrow$  4 physics shots 4. Keep the density, current, heating, shaping, pumping etc the same, seed nitrogen from under the X-point to induce divertor detachment.  $\rightarrow$  3 physics shots
- 5. Repeat (1)-(4) for the CD geometry.  $\rightarrow$  9 physics shots

#### **neutral driven detachment processes on MAST-U.**  *[Verhaegh2023]*

![](_page_47_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_185.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **#166: Detachment characteristics in neutral-driven and impurity-driven detachment in tightly baffled ADCs**

#### • **Proponents and contact person:**

L. Xiang (*lingyan.xiang@ukaea.uk*), K. Verhaegh, D. Moulton, S. Henderson, H. Reimerdes, N. Bundschuh

• **Scientific Background & Objectives**

Power dissipation by impurities mandatory on DEMO/future reactors. MAST-U with SXD showed important role of neutrals in facilitating complete detachment. Will impurities, by driving detachment via power loss, change the relative role of neutr

#### **Objectives:**

- Identify the differences in how detachment is established between deuterium plasma and impurity seeded plasma => how deep detachment can go, degree of impact on upstream
- Quantify the relative contribution of power loss (hydrogenic, impurity radiation), momentum loss (atom & molecule) in ADCs w.r.t. in conventional divertor geometry.

#### • **Experimental Strategy**

- 1. ref scenario with attached outer divertor at constant density  $\rightarrow$  3 development shots 2. Increase fuelling to drive the outer divertor to profound detachment.  $\rightarrow$  2 physics shots 3. Keep density, current, heating, shaping, pumping etc the same, seed nitrogen from the main chamber wall to induce divertor detachment  $\rightarrow$  4 physics shots 4. Keep the density, current, heating, shaping, pumping etc the same, seed nitrogen from
- under the X-point to induce divertor detachment.  $\rightarrow$  3 physics shots
- 5. Repeat (1)-(4) for the CD geometry.  $\rightarrow$  9 physics shots

Main proposal for addressing the impact of seeding on detachment in selected ADCs on **MAST-U.** Part of the work can be done in Priority: P1/PB – re-submission from 2024. combination with other proposals (MAST-U) or be based on data mining (TCV).

#### **Proposed pulses**

![](_page_48_Picture_258.jpeg)

EIK

![](_page_49_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **#168: Poloidal flux expansion and flaring in long-legged divertors**

### • **Proponents and contact person:**

K. Verhaegh, et al. k.h.a. Verhaegh@tue.nl

### • **Scientific Background & Objectives**

- Last year: Power exhaust benefit poloidal flux expansion limited in TCV experiments -> improved understanding needed
- **ADCs are a continuum**: find whether **poloidal flux expansion** & **divertor leg length** can **be traded-off** as optimization strategy ?
- Does **poloidal flux flaring** reduce detachment sensitivity and improve real-time control ? **-> Unknown**
- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**
	- Develop **equilibria** with **different divertor leg lengths** and levels of **poloidal flux expansion** to identify whether the two can be traded off in **high power scenarios** during fueling/seeding scans
	- Perform **system-identification studies** to **identify dynamical benefits flaring**

![](_page_49_Figure_11.jpeg)

**To what extent can poloidal leg length and flux expansion be traded off ?** 

![](_page_49_Picture_206.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **#168: Poloidal flux expansion and flaring in long-legged divertors**

### • **Proponents and contact person:**

K. Verhaegh, et al. k.h.a. Verhaegh@tue.nl

### • **Scientific Background & Objectives**

- Last year: Power exhaust benefit poloidal flux expansion limited in TCV experiments -> improved understanding needed
- **ADCs are a continuum**: find whether **poloidal flux expansion** & **divertor leg length** can **be traded-off** as optimization strategy ?
- Does **poloidal flux flaring** reduce detachment sensitivity and improve real-time control ? **-> Unknown**

### • **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**

- Develop **equilibria** with **different divertor leg lengths** and levels of **poloidal flux expansion** to identify whether the two can be traded off in **high power scenarios** during fueling/seeding scans
- Perform **system-identification studies** to **identify dynamical benefits flaring**

**Conventional divertor** Priority: P1 – extends the database on the impact of poloidal flux expansion & flaring in ADCs in different machines with focus on **(current)** higher power levels. **On MAST-U, WPTE program should focus on H-mode** – Lmode/ohmic plasmas under internal campaigns.

