Magnetic Reconnection in tokamaks: from theoretical fundations to solutions for fusion energy



From CEA, Aix-Marseille University, CNRS (France) : M. Muraglia, O. Agullo, R. Bigué, P. Donnel, N. Dubuit, X. Garbet and Y. Sarazin

From ENEA (Italy) : D. Grasso, D. Borgogno and C. Marchetto

From DIFFER (The Netherlands) : M.J. Pueschel and T. Jitsuk

From IPP (Germany) : E. Poli, Q. Yu, A. Mishchenko, F. Widmer and J. Ren

From EPFL (Switzerland) : M. Kong, O. Sauter, A. Franck, L. Porte and S. Coda



Magnetic Reconnection (MR) is at the heart of open issues in fusion plasmas

Observation of Magnetic Island (MI) on TCV :



Open questions :

- Control of NTM(s),
- Role of MR in sawtooth crashes,
- Impact of MR on RE,
- Transport in presence of MI

```
ب
```

ENR main objective :

Improvement of magnetic reconnection fundamental knowledge for fusion plasmas.

Tools :

Combine modeling efforts using complementary models (GK & MHD) and codes (AMON, SCOPE 3D, GYSELA, GENE ORB5)

Main outcome :

Identification of mechanisms relevant for experimentalists

I. Relevant models of magnetic reconnection (MR) for fusion plasmas

II. Magnetic reconnection (MR) in fusion plasma turbulence and disruptive processes

III. Magnetic reconnection (MR) impact on turbulence, transport and confinement

Magnetic Reconnection (MR) is at the heart of open issues in fusion plasmas

Observation of Magnetic Island (MI) on TCV :



I. Relevant models of magnetic reconnection (MR) for fusion plasmas

=> Understand the nonlinear structures (stochasticity generation and MI size) due to MR

Open questions :

- Control of NTM(s),
- Role of MR in sawtooth crashes,
- Impact of MR on RE,
- Transport in presence of MI

***** ...

Evolution of an 3D collisionless asymmetric Tearing Mode in presence of multiple helicities

Investigated by C. Marchetto et al. with SCOPE 3D





Main result : With multiple helicities, chaos anticipates secondary instability appearance changing its features while the reconnected flux remains unchanged

D.1.1.1 reached « Kelvin-Helmoltz instability driven by asymmetry effects in chaotic magnetic configuration » on EUROfusion pinboard

=> Loss of DATA on Marconi : Some simulations have to be re-run !!!!

Ongoing work : Understand the interplay between the secondary instability and the formation of chaos due to the magnetic field lines stochasticity.

Improvement of Rutherford-like model for the prediction of saturated island size

Investigated by M. Muraglia et al. with AMON [M. Muraglia et al. JPP 91 (2025)]

Magnetic island structure in SLAB geometry with strong guide field

 $\boldsymbol{B} = B_{\boldsymbol{z}}\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{z}} + \nabla \times \psi \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{z}}$ at the equilibrium, $B_{\gamma}(x_{res}) = 0$ **e**_z **O-line X-line** ς Wsat e_x χ_{res}

Hypothesis 1

Definition from one Fourier mode $w \propto \sqrt{\psi_1(x_{res})}$ Definition from topology [D. Escande, B. Momo, RMPP, 2024]

 $w \propto \sqrt{\psi_X} - \psi_O$

Hypothesis 2

=> At saturation:

$$\partial_t(\psi_X - \psi_O) = 0$$

(Instead of $\partial_t \psi(x, y) = 0$)

Improvement of Rutherford-like model for the prediction of saturated island size

Investigated by M. Muraglia et al. with AMON [M. Muraglia et al. JPP 91 (2025)]



✤ Main results :

> Island size can be computed from topology constraints $w \propto \sqrt{\psi_X - \psi_0}$

- Saturation can be computed from $\partial_t(\psi_X \psi_0) = 0$
- Ongoing work :
- Derivation of a new model has that will be tested on TCV discharges (see part II)
- > What is the difference between resistive and inertial saturation mechanism?
- Do kinetic effects affect the saturation ?

