

SP B.1 - Influence of dust impacts on W coatings.

ENEA-CNRM. De Angeli, D. Ripamonti, G. Daminelli, M.Pedroni, E. VassalloKTHS. Ratynskaia, P. Tolias

WP-PWIE Sp B1 Mid term Meeting, October 17th, 2024



This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

Background



- Fast dust impact has been observed in tokamaks during explosion-like events due to REs striking on PFCs (FTU, v_i~800m/s [1], COMPASS [2], DIII-D [2]);
- In new devices (i.e. ITER & DEMO) are expected higher dust ejection kinetic energies



[1] M. De Angeli, P. Tolias, et al, NF 63 (2023) 014001. [2] Private communication. [3] P. Tolias, et al, FED 195 (2023) 113938.

Identified three impact regimes [3]; in the case of W dust on W target without coatings:



Deformation regime, 200 \div 600 m/s \rightarrow shallow crater formation.



Bonding or cold spray regime, $600 \div 1000 \text{ m/s} \rightarrow \text{sticking of}$ dust on target.



Partial disintegration regime, 1÷4 km/s \rightarrow material splash ejection and partial fragmentation.

5µm W-O on Mo substrate (from IAP)

Vi=965m/s

5µm W-O (15 at%) coating on Mo substrate, provided by IAP, shot with 70µm Mo dust.



The bounding impact regime is disappeared on coated samples!

1µm W-O on W substrate (from ENEA-PoliMi)

1µm W-O (15 at%) amorph. coating on W sub., from ENEA, shot with 63µm W dust.

Sample #	Dust velocity, m/s	Average depth, μm	Impact regime
ENEA1016A	575	+8.9 ±0.7	deformation
ENEA1017B	648	+11.3 ±1.2	deformation
ENEA1017A	695	+12.3 ±1.7	deformation
ENEA1015A	772	+14.3 ±1.3	deformation
ENEA1015B	749	+14.0 ±1.0	deformation
1016A-bis	886	+18.5 ±1.7	deformation
1017B-bis	1008	+22.7 ±3.7	deformation /
ENEA1016B	1464	+36.3 ±3.9	disintegration
Back side (*)	773	-	deformation
Polished	764	+14.4 ±1.5	~72% deformation
back side		<u>-28.3 ±3.1</u>	~28% bounding 👡
Polished	927	-18.6 ±3.9	~100% Bounding!
back side			

(*) Unpolished surface covered by "oxidation layer of W and mixture with Cu" (FZJ private communication).



1µm W-O on W substrate (from ENEA-PoliMi)



MATE 20.0kV/20.5mm v700.SE/M

and materic with the second private communication.

Bounding vs coating thickness (ENEA-CNR)



Dust bouncing vs W coating thickness on W substrate (ENEA-CNR samples produced

by RF magnetron sputtering technique), shot with 63µm W dust at Vi=990÷1037 m/s.

- Samples surface were semi masked;
- Bounding starts for W coating thickness <300nm;
- Bounding is independent from W coating density.

Sample	Codeposit tickness,	Codep. W density,	Impact regime
	μm	g/cm³	
CNR1	1.0	11.75±0.38	deformation
CNR2	0.5	11.75±0.38	deformation /
CNR3	0.25	11.75±0.38	~70% bounding
CNR4	0.1	11.75±0.38	~90% bounding_
CNR5	0.5	9.0±0.19	deformation
CNR6	0.5	17.86±0.58	deformation



0.1µm thicness

CMATE 20.0kV 27.9mm x100 SE(M)

Delamination area vs dust impact velocity



ENEA-PoliMi sample shot at Vi=915m/s Evaluation of delaminated area on coated Coated surface samples provided by IAP (5µm W-O on Mo) and new ENEA-PoliMi (5µm W-O on W) semimasked vs dust impact velocity. 1.20E-01 391 Pristine surface 357 1.00E-01 Circular shaped IAP Samples 70µm Mo dust ENEA Samples 63µm W dust Delaminated surface, mm 319 8.00E-02 equivalent 6.00E-02 276 diameter, MATE 20.0kV 21.3mm x100 PDBSE(C 4.00E-02 226 μm 2.00E-02 159 • 0.00E+00 n 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 3000 3500 Impact dust velocity, m/s IAP sample, Vi=2066m/s

Final remarks

Preliminary conclusions:

- The presence of coatings and/or thick oxidation layers on samples surface inhibits the bounding impact regime of impinging dust;
- The bounding impact regime is vanishing even for thin coating layers (>250nm);
- Dust impact induces coating delamination areas bigger than dust dimension;

"Coating delamination" *means* "material migration"!

Open issues:

- How will be affected the delamination shape for oblique impacts ?
- Does the delaminated surface depend on the target temperature ?
- Does the impinging dust temperature play any role in the delamination shape ?

How will change the delamination behaviour with B-based coatings (different adhesion force, more fragile, different melting temperature,...) ??

Thank you for your attention!