**To what extent can poloidal leg length and flux expansion be traded off ?** 

![](_page_50_Picture_265.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **SOL flows, turbulence and heat flux profiles in ADCs**

![](_page_52_Picture_0.jpeg)

• **Proponents and contact person:**

[massimo.carpita@epfl.ch](mailto:massimo.carpita@epfl.ch) [richard.ducker@epfl.ch](mailto:richard.ducker@epfl.ch) [m.j.h.cornelissen@tue.nl](mailto:m.j.h.cornelissen@tue.nl)

### • **Scientific Background & Objectives**

- Perform multi-device (TCV, MAST-U, AUG\*) characterization of the impact of ADCs on divertor flows.
- Evaluate the change in parallel flows in the divertor with respect to
	- ❖ Poloidal leg length
	- ❖ Poloidal and total flux expansion
	- ❖ SN, DN, multiple X-points XPT

### • **Experimental Strategy and essential diagnostics**

- [TCV] Characterization of the ADCs impact on divertor flows in well diagnosed scenario (coupled to RT05 proposal):
	- ❖ TDSS including new LoS arrangement
	- ❖ CIS benchmark new diagnostic for 2D flows
	- ❖ RDPA 2D Langmuir probe measurements
	- ❖ MANTIS & TS 2D plasma quantities
- Characterization of the ADC impact on divertor flows in reactorrelevant scenarios (heated L-mode or H-mode).

![](_page_52_Figure_16.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_173.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_0.jpeg)

• **Proponents and contact person:**

[massimo.carpita@epfl.ch](mailto:massimo.carpita@epfl.ch) [richard.ducker@epfl.ch](mailto:richard.ducker@epfl.ch) [m.j.h.cornelissen@tue.nl](mailto:m.j.h.cornelissen@tue.nl)

### • **Scientific Background & Objectives**

- Perform multi-device (TCV, MAST-U, AUG<sup>\*</sup>) characterization of the impact of ADCs on divertor flows.
- Evaluate the change in parallel flows in the divertor with respect to
	- ❖ Poloidal leg length
	- ❖ Poloidal and total flux expansion
	- ❖ SN, DN, multiple X-points XPT

### • **Experimental Strategy and essential diagnostics**

- [TCV] Characterization of the ADCs impact on divertor flows in well diagnosed scenario (coupled to RT05 proposal):
	- ❖ TDSS including new LoS arrangement
	- ❖ CIS benchmark new diagnostic for 2D flows
	- ❖ RDPA 2D Langmuir probe measurements
	- ❖ MANTIS & TS 2D plasma quantities
- Characterization of the ADC impact on divertor flows in reactorrelevant scenarios (heated L-mode or H-mode).

Priority: P1 – nice proposal to elucidate SOL flows in different machines and assess the impact of selected ADCs on the flows. Especially the TCV part will be supported at high priority in 2025.

#### **RDPA**  $-0.4$  $-0.6$  $1.2$  $0.6$  $0.8$

![](_page_53_Figure_19.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_232.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **#156: Characterization of SOL electric field and turbulence in AUG SFand XD configurations**

• **Proponents and contact person:**

D. Brida (IPP),. G. Conway (IPP), U. Plank (IPP), G. Grenfell (IPP), T. Lunt (IPP), M. Faitsch (IPP), M. Griener (IPP)

- **Scientific Background & Objectives**
	- Divertor conditions change upstream electric field and tubulence/filament acitvity.
	- Analyzing upstream parameters for ADCs is important to test our current understanding of SOL transport processes and assess the impact of ADCs on upstream conditions.
- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**
	- Density steps with well diagnosed SOL in LFS SF- and XD divertor configurations in L and H-mode. Add SL and Rout scans for better diagnostic coverage.
	- Analyse the dependency of upstream electric field on Greenwald density as well as target temperature.
	- Evaluate turbulence characteristics in dependence of divertor collsionality.

#### AUG LSF SF- and XD configurations

![](_page_54_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_251.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **#156: Characterization of SOL electric field and turbulence in AUG SFand XD configurations**

#### • **Proponents and contact person:**

D. Brida (IPP),. G. Conway (IPP), U. Plank (IPP), G. Grenfell (IPP), T. Lunt (IPP), M. Faitsch (IPP), M. Griener (IPP)

- **Scientific Background & Objectives**
	- Divertor conditions change upstream electric field and tubulence/filament acitvity.
	- Analyzing upstream parameters for ADCs is important to test our current understanding of SOL transport processes and assess the impact of ADCs on upstream conditions.
- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**
	- Density steps with well diagnosed SOL in LFS SF- and XD divertor configurations in L and H-mode. Add SL and Rout scans for better diagnostic coverage.
	- Analyse the dependency of upstream electric field on Greenwald density as well as target temperature.
	- Evaluate turbulence characteristics in dependence of divertor collsionality.