Gyrokinetic modeling of large-scale tearing mode

Investigated by R. Bigué et al. with GYSELA code

Successfull benchmark of GENE & ORB5





Main results

- First simulations in the linear phase of a (2,1) unstable collisionless tearing mode with GYSELA
- Linear growth has a correct scaling but still presents a discrepency with other GK codes
- Eigen functions of the mode are in agreement with theory
- Benchmark of electron-ion coll. operator in view of comparing collisional vs. collisionless saturation of tearing mode => Validation with theory of the Spitzer parallel resistivity

Ongoing work

- Development and validation of an upgraded Ampère solver has to be done
- Benchmark of electron-ion coll. operator in view of comparing collisional vs. collisionless saturation of tearing mode => Validation with theory of the bootstrap current

Magnetic Reconnection (MR) is at the heart of open issues in fusion plasmas

Observation of Magnetic Island (MI) on TCV :



II. Magnetic reconnection (MR) in fusion plasma turbulence and disruptive processes

=> How does MR interact with other processes (turbulence and runaway electrons) in fusion plasma ?

Open questions :

- Control of NTM(s),
- Role of MR in sawtooth crashes,
- Impact of MR on RE,
- Transport in presence of MI

***** ...

Selection of TCV discharges #59151 exhibiting a 2/1 NTM from a resistive unstable tearing mode



Selected by M. Kong et al. [NF 60 (2020)]

Plasma parameters

 $8\pi n_e(r_0)T_e(r_0)$ β_e B_0^2 0.61% $\sim \rho^* = \frac{\rho_s}{a} = 0.014$ $\succ \ \epsilon_a = \frac{a}{R_0} = 0.317$ $> R_0 = 0.88m$ $m_e/m_D = 2.73 \times 10^{-4}$ $\succ v_{ei} = 0.074c_s/R_0$ with Landau coll. op. $\succ Z_{eff} = 1$ > $J_{//} = J_{//e}$



Main results

- Combine effort analysis with ORB5 & GENE in the modeling of TCV discharge
- Detailed linear analysis (growth rate & frequency) of the linear spectra with the identification of the modes nature of the TCV discharge with GENE code

Ongoing work

Linear analysis is ongoing with GYSELA and AMON codes

NL MTM-ETG (wo J_{II}) and multiscale TM-MTM-ETG in GK framework Investigated in 2024 by T. Jitsuk et al. with GENE code



Main results

- > Similar flux levels between the two models (with or wo j_{μ})
- MTMs and ETGs are nonlinear coupled

Ongoing work

- Activity of tearing mode does not rise at given time -> require longer simulation for TMs to come up ?
- Compare with other models (collionless/resistive, GK/MHD, ...) to complete the analysis

5

Investigation of Turbulent Driven Magnetic Island dynamic with ORB5 Investigated in 2024 by F. Widmer et al. with ORB5 code

$$t\omega_{ci} = 1.15 \cdot 10^5$$
 $t\omega_{ci} = 3.6 \cdot 10^5$



Main results

- Large scale magnetic island non-linearly generated from micro-instability (MTM) at small-scale
 TDMI generation
- Small scales islands rotating in the electron direction merge during the quasi-linear into a large magnetic island that rotates in the opposite direction

Ongoing work

- Characterize the physics of the merging
- Role of the different instabilities in TDMI process
- Determine the amount of temperature and density profile flattening (complementary to Task III)

Interaction of Double Tearing Mode (DTM) and turbulence in MHD framework

Investigated in 2024 by M. Muraglia et al. with AMON code



Main results

Nonlinear simuations with AMON code of double tearing mode without turbulence for nearby and distant islands => explosive dynamic and total reconnection is observed for nearby island
 [M. Janvier at al NF 51 2011]

Investigation of parameters space to find linear spectrum with unstable DTM and turbulence

Ongoing work

> Toward first nonlinear simulation of DTM in presence of turbulence

II. Mutual interplay between MR and Runaway Electrons

Nonlinear MHD simulations of multiple helicities in 3D configuration Investigated by D. Borgogno et al. with SCOPE3D code



Main results:

- Chaos leads to explosive magnetic reconnection processes
- Runaway electrons increase 50% of the reconnected area
- REs current decreases and is uniformly distributed in the chaotic region

Ongoing work

- Final submission of D.2.2.1 if Marconi's problem will be fixed (recover data or new simulations ?)
- Implementation of RE on JOREK module : Nonlinear validation is in ongoing

II. Mutual interplay between MR and Runaway Electrons

Nonlinear MHD simulations of multiple helicities in 3D configuration

Investigated by D. Borgogno et al. with SCOPE3D code



Main results:

- Chaos leads to explosive magnetic reconnection processes
- Runaway electrons increase 50% of the reconnected area
- REs current decreases and is uniformly distributed in the chaotic region

Ongoing work

- Final submission of D.2.2.1 if Marconi's problem will be fixed (recover data or new simulations ?)
- Implementation of RE on JOREK module : Nonlinear validation is ongoing

Magnetic Reconnection (MR) is at the heart of open issues in fusion plasmas

Observation of Magnetic Island (MI) on TCV :



III. Magnetic reconnection (MR) impact on turbulence, transport and confinement

=> How does MR impact profiles ?

Open questions :

- Control of NTM(s),
- Role of MR in sawtooth crashes,
- Impact of MR on RE,
- Transport in presence of MI

***** ...

III. GK modeling of the impact of MI on turbulence

- Gyrokinetic modeling of mutual interplay between MI and turbulence Investigated by R. Bigué et al. with GYSELA code
- Static magnetic island was planned to be implemented in GYSELA
- Self-consistence tearing instability is under implementation in GYSELA (as already presented)
- Deliverables have been changed to investigate directly and self-consistently the mutual interplay between MI and turbulence

T3.1	Impact of MHD instability on ion-scale micro-instabilities/turbulence		
	M.J. Pueschel, P. Donnel, X. Garbet, O. Agullo, and M. Muraglia		
No	Milestones - Description	Exp. Date	
M.1	-Modification of the GYSELA code to simulate a static magnetic island (modified-	01/05/24	
	-particle trajectory equations)		
M.2	Impact of a static magnetic island on ITG turbulence (adiabatic electron	01/09/24	
	response) with GYSELA		
M.3	Impact of a static magnetic island on ITG/TEM turbulence (hybrid electrons) with	01/12/24	
	GYSELA -		
Deliverab	oles - Description	Year	
D.3.1.1	Report on the impact of a static magnetic island on flux driven electrostatic	2025	
	turbulence with GYSELA		

III. GK modeling of the impact of MI on turbulence

- Gyrokinetic modeling of mutual interplay between MI and turbulence Investigated by R. Bigué et al. with GYSELA code
- Static magnetic island was planned to be implemented in GYSELA
- Self-consistence tearing instability is under implementation in GYSELA (as already presented)
- Deliverables have been changed to investigate directly and self-consistently the mutual interplay between MI and turbulence

T3.1	Impact of MHD instability on ion-scale micro-instabilities/turbulence M.J. Pueschel, P. Donnel, X. Garbet, O. Agullo, and M. Muraglia	
No	Milestones - Description	Exp. Date
M.1	Modification of the GYSELA code to simulate nonlinear tearing mode in the collisional regime and including a magnetic equilibrium solution of Grad-Shafranov equation	In progress
M.2	Implementation of the collision operator in GYSELA	In progress
M.3	First simulation with GYSELA of tearing mode in presence of gradients (pressure and electronic temperature)	Current 2025
Deliverables - Description		Year
D.3.1.1	Report on the kinetic drift-tearing mode with GYSELA	2025

III. Transport in Magnetic Island(s)

Stochastic field lines due to MI and transport *

Investigated by N. Dubuit et al. with AMON code





Main results

Lagrangien Coherent Structures are resilient to small-scales turbulence

Transport barriers resulting from magnetic field stochasticity are still present with turbulence D.3.2.1 reached « Structure of MIs in presence of small-scales stochastic turbulence » on EUROfusion pinboard

Ongoing work

Comparison with MHD simulations without and with turbulence and evaluation of transport

III. Transport in Magnetic Island(s)

Computing transport inside islands/NTMs : 1D model

Investigated by C. Marchetto et al.