 $\frac{1}{2}$ Priority: P2/PB – re-submission from 2024. To be executed once the scenarios are fully developed. Could be combined with proposals #149-#151.

![](_page_55_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_288.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **#163: Characterization of turbulence in X-point radiator H-mode scenarios on TCV**

- **Proponents and contact person:**
- [yinghan.wang@epfl.ch](mailto:yinghan.wang@epfl.ch)
- **Scientific Background & Objectives**
	- **[Background]** The X-point radiator (XPR) regime in H-mode shows potential to combine high confinement with a detached divertor, which is critical for future tokamaks. Turbulence mechanism in this regime still requires exploration.
	- **[Main objective]** 
		- Investigate turbulent behavior and existence of ELM suppression phase when X-point radiator is brought inside the separatrix, in single null and snowflake geometry in TCV
		- Study the turbulence behavior in different locations (midplane, X-point) during the ELM suppression phase in the XPR regime, comparing with the ELMy phase.
		- Investigate the previous points as a function of fueling and seeding.
		- Study the particle transport in the XPR regime.

#### • **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**

- H-mode XPR, NBI, possible ECRH power for XPR stability
- midplane and X-point GPI systems (SISO or SILO baffle, LFS and HFS)
- Monitor detachment and XPR with LPs, MANTIS, bolometry
- Comparison with L-mode plasma in SN and SF geometry
- Wide field passive imaging from the high-speed camera
- Combining with the Wall LP and RDPA to study the particle flux during the ELM free phase.

![](_page_56_Figure_18.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_206.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **#163: Characterization of turbulence in X-point radiator H-mode scenarios on TCV**

- **Proponents and contact person:**
- [yinghan.wang@epfl.ch](mailto:yinghan.wang@epfl.ch)
- **Scientific Background & Objectives**
	- **[Background]** The X-point radiator (XPR) regime in H-mode shows potential to combine high confinement with a detached divertor, which is critical for future tokamaks. Turbulence mechanism in this regime still requires exploration.
	- **[Main objective]** 
		- Investigate turbulent behavior and existence of ELM suppression phase when X-point radiator is brought inside the separatrix, in single null and snowflake geometry in TCV
		- Study the turbulence behavior in different locations (midplane, X-point) during the ELM suppression phase in the XPR regime, comparing with the ELMy phase.
		- Investigate the previous points as a function of fueling and seeding.
		- Study the particle transport in the XPR regime.

#### • **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**

- H-mode XPR, NBI, possible ECRH power for XPR stability
- midplane and X-point GPI systems (SISO or SILO baffle, LFS and HFS)
- Monitor detachment and XPR with LPs, MANTIS, bolometry
- Comparison with L-mode plasma in SN and SF geometry
- Wide field passive imaging from the high-speed camera
- Combining with the Wall LP and RDPA to study the particle flux during the ELM free phase.

Priority: P2/PB – turbulence studies are not that directly included in the main objectives for 2025 but they can still be pursued if time allows. Could be combined with proposal #152.

 $\times$  10<sup>5</sup>

### $-0.2$

 $-0.4$ 

 $-0.6$ 

0.6

![](_page_57_Figure_20.jpeg)

#### **Proposed pulses** [Courtesy of Reimerdes et al.]

![](_page_57_Picture_267.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **#148: Influence of power fall-off length on SF- configuration in ASDEX Upgrade**

- **Proponents and contact person:** [Michael.Faitsch@ipp.mpg.de,](mailto:Michael.Faitsch@ipp.mpg.de)
- **Scientific Background & Objectives**

SF- optimization critically depends on the ratio between lambdaQ and the separation between the X-points

- QCE allows to vary lambdaQ through fueling which allows to change both parameters independently
- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**

An adequate shape (high elongation, high triangularity and with diagnostics coverage) needs to be first achieved in order to robustly reach QCE

#### Strategy is two-fold

- vary lambdaQ at fixed X-point separation
- Vary X-point separation at fixed lambdaQ