Starting from a simple model of island (virtually infinite, flat transport coefficients) implemented in JETTO & ASTRA (ongoing comparison with experiments to be completed in 2025 in WPTE)



Ongoing work

- Random-walk-like calculation to improve the model (flat or shaped coefficients? which value? which coefficient affected?)
- Comparison with experiments (also in WPTE and TSVV11)

Conclusions

2024 milestones have been fully achieved

- Stop of Marconi has delayed the deliverable D.2.2.1 and should delay some milestones and deliverables in 2025
- Strong impact of data loss and Marconi outage on project progress
- LEONARDO's drawbacks : new achitecture and submission file, share with other

2024 meetings

- Online meetings in January and May
- Face to face workshop at Marseille in September

2025 meetings

- Online meetings in March and in December
- Face to face meetings : In June at Turin, In September at Marseille

T-RECS network and visibility

Organisation of the next ECMRP at Turin in June 2025

Thank you

Linear stability of TCV discharges

Investigated in 2024 by T. Jitsuk et al. with GENE code



n	$\nabla P = 0$	$J_{ 0} = 0$	all gradients
1	TM	MTM	TM-MTM
2	TM	MTM	MTM
3	stable TM	MTM	MTM
\geq 4	stable	ETG	ETG
	•	-	

First simulations of TCV discharges using GK framework

Combine (GK and MHD) modeling efforts on multi-scale interaction between magnetic reconnection and turbulence in order to give qualitative explanation of observations in TCV.



- Unstable tearing mode is observed in simulations
- Collisionless or resistive tearing mode ?

NL MTM-ETG (wo J_{II}) and multiscale TM-MTM-ETG in GK framework Investigated in 2024 by T. Jitsuk et al. with GENE code

nonlinear ETG, no J_{\parallel} multiscale start with J_{\parallel} 1.21.2 $\langle Q_{eq}^{e} \rangle - \langle Q_{eq}^{e} \rangle - \langle Q_{eq}^{i} \rangle$ 1.01.0 0.80.8 0.6 0.6 0.40.4 0.20.20.00.0 50 50100 150100 1502000 0 time/ (R_0/c_s) time/ (R_0/c_s)

fluxes	nonlinear MTM-ETG only	multiscale TM-MTM-ETG
$\langle Q_{\mathrm{em}}^{\mathrm{e}} \rangle_{50 \leq t \leq 170}$	0.25 ± 0.05	0.19 ± 0.03
$\langle Q_{\rm es}^{\rm e} \rangle_{50 \le t \le 170}$	0.78 ± 0.09	0.67 ± 0.06
$\langle Q_{\mathrm{es}}^{\mathrm{i}} \rangle_{50 \leq t \leq 170}$	0.32 ± 0.04	0.29 ± 0.03

- Similar flux levels between two systems
- MTMs and ETGs are nonlinearly coupled $ightarrow Q_{
 m em}^{
 m e}$ and $Q_{
 m es}^{
 m e}$
- Subdominant ITGs also involve in nonlinear coupling $ightarrow Q^{
 m i}_{
 m es}$
- Activity of TM does not rise at given time → require longer simulation for TMs to come up

1. Relevant models of magnetic trime [s]

Prediction of the evolution of magnetic island size by fluid and gyrokinetic frameworks

=> Results analyzed in light of TCV experimental results.

=> New ideas to reduce/control the negative impact of magnetic reconnection in fusion plasmas.