#### Main diagnostics

IR, LP, TS, equilibrium

![](_page_58_Picture_141.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **#148: Influence of power fall-off length on SF- configuration in ASDEX Upgrade**

- **Proponents and contact person:** [Michael.Faitsch@ipp.mpg.de,](mailto:Michael.Faitsch@ipp.mpg.de)
- **Scientific Background & Objectives** SF- optimization critically depends on the ratio between lambdaQ and the separation between the X-points
	- QCE allows to vary lambdaQ through fueling which allows to change both parameters independently
- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**

An adequate shape (high elongation, high triangularity and with diagnostics coverage) needs to be first achieved in order to robustly reach QCE

#### Strategy is two-fold

- vary lambdaQ at fixed X-point separation
- Vary X-point separation at fixed lambdaQ

#### Main diagnostics

IR, LP, TS, equilibrium

Priority: P2 – QCEs indeed are an important area to be studied but applying them to SF requires the scenario to be developed first. If rapid progress, this proposal can be adopted in the program in the next phase at a high priority.

![](_page_59_Picture_211.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **#162: Investigating the impact of alternative divertor configurations on broadening of the scrape-off-layer power channel**

• **Proponents and contact person:** peter.ryan@ukaea.uk, k.h.a.verhaegh@tue.nl, stuart.henderson@ukaea.uk, david.moulton@ukaea.uk *See proposal for the full list*

- **Scientific Background & Objectives**
- ➢ A broad SOL power channel is favourable for reducing the peak heat flux entering the divertor(s), but it could be detrimental for the first wall lifetime.
- $\triangleright$  Measure near-SOL  $\lambda$ <sub>τ</sub> and  $\lambda$ <sub>n</sub> during scans of  $\alpha$ <sub>t</sub> (normalised midplane collisionality) in ADC's (SXD and double-null) and compare with CD results from RT05.
- Correlate broadening of  $\lambda_{\tau}$  and  $\lambda_{n}$  and shoulder formation with turbulence measurements.
- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**
- MAST-U: SXD double-null, scan of  $\alpha_t$  using midplane fuelling, divertor pressure scan; CD single-null, scan of  $\alpha_t$  using midplane fuelling, divertor pressure scan; H-mode if scenarios developed otherwise L-mode.
- $\triangleright$  AUG: H-mode CD double-null, scan of  $\alpha_t$ .
- $\triangleright$  TCV: H-mode SXD single-null, scan of  $\alpha_t$ , SILO baffles; H-mode CD double-null, scan of  $\alpha_{t}$ , no baffles.
- ➢ High resolution midplane TS, target IR thermography, far-SOL midplane reciprocating probe.

#### L-mode MAST-U SXD, midplane RP

![](_page_60_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_225.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **#162: Investigating the impact of alternative divertor configurations on broadening of the scrape-off-layer power channel**

#### • **Proponents and contact person:**

peter.ryan@ukaea.uk, k.h.a.verhaegh@tue.nl, stuart.henderson@ukaea.uk, david.moulton@ukaea.uk *See proposal for the full list*

- **Scientific Background & Objectives**
- ➢ A broad SOL power channel is favourable for reducing the peak heat flux entering the divertor(s), but it could be detrimental for the first wall lifetime.
- $\triangleright$  Measure near-SOL  $\lambda$ <sub>τ</sub> and  $\lambda$ <sub>n</sub> during scans of  $\alpha$ <sub>t</sub> (normalised midplane collisionality) in ADC's (SXD and double-null) and compare with CD results from RT05.
- Correlate broadening of  $\lambda_{\tau}$  and  $\lambda_{n}$  and shoulder formation with turbulence measurements.
- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**
- $\triangleright$  MAST-U: SXD double-null, scan of  $\alpha_t$  using midplane fuelling, divertor pressure scan; CD single-null, scan of  $\alpha_t$  using midplane fuelling, divertor pressure scan; H-mode if scenarios developed otherwise L-mode.
- $\triangleright$  AUG: H-mode CD double-null, scan of  $\alpha_t$ .
- $\triangleright$  TCV: H-mode SXD single-null, scan of  $\alpha_t$ , SILO baffles; H-mode CD double-null, scan of  $\alpha_{t}$ , no baffles.
- ➢ High resolution midplane TS, target IR thermography, far-SOL midplane reciprocating probe.

Priority: P1/PB – not directly speaking to the RT-07 objectives in 2025 but has a high relevance for ITER as a counterpart proposal for RT-05 studies. However, due to limited discharge budget should consider starting the work piggy back.

![](_page_61_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_282.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Towards reactor-relevant ADC scenarios**

![](_page_62_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **#154: Assessment of magnetics for exhaust control on AUG, TCV, and MAST-U**

#### **Proponents and contact person:**

• B. Kool, G. Derks, K. Verhaegh, T, Bosman, C. Theiler, L. Jansen, M. van Berkel, N. Lonigro

#### **Scientific Background & Objectives**

- Alternative divertors are promising for exhaust control as they can passively absorb fast transients [1], but their dynamics are not sufficiently understood yet. The detachment sensitivity has been studied from a quasi-steady-state perspective [2,3,4,5], we plan to investigate if these results also hold for a *dynamic* situation. We compare various configurations as well as operating points though system identification techniques.
- Upper triangularity has been demonstrated as a promising, fast actuator for disruption mitigation on AUG [6]. We plan to systematically investigate the dynamics using system identification and demonstrate upper triangularity as an exhaust control actuator to correct fast transients.

#### **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic MAST-U**

Identify differences in dynamic detachment sensitivity as function of operating points, target radius, and poloidal flux expansion

#### **TCV**

**Identify** differences in dynamic detachment sensitivity as function of poloidal flux expansion and baffle closure

#### **AUG**

1) Identify dynamics in X-divertor, compact radiator, and/or other t.b.d configurations 2) Identify dynamics from upper triangularity to XPR position

- [1] B. Kool et al. Submitted Nature Energy
- [2] O. Fevrier et al. Nuclear Materials and Energy 2021
- [3] C. Theiler et al. Nucl. Fusion 2017
- [4] K. Verhaegh et al. Submitted Nature communications [5] D. Moulton et al. Nucl. Fusion 2024
- [6] B. Sieglin et al. Nucl. Fusion 2023

*CIII front position as function of lineaveraged density for different poloidal flux expansion configurations in TCV*

![](_page_63_Figure_19.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_198.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **#154: Assessment of magnetics for exhaust control on AUG, TCV, and MAST-U**

#### **Proponents and contact person:**

• B. Kool, G. Derks, K. Verhaegh, T, Bosman, C. Theiler, L. Jansen, M. van Berkel, N. Lonigro

#### **Scientific Background & Objectives**

- Alternative divertors are promising for exhaust control as they can passively absor fast transients [1], but their dynamics are not sufficiently understood yet. The detachment sensitivity has been studied from a quasi-steady-state perspective [2,3,4,5], we plan to investigate if these results also hold for a *dynamic* situation. V compare various configurations as well as operating points though system identification techniques.
- Upper triangularity has been demonstrated as a promising, fast actuator for disruption mitigation on AUG [6]. We plan to systematically investigate the dynam using system identification and demonstrate upper triangularity as an exhaust control actuator to correct fast transients.

#### **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic MAST-U**

Identify differences in dynamic detachment sensitivity as function of operating points, target radius, and poloidal flux expansion

#### **TCV**

**Identify** differences in dynamic detachment sensitivity as function of poloidal flux expansion and baffle closure

#### **AUG**

1) Identify dynamics in X-divertor, compact radiator, and/or other t.b.d configurations 2) Identify dynamics from upper triangularity to XPR position

- [1] B. Kool et al. Submitted Nature Energy
- [2] O. Fevrier et al. Nuclear Materials and Energy 2021
- [3] C. Theiler et al. Nucl. Fusion 2017
- [4] K. Verhaegh et al. Submitted Nature communications [5] D. Moulton et al. Nucl. Fusion 2024
- [6] B. Sieglin et al. Nucl. Fusion 2023

*CIII front position as function of line-*

*averaged density for different poloidal flux expansion configurations in TCV* Priority: P1/PB - control proposal, highly relevant for ensuring ADCs to be operational in a reactor environment. However, more discussion needed on the physics basis. Could consider combining this with other proposals like #160, #161, #168, and #169 to reduce the need for dedicated discharges.

$$
-0.4\begin{array}{c|cc}\n & \mathbf{V} & \mathbf{V} \\
\hline\n-0.4 & 0.6 & 0.8 & 1 & 1.2 & 1.4 & 1.6 \\
 & & & & & & \\
\hline\n & & & & & & \\
\mathbf{m}_e & \begin{bmatrix} 10^{20} \text{m}^{-3} \end{bmatrix}\n\end{array}
$$