 Investigate the difference between inertial and resistive saturation mechanisms



Magnetic reconnection is at the heart of open issues in fusion plasmas

- Control of large magnetic island(s) called NTM(s) [Kong PPCF 2022]
- Magnetic reconnection is observed in sawtooth crashes [Yu NF 2022]
- Runaway electrons can drive magnetic reconnection [Grasso JPCS 2022]
- Magnetic island(s) can transport impurities
 [Hender NF 2016]
- Magnetic reconnection will play a role in compact high fields tokamaks which requires high beta plasma. What is the scientific relevance of such configurations ? [Guo Nat. Comm. 2015]

Large magnetic island in KSTAR [Minjun J. Choi, Nature Communications 2021]



Magnetic reconnection is ubiquitous in plasmas

Magnetic reconnection describes topology magnetic field changes due to non-ideal effects.



First models for island size saturation prediction



- Definition and evolution of the island size?
 An open question
- Evaluation of the island size/ radial witdth from poloidal mode 1 [P.H. Rutherford POF 16 (1973)]

$$w_{m=1} = 4\sqrt{2a\psi_1\left(x_{res}\right)}$$

 Derivation of Rutherford model from the projection of the Ohm's law on m=1 mode and reduction the Grad-Shafranov equation:
 [P.H. Rutherford POF 16 (1973)]

$$\partial_t w^{Ruth} = \partial_t w_{m=1} = 1.22 \eta \Delta'$$

Saturation of the mode m = 1 => POEM model
 [Escande and Ottaviani (2004) & Militello and Porcelli (2004)]

$$\partial_t w^{POEM} = \partial_t w_{m=1} = 1.22\eta \Delta' - 1.22\eta \frac{0.41}{a^2} w$$

Valid only for m = 1 and small island
 Valid only at the resonance => 0D model

Improvement of Rutherford-like model for the prediction of saturated island size

Investigated by M. Muraglia et al. with AMON [M. Muraglia et al. JPP 91 (2025)]

Magnetic island structure in SLAB geometry with strong guide field

 $B = B_z e_z + \nabla \times \psi e_z$ at the equilibrium, $B_y(x_{res}) = 0$



Reconnection and annihilation rates

Hypothesis 1

Growth of island:

$$\left. \frac{d\phi}{dt} \right|_{RM} > \frac{d\phi}{dt} \right|_{AN}$$

Saturation of island:

 $\left. \frac{d\phi}{dt} \right|_{PM} = \frac{d\phi}{dt} \right|_{AN}$

Hypothesis 2

New definition of island size [D. Escande, B. Momo, RMPP, 2024] $w \propto \sqrt{\psi_X - \psi_0}$ (instead of $w \propto \sqrt{\psi_1(x_{res})}$) => At saturation: $\partial_t(\psi_X - \psi_0) = 0$

Improvement of Rutherford-like model for the prediction of saturated island size



Investigated by M. Muraglia et al. with AMON

Main results :

- > For the first time, agreement between simulation and theoretical definition of island width
- Island growth RR > AN, Island width saturation RR = AA

Ongoing work : New theoretical model has to be derived from this two new features and application to TCV discharges (see part II) What is the difference between resistive and inertial saturation ? Do kinetic effects affect the saturation ?

First nonlinear MHD simulation of DTM in presence of interchange turbulence

Investigated in 2024 by M. Muraglia et al. with AMON code



➢ In presence of pressure, the reconnection persists after the explosion and the total reconnection

> Turbulence enhances reconnection (i. e. the production of magnetic flux)

Systematic comparisons between theory and simulations





[M. Muraglia et al, PPCF (2021)]

=> Model fails to predict the complete dynamics

=> What's about NTM dynamics prediction by Rutherford-like models ?

T1.1

T1.1	 3D and asymmetric effects impacting magnetic structure C. Marchetto, D. Grasso, D. Borgogno, P. Donnel, X. Garbet, M.J. Pueschel, O. Agullo and M. Muraglia 		
No	Milestones - Description	Exp. Date	
M.1	A first study of asymmetric mode with low stochasticity level around the separatrix in collisionless plasmas with SCOPE3D	31/12/24	
M.2	Find relevant input parameters to investigate the linear stability of 2/1 TM in GYSELA using a tokamak q-profile	01/03/25	
M.3	Linear collisionless and collisional simulations with GYSELA of 2/1 TM	01/06/25	
M.4	Comparison of linear simulations with GYSELA to theoretical and MHD predictions	01/09/25	
Deliverables - Description		Year	
D.1.1.1	Report on the effect of magnetic chaos on nonlinear evolution of a 2/1 TM	2024	
D.1.1.2	Validation of the linear TM implementation in GYSELA	2025	
D.1.1.3	Report on the linear properties of the asymmetric TM instability in in the collisionless and collisional regimes	2025	

T1.2

T1.2	New 1D Rutherford-like model based on TCV experiments	
	O. Agullo, M. Kong, O. Sauter, E. Poli, P. Donnel, X. Garbet and M. Muraglia	
No¤	Milestones Description	Exp. Date¤
M.1	Obtain input parameters from TCV experiment of 2/1 NTM	01/03/24
M.2	Run and analyze NL simulations with ORB5 and AMON of a simple 2/1 TM	01/12/24
M.3	Comparison of numerical results with theories and TCV measurements	01/06/25
Deliverables Description 🛛		Year¤
D.1.2	New 1D Rutherford-like model to predict saturated island size (report)	2025

T2.1

T2.1	Signatures of turbulence-driven magnetic islands				
	E. Poli, M. Kong, O. Sauter, M.J. Pueschel, Q. Yu, O. Agullo, N. Dubuit, X. Garbet, and M.				
	Muraglia				
No¤	Milestones Description	Exp. Date¤			
M.1	Obtain input parameters from TCV experiment of 2/1 NTM with turbulence	01/03/24			
M.2	Run and analyze linear simulations of double TM (DTM) together with	01/12/24			
	turbulence using AMON				
M.3	First comparison between ORB5, GENE, and AMON NL simulations (tearing +	01/06/24			
	turbulence) of TCV				
M.4	First round of comparison of simulations with TCV experimental data	01/12/24			
M.5	Run and analyze NL simulations of DTM with turbulence with AMON	01/06/25			
M.6	Final analysis and interpretation of the comparison of MHD and gyrokinetic	01/09/25			
	simulations (tearing + turbulence) with TCV results				
Deliverat	olesDescription¤	Year¤			
D.2.1.1	List of signatures of the mutual interaction between magnetic island and	2025 <mark>¤</mark>			
	turbulence (report)				
D.2.1.2	Report comparing the impact of ITG and TEM turbulence regimes on the island,	2025			
	both at low (intact island) and high (stochasticized island) pressure				
D.2.1.3	First analysis of the interaction between turbulence and a DTM (report)	2025			

T2.2

T2.2X	Magnetic reconnection driven by runaway current		
	D. Grasso, D. Borgogno, N. Dubuit, and M. Muraglia		
No¤	Milestones Description X	Exp. Date	
M.1X	Effect of electron mass on MR driven by runaway current	30/06/24¤	
M.2X	Comparison of our results with upgraded JOREK including runaways	30/06/25¤	
Deliverables Description X		Year¤	
D.2.2.1	Report on the simulation campaign on MR driven by runaways taking into	2024¤	
	account the electron mass		
D.2.2.2	Report on verification of the JOREK module including runaways	2025¤	

T3.1

T3.1X	Impact of MHD instability on ion-scale micro-instabilities/turbulence		
	M.J. Pueschel, P. Donnel, X. Garbet, O. Agullo, and M. Muraglia		
No¤	Milestones Description	Exp. Date¤	
M.1	Modification of the GYSELA code to simulate nonlinear tearing mode in the collisional regime and including a magnetic equilibrium solution of Grad-Shafranov equation	In progress	
M.2	Implementation of the collision operator in GYSELA	In progress	
M.3X	First simulation with GYSELA of tearing mode in presence of gradients	Current	
	(pressure and electronic temperature)	2025 <mark>¤</mark>	
Deliverables Description X		Year¤	
D.3.1.1	Report on the kinetic drift-tearing mode with GYSELA	2025 <mark>¤</mark>	
D.3.1.2	Report on how microturbulence reacts to different saturated tearing	2025	
	scenarios (based on GENE simulations)		