![](_page_64_Picture_259.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **#169: Supporting integration of Alternative Divertor Configurations for reactors and preparation for DTT operation**

- **Proponents and contact person:**
- K. Verhaegh, et al. k.h.a. Verhaegh@tue.nl

### • **Scientific Background & Objectives**

- **ADCs are a continuum**: optimization strategies are required
- **Reactor ADC implementation** requires finding a **compromise** between **physical benefits** and **reactor integration**
- **Study practicalities of ADCs in a reactor** (or reactor-class powers DTT): cryopumping, fueling asymmetries, magnetic control of DN, …
- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**
	- Investigate the impact of **cryopumping** on **ADC performance** as well as the impact of asymmetric cryopumping (DTT relevant)
	- Investigate **impact toroidal fueling asymmetries** on **ADC performance**
	- Support other experiments that aim to identify ADC optimization strategies for reactors
	- Further experimental strategies to be identified in collaboration with DTT / reactor studies teams

![](_page_65_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_14.jpeg)

**ADC reactor implementation Examples & studies**

*H. Reimerdes, Nucl. Fusion 2020 R. Kembleton, Fusion. Eng. Design, 2022*

![](_page_65_Picture_216.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **#169: Supporting integration of Alternative Divertor Configurations for reactors and preparation for DTT operation**

- **Proponents and contact person:**
- K. Verhaegh, et al. k.h.a. Verhaegh@tue.nl

## • **Scientific Background & Objectives**

- **ADCs are a continuum**: optimization strategies are required
- **Reactor ADC implementation** requires finding a **compromise** between **physical benefits** and **reactor integration**
- **Study practicalities of ADCs in a reactor** (or reactor-class powers DTT): cryopumping, fueling asymmetries, magnetic control of DN, …
- **Experimental Strategy/Machine Constraints and essential diagnostic**
	- Investigate the impact of **cryopumping** on **ADC performance** as well as the impact of asymmetric cryopumping (DTT relevant)
	- Investigate **impact toroidal fueling asymmetries** on **ADC performance**
	- Support other experiments that aim to identify ADC optimization strategies for reactors
	- Further experimental strategies to be identified in collaboration with DTT / reactor studies teams

**-8** however, be investigated piggy back.**-4** features are beneficial but maybe a bit **4** power plant to start thinking which ADC **8** Priority: P2/PB – highly relevant for a future premature for 2025. Some of the ideas can,

**ADC reactor implementation Examples & studies**

**R [m]**

**0 5 10 15**

**-12**

*H. Reimerdes, Nucl. Fusion 2020 R. Kembleton, Fusion. Eng. Design, 2022*

![](_page_66_Picture_273.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Summary of the proposals and their priorities**

![](_page_67_Picture_325.jpeg)

![](_page_68_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Possible usage of the available machine time - indicative**

### **Shot per machine**

![](_page_68_Picture_180.jpeg)

**NB1!** Still under discussion and **mainly takes P1 proposals into account**

**NB2!** AUG shot time tentatively completely allocated to commissioning and scenario development before summer break

![](_page_69_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Concluding remarks**

- Proposals provide **a good basis for addressing all the four objectives** of RT-07
	- $\checkmark$  Special focus to be put on utilizing the upper divertor of AUG and extending the studies to higher powers in H-mode
	- $\checkmark$  Also linking the ADCs to the varying operational regimes (QCE, DN, NT,...) will be pursued in details
- Strong overbooking on **MAST-U** means that **not even all the P1 proposals can be fully executed**
	- $\checkmark$  Many of the proposals need to be combined and piggy-backing to be applied whenever appropriate
	- $\checkmark$  Main focus points will be (i) impact of seeding on selected ADCs, (ii) poloidal and total flux expansion investigations, including utilization of the new cryopump, (iii) ELMy H-mode studies and investigating re-attachment and ELM buffering work in detail
	- $\checkmark$  Particular emphasis will be put on H-mode while L-mode and ohmic plasma studies under internal campaign
- On **AUG** the work will start with the full **commissioning the new upper divertor and scenario development** (XD, SF, CRD) – the rest of the proposals will be promoted depending on the progress made
	- $\checkmark$  This is manifested by many of the proposals labelled as P2 or PB if scenarios are quickly available, there are high changes that these proposals will get runtime as well