T3.2

T3.2X	Transport and confinement in the presence of reconnected structures		
	N. Dubuit, O. Agullo, C. Marchetto and O. Sauter		
No¤	Milestones Description	Exp. Date	
M.1¤	Investigation of the resilience of large-scale Lagrangian Coherent Structures	31/12/24 <mark>¤</mark>	
	(LCS) to small-scale fluctuations		
M.2X	Characterization of 2D transport in islands in the presence of	30/06/25¤	
	interchange/ballooning turbulence		
M.3X	Calculation of transport coefficients for main plasma species, experimental	30/06/25 <mark>¤</mark>	
	validation		
M.4	Calculation of transport coefficients for impurities, experimental validation	31/12/25 <mark>¤</mark>	
Deliverat	olesDescription¤	Year¤	
D.3.2.1	Report on the robustness of large-scale LCS in a turbulent plasma	2024	
D.3.2.2	Model of transport coefficients in the presence of magnetic islands without	2025 <mark>¤</mark>	
	turbulence		
D.3.2.3X	Model of coefficient transports in the presence of magnetic islands with	2025 <mark>¤</mark>	
	turbulence		

2024 Deliverables

Scientific deliverable ¶ (annual <u>scientific deliverables</u> as specified in the Task Agreement) ¤	Achieved:← Fully/Partly/Not¤	Evidence for achievement, brief reason for partial or non-achievement	¤
Kelvin-Helmholtz instability driven by asymmetry effects in chaotic magnetic configurations¶ D.1.1.1	Fully∙¤	EUROfusion pinboard number¶ 3.ENR-05-CEA-01-new, 39265	¤
Report on the simulation campaign on MR driven by runaways taking into account magnetic chaos¶ D.2.2.1	Partially¤	The stop of Marconi has delayed the data analysis and the writing of the draft¤	¤
Structure of magnetic islands in presence of small-scale stochastic turbulence¶ D.3.2.1	Fully∙¤	EUROfusion pinboard number¶ 3.ENR-05-CEA-01-new, 39287¤	¤

II. Mutual interplay between MR and Runaway Electrons

Nonlinear MHD simulations of multiple helicities in 3D configuration Investigated by D. Borgogno et al. with SCOPE3D code



Main results: • •

- Multiple helicities drive chaos and lead to stochastisation of magnetic field lines
- Chaos leads to explosive magnetic reconnection processes
- Runaway electrons increase 50% of the reconnected area
- REs current decreases and is uniformly distributed in the chaotic region \succ

Ongoing work **

- Final submission of D.2.2.1 if Marconi's problem will be fixed (recover data or new simulations?)
- Regarding the linear evolution, the scaling law as a function of the resistivity was verified in JOREK, and the results agree with the theory derived from FKR. We are working at the comparison of the saturated islands.

Conclusions

2024 milestones have been fully achieved

Stop of Marconi has delayed the deliverable D.2.2.1 and should delay some milestones and deliverables in 2025

Strong impact of data loss and Marconi outage on project progress
 LEONARDO

- (((((Some data are LOST for ever on marconi and are required to complete some tasks)
- CPU-time consuming simulation (in particular the multiscale nonlinear simulations) can not be done on medium-size computer centers (like mesocentre at Marseille) and marconi is needed.
- Leonardo : new submission files, share with other community, ...
- STRONG impact of the achievement of the projet)))

2024 meetings

- Online meetings in January and May
- Face to face workshop at Marseille in September

2025 meetings

- Online meetings in March and in December
- Face to face meetings : In June at Turin, In September at Marseille

T DECC notwork and visibility